### INFLUENCE OF INHOMOGENEOUS BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ON THE GEODYNAMO



David Gubbins Ashley Willis, Binod Sreenivasan Chris Davies

School of Earth & Environment University of Leeds UK





### GEOMAGNETIC FIELD AND LOWER MANTLE $V_s$ AS FUNCTIONS OF LONGITUDE



### **GEODYNAMO MODEL: PARAMETERS**

•Ekman number (rotation/viscosity)E= 1.2 10<sup>-4</sup> Cannot be small enough •Rayleigh number (vertical buoyancy) Rv=1.4Rc: Close to critical or subcritical •Prandtl number (viscosity/thermal) Pr=1 Should be large to remove inertia •Roberts number (thermal/magnetic) q=10 Cannot be too small or dynamo will fail •Horizontal buoyancy number Rh Rh/Rv in range zero to 1 or more: locks at 0.9 no dunamo s1.2

![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

Earth 1750

![](_page_6_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Model

Model truncatec

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Rh/Rv=0.6* 

### GEOMAGNETIC FIELD AND LOWER MANTLE $V_s$ AS FUNCTIONS OF LONGITUDE

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

### A LOCKED DYNAMO WITH q=1

- Rather than reducing electrical diffusivity, increase thermal diffusivity...
- Or reduce the convection (Peclet number) at the top
- Chemical buoyancy has sinks at the top
- As does thermal buoyancy because of the curvature of the adiabat in the core
  - Locking is then possible with a higher Rayleigh number because advection remains weak near the boundary

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

As above truncated to degree 14

## COMPARISON WITH PALEOMAGNETISM, 5 Myr

- Global models show some evidence of flux concentrations
- Can now compare dynamo predictions with data directly

### THE TIME-AVERAGED PALEOMAGNETIC FIELD LAST 5Ma

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_0.jpeg)

- I diffusion time = 200 kyr
- Field "hangs up" increasing averaging time required

## **INCLINATION ANOMALY**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **COMPARE HAWAII & REUNION**

#### Love & Constable (2003)

#### Locked dynamo (this study)

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **INCLINATION ANOMALY**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

## CONCLUSIONS

•Thermal boundary effects are strong when upper core advection is weak

 The present geomagnetic field is correlated with Vs

The bigger Siberian anomaly has a bigger effect on the magnetic field than the Canadian anomaly
Departures from the dipole are dominated by variations in longitude not latitude
The averaging time is not an indicator of how well the dynamo is locked

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

Radial component 1980 Downward continued To core surface

### TEMPERATURE IN THE SOLID MANTLE

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

# The Tangent Cylinder

#### RESONANCE NEEDS COMPARABLE SCALES: MAGNETIC FIELD PROVIDES THIS

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### HOMOGENEOUS

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### NON-MAGNETIC

DYNAMO

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **INHOMOGENEOUS**

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

## DYNAMO RESULTS

- Find a *simple* dynamo...
- with a field locked to boundary heat flux anomalies
- Compare it with the slowly varying component of the Earth's main field