# First Light and Faintest Dwarfs Concluding Remarks

### **Richard Ellis (Caltech)**

#### KITP February 17th 2012



### Opening Remarks or Closing Remarks?



TIWLTHMA

Things I would like to hear more about

• TIOTHLTBWRME

Things I ought to have listened to but was reading my email

• TITWIBIDRU

Things I thought were interesting but I didn't really understand

• TCTMTS

Things Carlos told me to say

### **The Important Role of Dwarf Galaxies**

#### I: Dwarf galaxies as probes of cosmic history

- test of hierarchical assembly via Galactic archeology
- role of galaxies in cosmic reionization

#### II: Dwarf galaxies as `dark matter laboratories'

- fine structure in DM power spectrum
- interaction of DM with baryons
- key tests of standard CDM paradigm
- Meeting succeeded in bringing together two different astrophysical communities
- Not an easy task, organizers to be congratulated
- Some interesting sociological observations (personal view!)

### **Spot the Difference**

Very high z observers





Very low z observers








### I: Dwarf Galaxies at Probes of Cosmic History: Connecting Very Low & Very High z

- Dwarf galaxies dominate the reionization process
- Metallicity in neutral hydrogen dominated DLAs
- Early supernovae may generate unique nuclear signatures



- Local Group dwarfs as fossils of hierarchical assembly
- Metal poor stars; nuclear signatures & chemical tagging
- Role of globular clusters?


### **Great Progress in High Z Discoveries!**




### **Does the Neutral Era Begin at z~7?**

Lyα


Rate of occurrence in Lyman α emission in WFC3/IR LBGs and red damping wing in z~7.085 QSO give tantalizing hints that we are entering the neutral era





Expect rapid progress in this area with VISTA (more high z QSOs) and multi-slit IR spectrograps on Keck and VLT

### Loads of Dwarfs at High Redshift?




Combination of ultra-deep UDF09 data plus multi-pointing BORG survey of 250 arcmin<sup>2</sup> gives excellent constraints on  $z \sim 8$  LF to  $M_{UV} \sim -17$  (NB: only L\*+3!)

Steep faint end slope  $\alpha$ ~-2 suggests 75% of luminosity density from unobserved objects (e.g. to M<sub>UV</sub>~-10!) – but is this deduction sound?


Loads of dwarfs are dearly needed to solve the reionization mystery!

### **Stellar Mass Constraints**




Extending observed LF to ultrafaint limits (so as to be consistent with GRBderived star formation history) may violate the measured stellar mass density at  $z\sim4-6$ , particularly if those estimates are too high due to nebular emission

#### Robertson & Ellis (2012)



## **Role of Spitzer: Ages & Masses**

Most likely z~6 stellar mass density over-estimated!



Alternative fits: stars vs stars + nebular

For spectroscopically-confirmed galaxies we can determine in which IRAC bands nebular lines fall & estimate corrections to ages and stellar masses

Stark et al (2012)


## f<sub>esc</sub>?

The most profound statement at the meeting:

"The escape fraction of ionizing photons lies between zero and one"

Radiation pressure leads to `cometary like' structures in simulated z~8 galaxies implying favorable geometries for escaping photons?


(J. Wise)



## **High z Observations Relating to** f<sub>esc</sub>!

Escape fraction inferred via covering fraction of neutral gas (1-f<sub>esc</sub>)!


#### Traced by low ionization absorption lines in stacked 3<z<5 spectra



Systematic weakening with increasing redshift in low ionization species implying galaxies become more porous as we approach reionization era

#### Jones et al (astro-ph/1111.5102)

### **The Local Group Dwarfs**




### **We Expect More Ultra-Faint Dwarfs**

Current surveys are naturally limited in surface brightness and sampled volume. We expect many more ultrafaint dwarfs down to some limit where we expect only dark subhalos


Challenge is to search for more metal-poor ultra-faint dwarfs in deeper sky survey data: prospects good with new deeper all-sky surveys

Springel et al (2008) Tollerud et al (2008) Bovill & Ricotti (2011) Rashkov et al (2012)



### **Dwarfs as Fossils**

### **Chemical Abundances are Best Probe!**




Composition of metal poor dwarf stars matches that in Milky Way halo suggesting they are surviving building blocks

For a genuine fossil, there should be no subsequent AGB or SN Ia enrichment

#### Frebel et al (2010,2011)


### **Can We Tie Nuclear Products to Early SNe?**

### Leo IV abundances c.f. Pop III supernova models



Simon et al (2011)


### **High Z Chemical Analogs of Local Dwarfs?**



High quality data on DLAs: the natural reservoir of neutral gas to z~5 At the highest redshift metal distribution most closely matches MW halo

#### **Artie Wolfe**


### **More Detailed Abundances in DLAs**




#### Becker et al (2011):

- Great opportunity! chemical tagging connection to MW halo (Johnston)?

### **No Evidence for Pair Instability SNe?**



### **Can We Forget About Pop III?**




Metal enrichment is ~instantaneous on a cosmic timescale (20 Myr for JWST-accessible sources)

### **II: Dwarf Galaxies as DM Laboratories**

A galactic halo (~ $10^{12} M_0$ )

cold dark matter

warm dark matter



### **The Three Big Issues**

- `Missing Satellite' Problem
- Core-Cusp Problem
- The `too big too fail' problem (`Boylan-Kolchin effect'?)

Can rationalize solutions according to personal outlook


- 1. Revolutionary/anarchist: Problems are fundamental to cosmology: e.g. Warm Dark Matter
- 2. Interest in cooking: baryonic feedback (e.g. SNe outflows)
- 3. Pessimist: Nature is a bitch:

Milky Way is atypical (satellites) data is suspect (core-cusp)

### **Missing Satellites?**

Suppression of star formation due to reionization and SN feedback is a semianalytic fit to the galaxy luminosity function: <u>it is a hypothesis and not a proof</u>

Thus, Carlos, we do have to find the dark satellites




### **Substructure in MW Halo**

Can we deduce original abundance of subhalos through distortions and tidal debris?

Tight mass-metallicity relation precludes wholesale downsizing of classic dwarfs by loss of stars (but loss of enriched gas significant)

Association of most ultrafaint dwarfs with tidal streams suggests there were once many more: how many depends on their internal structure




### **Gaps in the Streams**

Structure in the streams is caused by DM sub-halos with masses >  $10^8 M_{\odot}$ 

The <u>number density of gaps</u> in well-studied streams can thus be used to quantify the abundance of dark sub-halos above this minimum mass.

With some uncertainty, the abundance of gaps scaled to 100 kpc distance is consistent with 10<sup>5</sup> halos above the detectable minimum mass.




#### Carlberg (2012)

# **Highlight: the promise of strong lensing**

#### **Uncle Fritz was right!**


B1938+666 Einstein ring convergence



Need lots of lenses, accurate PSF Limitations: 3-D position of substructure in host, los projection effects


#### Vegetti et al - great talks also by Keeton, Treu

### **Core-Cusp Problem: How good is the data?**




Field dwarfs: Oh et al (2011)

Sculptor dSph: Helmi, Breddels et al (2012)




### **Repeated SN Outflows Induce Core**



Pontzen & Governato (2012)

### The `Too Big to Fail' Problem?


CDM puts the brightest satellites into halos more massive than observed



Lovell et al (2011)

Boylan-Kolchin et al (2011)

### How Big A Deal Is the Discrepancy?



CDM rejected at 93.6% confidence level

Parry et al (2011)







The Irish Times - Thursday, September 15, 2011

# Expert losing sleep over theory


**CREATION OF UNIVERSE:** THE STANDARD theory on the creation of the universe is under threat, according to world leading cosmologist Prof Carlos Frenk.

At the British Science Association festival, <u>Prof Frenk admitted he was losing sleep following the realisation</u> that everything he had worked on for the last 35 years was based around an idea that was looking increasingly flawed. "I don't say this lightly," he said.


### **Baryonic Feedback?**

#### **Reduction in dark matter density from supernova feedback?**

(e.g., Navarro et al. 1996; Read & Gilmore 2005; Governato et al. 2010; Pontzen & Governato 2012)




### Milky Way Doesn't Seem Anomalous?



Preliminary; based on data on M31 from the SPLASH collaboration (see also Collins et al. / PAndAS)

### **The Future is Bright**

- Launch of GAIA a revolution in the field
- Faint Imaging: WFC3/IR, DES, Skymapper, PanSTARRS, Subaru HSC
- Spectroscopy: MOSFIRE/KMOS, Ca K survey, Subaru PFS, 4MOST





## **Opening Remarks (redux)**

### TIWLTHMA

- DM detections from Fermi? NOT YET, PROMISING
- Flux anomalies consistent with CDM? SO FAR
- Are Milky Way DGs typical? YES
- Can DGs Reionize the Universe? PROBABLY

### Thanks to Nick, Risa and Léon!



