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## Particle laden flows



B Finite-size and mass impurities transported by turbulent flow

## Dispersed particles

Passive suspensions: no feedback of the transported particles onto the fluid flow.
© Rigid spherical particles that are assumed * much smaller than the smallest active scale of the flow (Kolmogorov $\eta$ )

* associated with a very small Reynolds number


$$
m_{p}=\frac{4}{3} \pi \rho_{p} a^{3}
$$

$\Rightarrow$ Surrounding flow $=$ Stokes flow Maxey \& Riley (1983)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{p} \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}=m_{f} \frac{\mathrm{D} \boldsymbol{u}}{\mathrm{D} t}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)-6 \pi a \mu[\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}-\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)]-\frac{m_{f}}{2}\left[\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}(\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, t))\right] \\
&-\frac{6 \pi a^{2} \mu}{\sqrt{\pi v}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{\sqrt{t-s}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}[\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}-\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, s)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Very heavy particles

- Spherical particles much smaller than the Kolmogorov scale $\eta$, much heavier than the fluid, feeling no gravity, evolving with moderate velocities: one of the simplest model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}=-\frac{1}{\tau}(\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}-\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)) \\
& \mid \text { 2 parameters } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Prescribed velocity field } \\
\text { (random or solution to NS) }
\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{St}=\tau / \tau_{\eta} \\
\mathrm{Re}=U L / \nu
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Dissipative dynamics (even if $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t)$ is incompressible) Lagrangian averages correspond to an SRB measure that depends on the realization of the fluid velocity field.

## Clustering of inertial particles

## © Important for

* the rates at which particles interact (collisions, chemical reactions, gravitation...)
* the fluctuations in the concentration of a pollutant
* the possible feedback of the particles on the fluid


Multifractal distribution
Inertial-range clusters and voids at dissipative scales

## Phenomenology of clustering

## © Different mechanisms:

Dissipative dynamics
$\Rightarrow$ attractor


Ejection from eddies by centrifugal forces


* Theory: requires elaborating models to disentangle these two effects. For instance:
8 flows with no structures (uncorrelated in time) to isolate the effects of a dissipative dynamics
* coarse-grained closures to understand ejection from eddies

B Numerics show that these effects act at different scales

## Summary of DNS

Pseudo-spectral code, normal viscosity, parallel code (MPI+FFTW)
Spatial resolutions $128^{3}, 256^{3}, 512^{3}$

| $R_{\lambda}$ | $u_{\mathrm{rms}}$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\nu$ | $\eta$ | $L$ | $T_{E}$ | $\tau_{\eta}$ | $T_{t o t}$ | $T_{t r}$ | $\Delta x$ | $N^{3}$ | $N_{t}$ | $N_{p}$ | $N_{t o t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 185 | 1.4 | 0.94 | 0.00205 | 0.010 | $\pi$ | 2.2 | 0.047 | 14 | 4 | 0.012 | $512^{3}$ | $5 \cdot 10^{5}$ | $7.5 \cdot 10^{6}$ | $12 \cdot 10^{7}$ |
| 105 | 1.4 | 0.93 | 0.00520 | 0.020 | $\pi$ | 2.2 | 0.073 | 20 | 4 | 0.024 | $256^{3}$ | $2.5 \cdot 10^{5}$ | $2 \cdot 10^{6}$ | $32 \cdot 10^{6}$ |
| 65 | 1.4 | 0.85 | 0.01 | 0.034 | $\pi$ | 2.2 | 0.110 | 29 | 6 | 0.048 | $128^{3}$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{4}$ | $2.5 \cdot 10^{5}$ | $4 \cdot 10^{6}$ |

- Particle positions, velocities, fluid velocity at particle positions, fluid gradient, stored at two different rates
- every $0.1 \tau_{\eta}$ for $510^{5}$ particles / Stokes time
- every $10 \tau_{\eta}$ for $7.510^{6}$ particles / Stokes time
- Data available on the iCFDdatabase (http://cfd.cineca.it)


## Small-scale clustering

Fractal dimensions $r \ll \eta$ Coarse-grained density $\bar{\rho}_{r}$


$$
\left\langle\bar{\rho}_{r}^{p}\right\rangle \sim r^{p\left(\mathcal{D}_{p+1}-d\right)}
$$




- Spectrum $\mathcal{D}_{p}$ is a function of St but does not depend on Re

PDF local dimension $\delta_{r}=\frac{\ln \bar{\rho}_{r}}{\ln r}$ $p_{r}(\delta) \propto r^{\mathcal{S}(\delta, \mathrm{St})}$

## Analytic attempts

Two-point motion: carrier flow $=$ smooth Kraichnan

$\mathcal{D}_{2}(\mathrm{St})$ has the same qualitative shape as in real flows

No analytic form yet, not even for the Lyapunov exponents (Piterbarg, Wilkinson \& Mehlig, Falkovich et al., Horvai \& Fouxon)

Only solved case $=1$ (Derevyanko, Falkovich, Turitsyn \& Turitsyn 2007)

## Small-Stokes number asymptotics

WKB (Wilkinson \& Mehlig 2004)
Stochastic averaging techniques (JB, Cencini, Hillerbrand \& Turitsyn 2007)

$$
\mathcal{D}_{2}=d-2(d+1)(d+2) \mathrm{St}+\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{St}^{2}\right)
$$

Problem $=$ non relevant limit + diverging series (singular limit)

## Reduced dynamics

* Two-point motion can be written as a system of SDE with additive noise (Piterbarg, Wilkinson et al.)


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{1}=\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \dot{\boldsymbol{R}} / R^{2} & \dot{R}=\sigma_{1} R \\
\sigma_{2}=|\boldsymbol{R} \times \dot{\boldsymbol{R}}| / R^{2} &
\end{array}
$$



$$
\dot{\sigma}=-\sigma / \tau-\sigma^{2}+\sqrt{C} \eta
$$

$\approx$ Anderson localization

* Two dimensions ( $d=2$ )

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{\sigma}_{1}=-\sigma_{1} / \tau-\left[\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}^{2}\right]+\sqrt{C} \eta_{1} \\
\dot{\sigma}_{2}
\end{array}=-\sigma_{2} / \tau-2 \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}+\sqrt{3 C} \eta_{2}\right.
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& Z=\sigma_{1}+i \sigma_{2} \quad \text { complex potential }
\end{aligned}
$$



## Inertial-range clustering?

* Case of non-differentiable Kraichnan: particle dynamics at scale $\ell$ depends on a local (scale-dependent) Stokes number

$$
\operatorname{St}(\ell)=\tau / \tau_{\ell}=\varepsilon^{1 / 3} \tau / \ell^{2 / 3}
$$

Falkovich, Fouxon, Stepanov 2003 JB, Cencini, Hillerbrand 2007


Both the scale-invariance of the fluid flow and that of the particle distribution are broken
$\ell \rightarrow \infty \quad \mathrm{St}(\ell) \rightarrow 0$
inertia becomes negligible
$\ell \rightarrow 0 \quad \operatorname{St}(\ell) \rightarrow \infty$
particles move almost ballistically

## Particles in turbulent flow

Real flow have structure and particle distribution correlates with the acceleration field


## Coarse-grained density



Tails faster than exponential

Algebraic tails $p(\rho) \propto \rho^{\alpha(\tau, r)}$
(signature of voids)

## Time scales of clustering

B The local Stokes number $\operatorname{St}(\ell)=\varepsilon^{1 / 3} \tau / \ell^{2 / 3}$ is not relevant

## * Non dimensional contraction rate

When inertia is very weak: Maxey's approximation

$$
\dot{\boldsymbol{X}} \approx \boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)=\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)-\tau\left[\partial_{t} \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}\right]
$$

Rate at which a particle blob with size $r$ is contracted

$$
\Gamma_{r, \tau}=\frac{1}{r^{3}} \int_{|\boldsymbol{x}|<r} \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \simeq \frac{\tau}{r^{2}} \delta_{r} p
$$

The question of pressure scaling has (at least) two answers
$\mathrm{K} 41: \delta_{r} p \propto(\varepsilon r)^{2 / 3}$

$$
\Gamma_{r, \tau} \sim \tau / r^{4 / 3}
$$

Sweeping $\delta_{r} p \sim \mathrm{U}(\varepsilon r)^{1 / 3}$

$$
\Gamma_{r, \tau} \sim \tau / r^{5 / 3}
$$



## Scalable deviations from uniformity

- Mass distribution depends only on

$$
\tau_{\eta} \Gamma_{r, \tau} \sim \operatorname{Re}^{1 / 4} \operatorname{St}(r / \eta)^{5 / 3} \sim \operatorname{Re}^{-1} \operatorname{St}(r / L)^{5 / 3}
$$



## Mass transport mode】

* Find models belonging to the same universality class
* Discreteness in time and space
© At each time step some (randomly chosen with probability $p$ ) cells eject a fraction of their mass to their neighbors
© Parameter $=\gamma$ ejection rate


JB, R. Chétrite 2007


## Tails

Right tail $=$ algebraic $p(m) \propto m^{\alpha(\gamma)}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Prob }=p^{N}(1-p)^{2 N} \\
& \Rightarrow \alpha(\gamma)=\frac{\ln p(1-p)}{\ln (1-\gamma)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Left tail = super-exponential

|  | Prob $=\left[p^{2}(1-p)\right]^{N M}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $m_{N M}=\frac{\left.1-[1-(1-\gamma)]^{N}\right]^{M}}{(1-\gamma)^{N}}$ | $\Rightarrow p(m) \propto \exp (-C m \ln m)$ |

## Relation with RWRE

Ejection rate depends on space

© Evolution of mass:

$$
m_{j}(t+\delta t)=\left(1-2 \gamma_{j}\right) m_{j}(t)+\gamma_{j-1} m_{j-1}(t)+\gamma_{j+1} m_{j+1}(t)
$$

$$
\delta t, \delta x \rightarrow 0 \quad \partial_{t} m=\partial_{x}^{2}[\gamma(x, t) m] \quad \text { one-point distribution for a }
$$ random walk in the timedependent environment



## Conclusions

## Clustering

* Of two kinds, depending on the observation scale: multifractal in the dissipative range, dependent only on a rescaled contraction rate in the inertial range. Some attempts to get analytical forms for the mass distribution.
*Use of more refined cluster analysis tools to study the dynamics of particle clusters: how do they form, how long do they live?
* Correlation of particle positions with the flow structures requires to understand the inertial-range distribution of acceleration.
- Collisions / Velocity statistics
* Clean-up the scaling properties of particle velocity differences
* Understand the limit of validity of the ghost-collision approach

