Emergence of the relations between galaxy morphology and environment : Cluster environment

<Co-evolution of the Cosmic Web and Galaxies across Cosmic Time> 2023. 2. 8 Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics @ UC Santa Barbara

Changbom Park (KIAS) & Sungwook Hong (KASI)

with Celine Gouin, Jaehyun Lee, Juhan Kim (KIAS) & the HR5 collaboration



Emergence of the relations between galaxy morphology and environment: Cluster environment

KITP, UC Santa Barbara Feb. 8, 2023 Changbom Park (KIAS) & Sungwook Hong (KASI)



Luminosity – Morphology – SFR – Clustercentric Radius relation



Coma cluster © Justin Ng



Clustercentric radius

: Galaxies in & around 93 relaxed Abell clusters [Park & Hwang 2009]

Morphology – Environment relation



SFR – **Environment** relation



Interaction with neighbors gives big impact on SFA of cluster galaxies
(Cluster hot gas is not the main cause for SF quenching) [Park & Hwang 2009]

Questions

- 1. When did the "L Morphology Environment relation" appear in galaxy clusters?
- 2. When did the SF characteristics of cluster galaxies appear?
- 3. What are the physical processes that resulted in those relations?
- (4. When and how the physical parameters, $L morph SFR v/\sigma$, of galaxies got correlated?)

Horizon Run 5 Cosmological Simulation [JH Lee 2021; C Park+ 2022]

Simulation code: Hybrid MPI-<u>OpenMP RAMSES</u> Initial conditions: generated by the MUSIC package using the second-order Lagrangian perturbation theory Cosmological parameters: Ω_m =0.3, Ω_Λ =0.7, Ω_b =0.047, h_o =0.684 (flat Λ CDM)

Simulation box size: (1049 Mpc)³ Zoomed-in regior (1049x119x127) Mpc³ Resolution of the initial conditions: 128 kpc Highest simulation resolution: 1 kpc

[dark matter]



grey: stellar mass. red: gas temperature. blue: gas density

Galaxy morphology classification

using *physical* (rather than *observable*) properties, i.e. using stellar mass density distribution (Trayford+19; Park+2022)

- 1. Asymmetry parameter &
- 2. Sersic index of the radial profile of projected stellar mass density





Morphology of the first galaxies in the cosmic morning [C. Park+ 2022]

Majority of galaxies are Disk types!! Disks : Spheroids : Irregulars = 2/3 : 1/6 : 1/6 @ $z = 4 \sim 8$

- Alignment between L (initial AM) and galaxy L_{tot} & L_*



Angular momentum due to the tidal torque on proto-galactic regions

 $\boldsymbol{\propto} \ L_{\alpha} \equiv \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \sum_{\sigma} I_{\beta\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} \partial_{\gamma} \Phi(\boldsymbol{q}_{c})$

∴ Large-scale tidal field/velocity field in the initial conditions responsible for dominance of disks and return to disk morphology!



What determines the morphology of the first galaxies? : Spheroid & Irr

Irregular or spheroidal morphology is incidental and transient!



Development of Morphology – Cluster Environment relation

162 HR5 galaxy clusters with $M_{tot} > 5 x 10^{13} \ M_{\odot}$ at z=0.625

3960 cluster member galaxies with $M_* > 5x10^9 M_{\odot}$ at z=0.625

Trace the main progenitor of each member galaxy

Mass(L) - Morphology – Clustercentric Radius relation



(central galaxy)



% Morphology depends not directly on mass, but on galaxy location in the cosmic web & how galaxy acquires its mass (+some cumulative effect)

Mass(L) - sSFR – Clustercentric Radius relation



sSFR - r_{cl} relation emerges here !

Neighbor interaction at 0.1~1 r_{cl}/R_{200}





 $M_{n} = -20.5 \sim -22.5$

D

6

*****-17

~ -20.5

~ -19

8

POPULATION VS. PROJECTED DENSITY (ALL CLUSTERS)

(0.1-)

-0.5

0.0

0.5

· · · · · · · T / · · · · ·

C

10

OE BOB

CTION

(-2.9) -1.0

Summary

- 1. Horizon Run 5: Morphology of galaxy stellar mass density distribution
 - 1cGpc box + 1pkpc resolution
 - Coherence of v_{pec} ~correctly represented on galaxy & cluster scales.

2. Galaxy morphology in the cosmic morning (z<4)

- Dominated by disk galaxies (fraction $\approx 2/3$)
- Disk morphology the tidal torque imprinted in the initial conditions
- Spheroidal and irregular morphologies are incidental and transient.

3. Luminosity/Mass - morphology - r_{cl} relation

- Emerges at $z = 2.5 \sim 2$, and well established at $z \sim 1.5$
- Strong interactions & high merger rate accompanied by mass growth at the center seems responsible (indicated by large Irr fraction at the center)

4. Luminosity/Mass - SFR - r_{cl} relation

- Emerges at $z = \sim 1$ (depends on SF history of simulation)
- Mostly due to passive disk domination at $r_{cl}/R_{200} < 1$ after z= ~1
- Impacts of mass growth stop, interaction with neighbors [on-going]

