Observational and Theoretical Review of the Multiphase ISM

Motte et al. 2010 Rosette Observational and Theoretical Review of the Multiphase ISM So, it works in Practice...but does it work in Theory? So, it works in Theory...so What? 1)Galactic Diffuse Phases

2)Extragalactic Diffuse Phases

3)Tracers of Dark Molecular Gas

4)OVI !!

Motte et al. 2010 Rosette





Diffuse Gas Heating/Cooling



 $T = 7860 n = 0.35 cm^{-3} WNM$

 $n = 33 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ **T** = **85** CNM

Wolfire et al. (2003)

Diffuse Gas Heating/Cooling

T = 7860 n = 0.35 cm⁻³ WNM T = 85 n = 33 cm⁻³ CNM

C II Cooling/H (CNM) > 20 CII Cooling/H (WNM)

**** Note ****

CNM in Thermal Balance: [CII] measures the total energy dumped into the gas.

 $I_{[CII]} \text{ prop to heating} \\ Heating rate = const \\ n \downarrow Z \downarrow \\ T \uparrow I_{[CII]} = const \\ \end{bmatrix}$



Wolfire et al. (2003)

C.R. ionization x 10 Indriolo et al. 2012, 2015 H₃⁺, OH⁺, H₂O⁺, H₃O⁺

Thermal Pressure Jenkins et al. 2011

C II Cooling/H (CNM) > 10 CII Cooling/H (WNM)

**** Note ****

CNM in Thermal Balance: [CII] measures the total energy dumped into the gas.

 $I_{[CII]} \text{ prop to heating} \\ Heating rate = const \\ n \downarrow Z \downarrow \\ T \uparrow I_{[CII]} = const \\ I_{[CII]} = const$

Diffuse Gas Heating/Cooling



Wolfire et al. (2016)



Heiles & Troland 2003, ApJ, 586, 1067 Begum et al. 2010 WNM temperature distribution 50% of gas mass in unstable Ts ???

Locally: 60% WNM, 40% CNM (also Pineda et al. 2013)

In plane 25% of WNM in unstable Ts or 15% of total mass.

Out of plane dominated by dynamical processes.

In plane uncertainties large, and statistics poor: 8 in plane, 79 out

Murray, Stanimirovic et al. 2015 21-SPONGE Survey Find only 20% (by number) thermally unstable components !!



Jenkins & Tripp 2011



Multiphase Galactic Disks

6 (a) log(mass fraction) 5 log(P/k_B) [cm⁻³ K] -2 -1 р max 3 **P**_{min} 2 -2 0 log(n) [cm⁻³] 2 $^{-4}$ 100 (b) p(log P/k_B) 10 $P \approx P_{\text{two-phase}} = \sqrt{P_{\min}P_{\max}}$ 10-2 10^{-3} 10 2 3 5 $\log(P/k_B)$ [cm⁻³ K] 10° (c) 10 p(log n) 10 10-3 10 $^{-2}$ 0 log(n) [cm⁻³] 2 $^{-4}$

Thermal Pressure in CNM



Jenkins & Tripp 2011

Kim, Kim, & Ostriker 2011; Kim, Ostriker, & Kim 2013 Kim, Chang-Goo, & Ostriker 2015; Walch et al. 2015

Regulation of Thermal Pressure





Wolfire, McKee, Bolatto, Ostriker 2016

SMC Bar Parameters

C/n: 1/5 MW Dufour (1984),Welty (2016)

PAH/n: 1/7.7 MW Sandstrom et al. 2010

G₀: 5 MW Sandstrom et al. 2010

CR: 15% MW Abdo et al. 2010

P_{th}/k < 2 x Local Galactic

KINGFISH Regions $\Sigma(H_2)/\Sigma(HI) < 1$ U < 3



Herrera-Camus, Bolatto, Wolfire et al. 2016

P(CII) as expected from OML 2010



Jenkins & Tripp 2011

Small Scale Structure Turbulent Dissipation in CNM

1)Warm diffuse cloud chemistry: CH⁺, HCO⁺, CO, SH Godard et al. 2014, Falgarone et al. 2010 Myers et al. 2015, Neufeld et al. 2015

2)Tiny-Scale Atomic Structure (TSAS): HI absorption 10s AU Heiles 1997, Stanimirovic et al. 2010 (TSIS), (TSMS)

3)Warm diffuse H₂ seen in emission Falgarone et al. 2005, Habart et al. 2011, Valdivia et al. 2016, Le Petit et al. 2016

Log normal fit + $0.05\% 3x10^5 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$

Small Scale Structure (Continued) Turbulent Dissipation in CNM

100 AU TDRs



MHD shocks: Pineau des Forêts et al 1986 Shears: Joulain et al. 1998

Diffuse Cloud Chemistry Dark Gas Tracers HF, H₂O

Formation:

 $F + H_2 \rightarrow HF + H$

Destruction:

 $HF + h\nu \rightarrow H + F$

 $HF + C^+ \rightarrow CF^+ + H$

 $HF + He^+ \rightarrow H + F^+ + He$

$HF + Si^+ \rightarrow SiF^+ + H$

Neufeld, Wolfire & Schilke 2005 Neufeld & Wolfire 2009

2- Sided PDR model Variable total column density A_v



Sonnentrucker, Wolfire et al. 2015

2- Sided PDR model Variable total column density A_v



Sonnentrucker , Wolfire, et al. 2015 Hollenbach et al. 2012

Argonium - ArH⁺

Observed by PRISMAS and HEXOS but unidentified – Muller et al. 2013 **2- Sided PDR model** $A_v = 0.3$

Observed in emission in Crab Nebula identified as ³⁶ArH⁺ - Barlow et al. 2013

Formation:

$$\operatorname{Ar} + CR \rightarrow \operatorname{Ar}^+ + e$$

$$\operatorname{Ar}^{+} + \operatorname{H}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ar}\operatorname{H}^{+} + \operatorname{H}$$

Destruction:

$$ArH^+ + O \rightarrow Ar + OH^+$$

 $ArH^+ + H_2 \rightarrow Ar + H_3^+$

ArH⁺ OH⁺/H₂O⁺ HF $f(H_2): < 0.01 \quad 0.1 \quad 1$



Schilke et al. 2014

ArH⁺, HCl⁺, H₂Cl⁺, OH⁺, H₂O⁺ Neufeld & Wolfire 2016



N(OVI) from FUV absorption line of OVI





Conductive interfaces Turbulent mixing layers de Avillez & Breitschwerdt 2005

ISM Topology ?



N(OVI) from FUV absorption line of OVI n(OVI) only few 10⁻⁸ cm⁻³ D. Cox numerous

MO too much OVI Slavin & Cox clouds in WNM reduces OVI



ISM Topology ?



N(OVI) from FUV absorption line of OVI

n(OVI) only few 10⁻⁸ cm⁻³ D. Cox numerous

MO too much OVI Slavin & Cox clouds in WNM reduces OVI



Bowen et al. 2008; Wakker et al. 2003

OVI constrains the topology of the ISM and the interaction of SN with ISM

ISM Topology ?



de Avillez & Breitschwerdt 2005 Miao, et al. 2015 X-RAY



Bowen et al. 2008; Wakker et al. 2003

Conclusions

1.)CNM pressure distribution width set by turbulence but median set by two-phase pressure.

2.)Observed two-phase pressure is matched by models including grain photoelectric heating and fine-structure line cooling

3.)Local fraction of thermally unstable gas is not large

4.)Self-regulating cycle (pressure, star formation, phase transitions) maintains the two-phase pressure in the midplane

5.)Ample evidence from pressure/chemistry observations for small scale high pressure regions (shocks, TDRs)

6.)Various molecules can be used as probes of molecular fraction, HF, H₂O, ArH⁺, and the C.R. ionization rate OH⁺, H₂O⁺

7.)OVI can provide model constraints on the topology of the ISM and SN interaction with the ISM