### mK Detectors for Low Mass Dark Matter Searches



Jodi Cooley SMU/SuperCDMS

05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

### The Case for Low Mass Dark Matter



US Cosmic Visions: arXiv:1707.04591

- Much work has gone into looking for the canonical WIMP
  - No evidence from direct searches and no evidence of SUSY from LHC
- If we broaden our thoughts and loosen our cosmology or theory priors, we still have reasonable dark matter candidates many with lower masses!

### The Case for Low Mass Dark Matter



- Much work has gone into looking for the canonical WIMP
  No evidence from direct searches and no evidence of SUSY
  - from LHC
- If we broaden our thoughts and loosen our cosmology or theory priors, we still have reasonable dark matter candidates many with lower masses!

# Operating Principles



### Dark Matter Event Rates

- Elastic scattering of WIMP deposits small amounts of energy into a recoiling nucleus (~few 10s of keV)
- Featureless exponential spectrum with no obvious peak, knee, break ...
- Event rate is very, very low.





R(Ethresh) [counts/10kg/year]

- Experiments are sited underground to reduce cosmic induced backgrounds
- Active and passive shielding are used too reduce backgrounds resulting from radioactivity in the environment.
- Materials are carefully selected/ screened before use.







# SuperCDMS

# SuperCDMS Technology





- Ultra-pure ~kg Ge and Si crystals operated at 10's of mK
- Measure athermal phonon signal via transition edge sensor
- Multiple channels give position info
- Outer "guard" rings fiducialize high radius events
- **Surface/Bulk** event discrimination via charge face symmetry



#### 05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

### **Standard iZIP Mode:**

 Primary (prompt) phonon and ionization signals allow for discrimination between NR and ER events

#### **CDMSlite HV Mode:**

- Drifting electrons across a potential (V) generates a large number of phonons (Luke phonons).





Luke

Luke

- Enables very low thresholds!

phonons

phonons

phonons

- Trade off: No NR/FR diserinitration

Prompt

**Detection Principles** 





Ε

field

### SuperCDMS Detector Advantages

### High Voltage => Low Threshold

- Ultra high resolution indirect charge measurement
- Thresholds 75  $eV_{ee}$  and 56  $eV_{ee}$
- No yield or detector face discrimination



### iZIPs=> Low Background

- High resolution phonon and charge readout
- All surface and ER backgrounds above few keV removed (red dots)



05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

Pacific N

### Recent Results



### Future Prospects: CDMSlite Run 3

- Different detector, similar threshold, livetime
- Focus on improving analysis techniques
- Data blinded by "salting" fake signal-like events into data
- Improving detector response and background modeling
- Likelihood estimate allows some background rejection
- Expect factor ~3 improvement over previous results



# SuperCDMS SNOLAB



# Background Model

SuperCDMS SNOLAB anticipated background spectra (Ge iZIPs)

Events after Cuts

Adds ionization yield

### Raw Singles Event Rate

Includes yield model and energy resolution



Total

Electron recoils

Surface  $\beta$ 

### Prototype HVeV Detector



- Single e/h-pair resolution goal of SuperCDMS SNOLAB
- Single e/h-pair sensitivity has been recently demonstrated in 0.93 g Si crystal
- Such devices will have sensitivity to a variety of sub-GeV DM models with g\*d exposures

### Prototype HVeV Detector

- 0.93 g Si crystal (1 x 1x 0.4 cm<sup>3</sup>) operated at 33-36 mK at a surface test facility.
- Exposure: 0.49 gram-days (16.1 hours)
  - operation voltage: 140 V
  - energy resolution:  $\sigma_{ph} \sim 14 \ eV$
  - charge resolution:  $\sigma_{eh} \sim 0.1 \text{ e}^{-h^+}$
- Calibrations with in-run monochromatic 650 nm laser.



- Data selection criteria were applied to remove periods of poor detector performance.

### Results





- 90% CL w/o background subtraction using optimum interval method.
- Systematics include varying Fano factor, and uncertainties in photoelectric cross section



05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

# CRESST Technology



- Scintillating 24 g CaWO<sub>4</sub> crystals as target
  - Collect both phonon and scintillating signals.
    - Tungsten TES reads out phonon signal (similar to SuperCDMS)
    - Light absorber (Si on sapphire) collects scintillation signal.



## Particle Identification

The scintillation light is particle dependent!



05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

### Recent Detector Progress

### New Optimized Detector Design for Low Mass



- Cuboid crystal (20 mm x 20 mm x 10 mm)  $\sim$  24 g
- Goal: detection threshold of 100eV
- Self-grown crystal with low total background of  $\sim 3 \text{ keV}^{-1}\text{kg}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$  [1-40 keV]
- Veto against surface related background: fully scintillating housing and instrumented sticks ("iSticks")

05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

# First Results

5 detectors met design goals - first results only on detector A

Detector A – 100eV threshold analysis

The blind data – Energy spectrum zoom

 $^{179}$ Ta + e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow^{179}$ Hf + v<sub>e</sub> (1.8y)



Total Exposure: 2.34 kg-days Exposure after Cuts: 2.21 kg-days Total Mass: 24 g Analysis Threshold: 100 eV Background Rate: ~3.5 kev/kg/keV/d

17

# Accepted Events

arXiv:1711.07692



Assume all accepted events result from dark-matter interactions. Use Yellin optimum interval method to set an exclusion limit.

## CRESST III Results



05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

# CRESST Outlook

- continue data taking —> better understanding of backgrounds
- 3 more detectors with threshold  $\ll 100 \text{eV}$
- 3 times lower optimum threshold for detector



## Edelweiss



# Edelweiss Technology





- Fully InterDigitized (FID) technology.
- Ge crystal target: ~870 g each
- 2 Ge NTDs heat sensor per detector
- Electrodes: concentric Al rings (2 mm spacing) covering all faces
- XeF<sub>2</sub> surface treatment to ensure low leakage current (<1 fA) between adjacent electrodes

#### Surface & Bulk Event Rejection:

- Surface event: Signal on C<sub>bott</sub>&V<sub>bott</sub> or C<sub>top</sub>&V<sub>top</sub>
- Bulk/Fiducial event: Signal on

 $C_{top}\&C_{bott}$ 

# Detector Performance



Event-by-event separation down to 5 keV energy recoils Response to nuclear recoils calibrated down to the analysis threshold for low mass WIMP searches (1 keV<sub>ee</sub> heat = 2.5 keV nuclear recoil)



05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU

# Recent Data Analysis

Analysis with Boosted Decision Tree (JCAP05 (2016) 019)
Analysis with Profile Likelihood (EPJC 76 (2016) 548)



Data driven background models using sidebands.

Bulk electron recoils Neutrons Surface Betas, Pb Heat only events

J. Gascon - Taup 2017

## Edelweiss Results

- Analysis with Boosted Decision Tree (JCAP05 (2016) 019)
- Analysis with Profile Likelihood (EPJC 76 (2016) 548)



# Edelweiss Outlook

Completed study based on present measured backgrounds and resolutions vs possible improvements (arXiv: 1707.04308).



- Use of Luke-Neganov boost to lower thresholds (up to 100V bias)
- $\label{eq:stable} \emph{\emph{Improve heat resolution:}} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ ($x5$ gain in sensitivity already achieved on 200 g detectors) \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma_{heat} = 500 \ eV \rightarrow 100 \ eV} \\ \emph{$\sigma$
- Reduction x100 of heat-only background
- Improve ionization resolution

 $\sigma_{\text{ion}} = 200 \text{ eV}_{ee} \rightarrow 100/50 \text{ eV}_{ee}$ 

# Conclusions

- Dark matter search experiments have been very successful in ruling out a number of favored candidates. No compelling evidence for the detection of DM currently exists.
- mK detectors have been making fast progress in pushing their technologies to lower thresholds and smaller cross sections. We are now able to access parameter space we had not conceived possible a decade ago.
- Stay tuned! Current experiments are producing results at a fast pace and larger, more sensitive experiments are soon to come online.

# The Future is Bright!



05/03/2018 - Dark matter detection and detectability: paradigm confirmation or shift? - Jodi Cooley - SMU