Quantum interaction effects in a triangular spin-1/2 antiferromagnet

Radu Coldea

Oxford



KITP, Correlated20



Collaborators



David Macdougal D. Prabhakaran



Alun Biffin, Stephanie Williams

R.I. Bewley, D. Voneshen



Neutron Scattering on LET @ ISIS





Outline

- 1) Triangular Heisenberg AFM open questions on spin dynamics
- 2) $Ba_3CoSb_2O_9$: Co²⁺ effective S = 1/2 and 120° Nèel order
- 3) Overview of excitation spectrum
- 4) Magnon dispersions: renormalizations and soft modes
- 5) Why magnons do not decay?
- 6) High-energy continuum vs two-magnon excitations

D. Macdougal,.. R.C, Phys. Rev. B 102, 064421 (2020)





Triangular Heisenberg antiferromagnet: spin-wave modes



- spontaneous non-collinear 120° Nèel order with spin reduction Δ S~0.3
- single propagation vector $\mathbf{Q} = (1/3, 1/3)$



- in lab frame see $\omega(\mathbf{k})$, $\omega(\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{Q})$

Triangular Heisenberg antiferromagnet: spin-wave approaches

Μ'I





Triangular Heisenberg antiferromagnet - open questions

- do magnons decay ?
- can dispersion be described by SWT?
- nature of high-energy excitations, multi-spinwaves?



Mourigal, Fuhrman, Chernyshev, Zhitomirsky (2013) - DMRG near HB limit find no decay, variational Monte Carlo also



Ferrari, Becca (2019)

Spatially-anisotropic triangular lattice – phase diagram







- 2-layer stacking with 2-fold screw axis (hexagonal $P6_3/mmc$) Co $\overline{3}m$ point group
- Co-O-O-Co superexchange AFM
- 120° Nèel order $T_N \sim 3.7 \text{ K}$
- small XXZ anisotropy
- Co²⁺ Kramers effective spin-1/2





1/3rd magnetization plateau in in-plane field Suzuki ... Tanaka PRL(2013)

Inelastic neutron scattering experiments



- floating-zone grown crystals of Ba₃CoSb₂O₉
- total mass ~ 4 g

- mount with (hk0) scattering plane horizontal
- cover multiple hexagonal Brillouin zones in-plane
- simultaneously measure multiple incident energies
 (7 meV overview, 3.5 meV higher resolution)



see also Ito...(2017),Ma... (2016), Zhou...(2012)

Inelastic neutron scattering experiments

- probe full hexagonal Brillouin zone in-plane with good wavevector resolution



 probe L-dispersion through vertical scattering





Overview of the excitation spectrum



 two intense sharp modes, gapless + gapped above magnetic Bragg peaks characteristic of easy-plane XXZ

triangular cone at K + oval
 contours around M due to
 local "roton" soft mode







 $[\zeta, \zeta, 0.25]$

K/2

Μ

Q

weaker soft mode in <u>secondary</u> mode at M for primary mode ω(K/2)

Dispersions not accounted for by spin wave theory

- allow for J_1 , interlayer J_z , XXZ anisotropy Δ
- capture well low-energy dispersions
- not possible to describe high-energy dispersions even in SWT+1/S (observed ω ~45% lower)





- LSWT expected to work well at low energies
- to capture the soft modes imagine interaction of $\hbar\omega_{\rm LSWT}$ - with a higher energy parabolic mode $\hbar\Omega_i$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hbar\omega_{\rm LSWT} & c_i \\ c_i & \hbar\Omega_i \end{pmatrix}$$

- lower energy eigenvalue λ^- inherits the soft mode dip
- motivated empirically to capture repelling effect from interaction with high-energy states, high energy magnons most affected, low energies not affected as expected
 parameterize soft minima at both M and K/2 points









- include nn exchange J_1 , interlayer coupling J_z , XXZ anisotropy Δ
- refine parameterization
 from global fit to data
 along many *k*-directions
 (include full cross-section
 model with 3 modes)
- constrain to reproduce saturation field *B_c*

all dispersions in full 3D
 Brillouin zone captured
 quantitatively



all dispersion modulations well captured soft modes at M and K/2







Sharp magnons and strong continua



- magnons are sharp everywhere, even at top of dispersion



Decay when a sharp mode enters a continuum

 example of sharp mode entering continuum and decaying

- sharpness of mode suggests it never enters the continuum





Why magnons do not decay ?

- two-magnon phase space

$$\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2$$

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \omega(\mathbf{k}_1) + \omega(\mathbf{k}_2)$$

- $_{3}$ (a) 2D Heisenberg LSWT (b) 2D XXZ ($\Delta = 0.95$) LSWT (d) 2D XXZ ($\Delta = 0.95$) LSWT "renormalized" Decay region Decay Decay 2.5 $\mathbf{2}$ 1.51 $-\omega(\mathbf{k})$ 0.5 $--\omega(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{Q})$ $\omega(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{Q})$ one-Μ Κ Г Κ \mathbf{M} magnon Κ Μ Г
 - Heisenberg LSWT model
 –> extensive decay
 region expected
- add anisotropy XXZ -> top magnon still expected to decay

 for the parameterized dispersion no overlap => no decay allowed

More evidence for quantum interactions: transfer of spectral weight to continuum

- overall weight of continuum scattering much stronger than expected two-magnon (LSWT)
- magnon intensity decreases faster with increasing ω than 1/ ω expected by LSWT
- high-energy magnons transfer part of their weight to continuum



Continuum scattering compared to a two-magnon cross-section

- continuum extends well beyond the top two-magnon cut-off
- starts close to spinwave cone (not with a large separation) and has additional higher-energy structure





















































- observed sharp magnons throughout, no decay, attribute to strong interaction with continuum
- for the parameterized dispersion no overlap of one and two magnon phase spaces occurs
- magnons carry little weight -> strong transfer of spectral weight to continuum, highly structured continuum intensity, not explained by a two-magnon cross-section