# DYNAMICS OF ALIGNED AND MISALIGNED CIRCUMBINARY DISKS

Steve Lubow STScl March 16, 2022

Collaborators: Rebecca Martin, Jeremy Smallwood, Cheng Chen, Alessia Franchini, Chris Nixon, Zhaohuan Zhu, Ian Rabago

#### • 3 Disks

- circumsecondary (CSD)
- circumprimary (CPD)
- circumbinary (CBD)

BINARY DISKS

Interested in SMBH binaries and young stellar binaries







Guilloteau et al. (1999)

Dutrey et al. 2016

## SINGLE STAR FORMATION



Shu, Adams, Lizano 1987

# Single rotation direction

## BINARY/PLANET/CB DISK ALIGNMENT OBSERVATIONS



• CB disk alignments for P < 30d ; Correlated with binary eccentricity

### **3 MISALIGNED DISKS IRS 43**



Also SMBH case

- Simulations/Model Limitations
- Coplanar case
- What is different for misaligned dynamics?
  - Gap Opening

  - Polar CBDs

## OUTLINE

- Kozai-Lidov Disks (CSD; CBD in triple)

#### SIMULATION LIMITATIONS • Want models for CBDs around SMBH and young star binaries

- Simulations often assume:
  - alpha model,  $0.001 < \alpha < 0.1$ , sometimes MRI -0.03 < H/r < 0.1
- SMBH and young star binaries fall outside these expected actual parameter ranges:
  - -SMBH: 0.001 < H/r < 0.01,  $\alpha > 0.01$  for fully ioinzed MRI, also radiation effects
  - -Young binaries: 0.02 < H/r < 0.1,  $\alpha$  highly uncertain: may be very small  $\alpha < 0.0001$ , could be dead zones, complex nonideal MHD, winds
- Analytic models can help extend these ranges.
- Models typically assume coplanarity

## COPLANAR PROGRADE FLOW

- Gap opening by tidal field of binary (Artymowicz & Lubow 1994; Miranda & Lai 2015, Lubow et al. 2015)
- Gas streams in the gap (Artymowicz & Lubow 1996, Gunther & Kley 2002, MacFadyen & Milosavljevic' 2008, Shi et al. 2012, D'Orazio et al. 2013, Farris et al. 2014, 2015, Munoz et al. 2016, 2019, 2020 Duffell et al. 2020, Moesta et al. 2019, Heath & Nixon 2020, D'Orazio & Duffell 2021, Tiede et al. 2021, Dittman & Ryan 2022)
- Gas streams typically cause pulsed accretion onto mini-disks through gap
- CBD can become eccentric, even if binary orbit is circular
- Important coplanar prograde issues remain on how binary orbit evolves, gas stream properties, etc.





### GAP OPENING IN RETROGRADE DISKS

- Gaps get smaller in tilted disks because tidal resonances are weaker: binary farther away from disk and disk moves faster relative to binary (Miranda and Lai 2015; Lubow et al. 2015)
- No tidal (Lindblad) resonances for retrograde disks with  $e_b = 0$ , get small gap (Nixon et al. 2011)
- Binary orbit efficiently loses angular momentum and can become eccentric (Nixon et al. 2011, Schnittman & Krolik 2015)
- For  $e_b = 0$ ,  $i \sim \pi$ , get  $T_{2,2} \propto (i \pi)^8$  for near retrograde disk (Lubow et al. 2015)
- Weak resonances for eccentric orbit binary with retrograde disk  $T_{-1,2} \propto e_h^6$ ; retrograde bars at high  $e_b$  (Nixon & Lubow 2015)





Nixon & Lubow 2015



# POLAR DISKTORQUE AT $e_h \simeq 1$

- At high  $e_h$  coplanar disk sees highly nonaxisymmetric potential, strong torque.
- At high  $e_b$  polar disk  $i = 90^\circ$  sees nearly axisymmetric potential, small torque
- Applies to observations of polar disk HD98800  $e_h = 0.785$ (Kennedy et al. 2019)



Right ascension (J2000)

## GAP OPENING IN POLAR DISKS

- Polar disk Lindblad torque, for  $e_b \sim 1$ ,  $T \propto (1 - e_b)^k$ , k > 1 (done w/o using series expansion in  $e_h$  Lubow & Martin 2018)
- Gaps smaller for polar disks at high  $e_h$
- Consistent with observations of polar disk HD98800  $e_{b} \simeq 0.8$
- Type of flow in polar gap? No hint yet of gas streams (Smallwood et al. in preparation).





Franchini, Lubow, & Martin 2018



#### NODAL PRECESSION • Tilted disks in binary systems undergo nodal precession, gyroscopic motion

- Disk behaves like a solid body (little warping) if differential precession timescale is longer than radial sound crossing timescale (Papaloizou & Terquem 1995; Larwood & Papaloizou 1997)
- Otherwise get strong warps and possibly breaking
- Evolution to coplanarity for circular orbit binaries, typically (Papaloizou & Terquem 1995, Lubow & Ogilvie 2000, Lodato & Facchini 2013, Foucart & Lai 2014, Martin, Zhu, & Armitage 2020)
- Is there something qualitatively new/different for misaligned disks?





Differential precession of particles

- AI-LIExternal companion interacts with disk
- Initially circular particle orbit around central object - Tilt oscillations for  $i_0 > 39^\circ$ - For  $i_0 = 60^\circ$  gain eccentricity to  $e_{max} = 0.76$  at lowest inclination  $i_{min} = 39^{\circ}$
- KL CSDs (Martin et al. 2014, Zanazzi & Lai 2017, Lubow & Ogilvie 2017) - Need some pressure, but not too much - enough pressure to prevent disk breaking - not too much: need  $\omega_{gr} > \omega_{pr}$  (apsidal precession rates)
  - KL disks experience eccentricity, dissipation, and shocks
  - Tilt damps to lower value
- KL CBDs also possible in triple systems (Martin et al. 2022)

## DISKS





## SUSTAINED KOZAI-LIDOV (KL) OSCILLATIONS

- Inclined CBD continuously feeds gas to CSDs via inclined gas streams
- Resulting CSDs are also inclined
- CSDs undergo sustained KL oscillations and time varying accretion
- Dust rings in KL disks (Martin & Lubow 2022)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Smallwood, Martin, & Lubow 2021

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

### KOZAI-LIDOV DISKS

- Linear theory when e is small (Zanazzi & Lai 2017, Lubow & Ogilvie 2017)
- Upper limit of H/r ~ 0.15 for typical KL disks
- Multiple unstable modes are present. Fastest growing mode most important.
- Minimum inclination angle for instability can be quite low, well below particle angle of 39 degrees. Resonance where apsidal and nodal precession rates match.
- But growth rate is generally low below 39 degrees., below mode 1.

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

## PARTICLE ORBITS AROUND ECCENTRIC BINARIES

Farrago & Laskar (2010) Eccentric orbit binary: secular triaxial potential

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### tilt oscillations

Nearly polar:  $e_b$  nearly along  $J_p$ 

 $i \simeq 90^\circ, \Omega \simeq 90^\circ$ 

Binary angular momentum

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

## HIGH INCLINATION PARTICLE ORBITS

#### Circular Binary

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

Disks become coplanar

#### Eccentric Binary

![](_page_16_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Disks can become polar

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

## TILT EVOLUTION PROCESS

- Viscous damping changes tilt
- Pressure induced bending waves

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

Evolution to polar more likely at high  $e_b$ : For  $e_b = 0.5$ , need  $i_0 > 40^\circ$ ; for  $e_b = 0.8$ , only need  $i_0 > 20^\circ$ 

Apply to nearly polar disk: Zanazzi & Lai 2018, Lubow & Martin 2018 Shows evolution to polar orientation at rate close to simulations

## BINARY/PLANET/CB DISK ALIGNMENT OBSERVATIONS

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

• CB disk alignments for P < 30d ; Correlated with binary eccentricity

#### DISKS AROUND SPHEROIDAL POTENTIALS

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Dobrovolskis, Borderies, & Steiman-Cameron (1989)

#### Both evolve to horizontal alignment

Coplanar alignment is at an energy minimum for tilts at constant radius. Polar alignment is at an energy *maximum* for tilts at constant radius: energy is conserved by radial infall (accretion)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

- Misaligned CBDs in triple systems: both evolution to polar and/or KL oscillations
- Outcome depends on dominant torque: inner binary (polar) vrs outer star (KL)
- Can result in eccentric polar disks
- CBD in triple HD98800 dominated by central binary and evolves to polar

#### **TRIPLE SYSTEMS**

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

Martin et al. 2022

## CONCLUSIONS

- Inclined/retrograde disks in binaries differ from coplanar prograde case
  - close retrograde encounters with binary, efficient binary angular momentum loss
  - KL oscillations: highly eccentric disks
  - Polar CBD alignment: favored at higher  $e_b$
- No observational evidence yet of KL disks
- Polar orientations of gas disk HD98800 and debris disk 99 Her can be explained by evolution from an initially modestly misaligned CBD
- Expect correlation between  $e_b$  and i. Implications for misaligned CB planets

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

Smallwood et al. 2021

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

Kennedy et al. 2019