<u>The dying gasps of merging</u> <u>supermassive black hole binaries</u>



Priya Natarajan (Yale) Work done with Phil Armitage (Boulder)

Case for circumbinary gas disks driving BH mergers

Consequences of gas driven BH mergers

(a) For gravitational wave signatures - finite eccentricity at merger

(b) For the existence of electromagnetic counter-parts to supermassive BH mergers

Can we distinguish gas driven mergers from stellar dynamics effected ones?

Armitage & PN (2002, 2005)

Gas or stellar dynamics?



The case for gas:

(1) Stellar dynamics (may) be inefficient

- Rate limiting step in binary mergers likely to occur at a ~ 0.1 pc (Begelman, Blandford & Rees, 1980)
- Merger timescale may exceed t_H, probably exceeds typical merger interval at z ~ few
- Gas is likely to co-exist with binary in the nucleus
- Observationally, know that AGN can host disks of a few x 0.1 pc in extent

Yu & Tremaine, MM & Merritt



The case for gas: (2) There's plenty of gas present

- Waves excited in a circumbinary disk allow binary to lose angular momentum to the gas
- Significant orbital decay requires gas mass comparable to the mass of the smaller black hole
- Typical mass ratio q ~ 0.1 (eg Volonteri, Haardt & Madau 2002)

Observationally: local BH mass density ~consistent with growth via accretion as optically bright QSOs (eg Barger et al. 2001; Yu & Tremaine, 2002)

Implies more than enough gas present – important for mergers unless stellar dynamics merges the holes before gas arrives

Expectations for gas driven mergers

(1) Definite predictions

Transition between:

- gas driven merger at large radius
- gravitational radiation inspiral at small radius

$$a_{\rm crit} = \left(\frac{128}{5}\right)^{2/5} \left(\frac{h}{r}\right)^{-4/5} \alpha^{-2/5} q^{2/5} \left(\frac{GM_1}{c^2}\right)$$

...transition radius depends on disk parameters and mass ratio

(2) Probable consequences

Disk interaction -> significant eccentricity of the binary

- probably for q > 0.05 (Papaloizou, Nelson & Masset 2001)
- possibly for lower q (Goldreich & Sari 2002)

Spin of the primary -> warped disk interior to the binary orbit

- timescale for realignment uncertain (Natarajan & Pringle 1998)

Disk response to a perturber

grows slowly, so that the disk is in almost a steady state from q=10⁻⁵ to 10⁻² 2D, hydro only viscosity included such that alpha ~ 0.01 in the vicinity of the secondary.

In movie: inset shows azimuthally averaged surface density profile.









Consequences for electromagnetic counterparts

LISA will fail to identify host galaxies of supermassive black hole mergers unless there are identifiable electromagnetic counterparts



If a thin disk is involved, predict electromagnetic counterpart closely resembling a highly obscured, luminous quasar

Counter-parts signaling BBH mergers

- Electromagnetic counterparts: precursors and afterglows
- On longer timescales impulsive changes to the final BH spin following merger (Hughes & Blandford)
- Changes in directions of jets launched (Merritt & Ekers)
- Final eccentricity before merger (Armitage & PN) if disks catalyze low mass ratio binary mergers



Dotti et al. 2006

Consequences for gravitational radiation

Disk torques are negligible during final inspiral for all interesting mass ratios

Eccentricity – if excited during disk interactions at low q, may not have time to damp to negligible values

Simple model:

- assume eccentricity driven to e=0.25 for a > a crit
- for a < a crit, eccentricity is damped by gravitational radiation
- plot eccentricity at 1 week prior to final merger
- small but non-zero e ~ 0.01, rising to more extreme mass ratios, seems possible





Cool disk (h/r ~ 0.05): red, Warm disk (h/r ~ 0.1): green, Hot disk (h/r ~ 0.1): blue Note hottest disk is the most viscous disk





alpha = 0.01, e_init = 0.25 (gas driven stage), $M_1 + M_2 = 10^6$

Starting with a modestly eccentric orbit



same general behavior as before..