#### Density matrix renormalization group evidence of superconductivity via skyrmion-pairing

Shubhayu Chatterjee University of California, Berkeley

Correlated Systems with Multicomponent Local Hilbert Spaces KITP, UC Santa Barbara December 14, 2020



#### In collaboration with:



Matteo Ippoliti Stanford University



Mike Zaletel UC Berkeley

SC, M. Ippoliti, M. P. Zaletel, arXiv:2010:01144

- Setting: Interacting electrons in tunnel-coupled (nearly flat) spin-ful Chern bands with opposite Chern numbers
- Within each Chern sector/*layer*: Interaction driven quantum-Hall ferromagnet (Stoner criteria)
- Tunnel-coupling leads to an antiferromagnetic (super-)exchange
- Ground state at half-filling (2 out of 4 bands) is a correlated antiferromagnetic insulator



• In addition to particle-hole excitations, have topological textures: skyrmions in each *layer* carry charge Sondhi *et al.* PRL (1)

Sondhi *et al*, PRL (1993) Moon *et al*, PRB (1994)

$$Q_{physical} = CQ_{topological}$$

- Can charge-e skyrmions pair and condense to give rise to superconductivity?
- Superconductivity from 2e skyrmion condensation has been proposed in doped QSH insulators does this physics survive Coulomb repulsion?

Abanov and Weigeman, PRL (2001) Grover and Senthil, PRL (2008) Christos *et al*, PNAS (2020) Wang *et al*, arXiv: 2006.13239

• Consider a skyrmion in one QH layer and an anti-skyrmion in the opposite layer

$$Q_{physical} = CQ_{topological}$$

- Both carry same charge: Repelled by Coulomb but attracted by local antiferromagnetism J
- *All electronic pairing mechanism* without phonons/retardation/bosonic fluctuations

SC, N. Bultinck, M. Zaletel, PRB 2020 E. Khalaf, SC *et al*, arXiv:2004.00638



- For charge e textures, kinetic energy quenched by magnetic field
- Charge 2e skyrmion with charge e in each layer sees *no net magnetic field*, can therefore be mobile





- Essential ingredients:
- 1. Spinful (nearly) flat bands with opposite Chern number  $\pm 1$
- 2. AF interaction between the Chern sectors, in addition to Coulomb repulsion



For connections to moire' graphene: Talks by: Eslam (Nov 30) Mathias (today) Ashvin (tomorrow)

• Test: AF couple spinful lowest Landau levels, amenable to DMRG

Zaletel et al, PRL (2013)

iDMRG for coupled Landau level model on a cylinder ( $L_v = 8-12 \ell_B$ ) Ippoliti *et al*, PRB (2018)

$$H = \psi^{\dagger} \frac{(\mathbf{p} + e\gamma^{z} \mathbf{A})^{2}}{2m} \psi + \frac{1}{2} \int :n(r)V_{C}(r - r')n(r') :-E_{C}\ell_{B}^{2} \sum_{i=x,y,z} J_{i} : (\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{z}\eta^{i}\psi(r))^{2} :$$
Kinetic term
$$\gamma = \text{layer}, \eta = \text{spin}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

C = -'

Related work: Kang and Vafek, PRB (2020) Soejima, Parker et al, PRB (2020) Eugenio and Dag, arXiv: 2004.10363

IIIUUUUI IUI J

• iDMRG for coupled Landau level model on a cylinder ( $L_y = 8-12 \ell_B$ ) Ippoliti *et al*, PRB (2018)

$$H = \psi^{\dagger} \frac{(\mathbf{p} + e\gamma^{z} \mathbf{A})^{2}}{2m} \psi + \frac{1}{2} \int :n(r)V_{C}(r - r')n(r') :-E_{C}\ell_{B}^{2} \sum_{i=x,y,z} J_{i} : (\psi^{\dagger}\gamma^{z}\eta^{i}\psi(r))^{2} :$$
Kinetic term
$$\gamma = \text{layer}, \eta = \text{spin}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

$$J_{x} = J_{y} = J + \lambda, J_{z} = J - \lambda \longrightarrow \text{Easy plane/easy}$$

Related work: Kang and Vafek, PRB (2020) Soejima, Parker *et al*, PRB (2020) Eugenio and Dag, arXiv: 2004.10363





- Superconductivity at large J (layer-unpolarized)
- Single particle excitations have gap ~ E<sub>C</sub>
- Algebraic decay of Kramerspair correlations  $\langle \Delta^{\dagger}(x,0)\Delta(0,0)\rangle \propto x^{-\eta_{SC}}$  $\eta_{SC} \propto L_y^{-1}$
- Scaling analysis shows true long range SC order in 2d limit  $(L_y \xrightarrow{\lambda} \infty)$

#### Phase diagram at doping 2 + 1/4



- Coexisting XY-AF and CDW at small J (layer-polarized)
- Transition (first order) between CDW and SC as J is increased
- Small region of coexistence of SC and XY-AF order at finite q\* (tied to the doping)
- The competing state is layerpolarized, but depends on the filling (CDW at 2+1/4, CFL at 2+1/2, IQHE at 2+1)



Both NLSM and DMRG give energy of charged excitations above insulator



• Numerics for quantum system confirm classical expectations!

- Critical  $J_*(\lambda) \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ , indicative of collective pairing mechanism
- Pairing is much more favorable in the easy plane case (good for MAG!)



• Good qualitative agreement between quantum and classical numerics

- Critical  $J_*(\lambda) \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ , indicative of collective pairing mechanism
- Pairing is much more favorable in the easy plane case (good for MAG!)





- Critical  $J_*(\lambda) \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ , indicative of collective pairing mechanism
- Pairing is much more favorable in the easy plane case (good for MAG!)





Easy axis: Charge density remains radially symmetric, incurs larger Coulomb penalty

- Critical  $J_*(\lambda) \to 0$  as  $\lambda \to 0$ , indicative of collective pairing mechanism
- Pairing is much more favorable in the easy plane case (good for MAG!)



• Quantum zero-point fluctuations  $\propto$  J raise the energy of 2e skyrmions

- As  $\lambda \to 0$ , effective mass  $M_{2e} \propto J^{-1}$  as expected from semiclassical study
- At larger momenta  $k_y$ , charges from opposite layers get separated, with Chern resolved dipole moment  $\propto k_y$ : paying AF exchange penalty



- As  $\lambda \to 0$ , effective mass  $M_{2e} \propto J^{-1}$  as expected from semiclassical study
- At larger momenta  $k_y$ , charges from opposite layers get separated, with Chern resolved dipole moment  $\propto k_y$ : paying AF exchange penalty



Again, numerics for quantum system confirm classical expectations!



When XY-AF order coexists with SC, it has finite momenta q<sub>\*</sub> tied to doping

Phase  $\theta$  of XY order jumps by  $\pi$  every-time it crosses 2e charge (on top of insulator)

Easy-plane anisotropy: 2e skyrmion deforms into meron-antimeron pair

To avoid Coulomb repulsion, meron and antimeron lie half-way apart on the cylinder

 $\theta = \arg\left[\sinh(2\pi(z-z_0)/2L_y)\sinh(2\pi(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_1)/2L_y)\right]$  $\Delta\theta = \theta(x=\infty,y) - \theta(x=-\infty,y) = 2\pi(y_1-y_0)/L_y$ 

• Further evidence of skyrmion mechanism!

#### **Conclusions and Outlook**

- Numerically established skyrmion-antiskyrmion pair condensation as a viable mechanism for superconductivity
- Band topology plays a crucial role (not seen in bands with same C)
- MATBG has the right physical ingredients to realize this mechanism: required band topology and low iso-spin stiffness ~ 1 meV (perhaps mirror symmetric MATLG too?)
- Open questions --- Effects of:

Saito *et al*, arXiv:2008:10830 Park *et al*, arXiv:2012.01434 Hao *et al*, arXiv:2012.01434

- 1. Non-uniform Berry curvature
- 2. Disorder
- 3. Spin-orbit coupling

For connections to moire' graphene - Talks by: Eslam (Nov 30), Mathias (today), Ashvin (tomorrow)

# Thank you for your attention!



#### **Correlation functions**



#### Phase diagram at other fillings



#### Larger spins at low anisotropy



• Spin 3/2 charge e excitations at small J and  $\lambda$ : Indicates charge really goes in as skyrmions rather than simple electrons.