Numerical simulations of the electron glass (in and out of equilibrium)

> Matteo Palassini Universitat de Barcelona

with Martin Goethe

August 19, 2010. Program "Electron Glasses", Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, UCSB

# Electron glass model (Efros)

quenched random potential

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{e^2}{2\kappa} \sum_{i \neq j} (n_i - K) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} (n_j - K) + W \sum_i n_i \varphi_i$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i = KN$ 

Inspired from "classical impurity band" for compensated semiconductors.
Relevant for other materials? (amorphous semiconductors, granular metals)

#### Outline:

Phase diagram and existence of an equilibrium glass phase
 Shape of the Coulomb gap in 3D
 Pair density of states and polaronic shift
 (Avalanches)

M. Goethe and MP, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 045702 (2009) Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 18, 868 (2009)

# Electron glass model (Efros)

quenched random potential

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{e^2}{2\kappa} \sum_{i \neq j} (n_i - K) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} (n_j - K) + W \sum_i n_i \varphi_i$$

 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i = KN$ 

#### Outline:

Phase diagram and existence of an equilibrium glass phase
 Shape of the Coulomb gap in 3D
 Pair density of states and polaronic shift
 (Avalanches)

# Electron glass model (Efros)

quenched random potential

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{e^2}{2\kappa} \sum_{i \neq j} (n_i - K) \frac{1}{r_{ij}} (n_j - K) + W \sum_i n_i \varphi_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i = KN$$

#### Outline:

Phase diagram and existence of an equilibrium glass phase
 Shape of the Coulomb gap in 3D
 Pair density of states and polaronic shift
 (Avalanches)

Simulation details:

Cubic (3D) and square (2D) lattice K = 1/2

- $ilde{ullet}$  Gaussian distributed  $arphi _i$
- Computational methods:
  - 1. Equilibrium Monte Carlo simulation (Parallel Tempering algorithm)
  - 2. Local relaxation (T=0 quench) with one particle hops
- Infinite periodic system. Ewald sum (with dipole surface term in 3D)



- nonlinear screening (Baranovski et al. 1984)

model: exp(N) thermodynamic states

## Equilibrium Monte Carlo

- long range interaction
- sample averaging
- frustration
- low T -> very low acceptance
- critical slowing down
- supercritical slowing in the COP

#### Parallel Tempering algorithm



30 years CPU time, L<=12

 $T_c = 0.1287 \pm 0.004$ W = 0

(Möbius and Rössler 2003)



 $W_c>0$  at T=0 (Möbius)

# Equilibration

1. Thermal averages not changing when tripling the # of MCS

2. Necessary condition

$$2TN^{-1}[\langle \sum_{i} n_{i}\varphi_{i}\rangle]_{av} = W(2N^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}[\langle n_{i}^{(a)}n_{i}^{(b)}\rangle]_{av} - 1)$$



# Equilibration

# 3. Ground state reached with high frequency

#### 4. Low energy states are Boltzmann-distributed



## Numerical probes of the glass phase

$$T > T_g \qquad \langle n_i n_j \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle \langle n_j \rangle \sim \exp{-r/\xi}$$

$$T < T_g \qquad \langle n_i n_j \rangle_\alpha - \langle n_i \rangle_\alpha \langle n_j \rangle_\alpha \sim r_{ij}^{-\lambda} \to 0$$

$$(\langle n_i n_j \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle \langle n_j \rangle)^2 \to const. \quad r_{ij} \to \infty$$
$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \sum_{states \ \alpha} w_\alpha \langle \cdot \rangle_\alpha$$



#### Finite-size correlation length

F. Cooper, B. Freedman, and D. Preston, Nucl. Phys. B 210, 210 (1982) M. Palassini and S. Caracciolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5128 (1999)

$$\begin{split} T > T_g & \frac{\xi_L^G}{L} \sim \frac{\xi}{L} \to 0 \quad \text{as } L \to \infty \\ T < T_g & \frac{\xi_L^G}{L} \sim L^{d/2} \to \infty \\ T = T_g & \frac{\xi_L^G}{L} \to \text{const.} \end{split}$$

$$\xi_L^{\rm G} = \frac{1}{2\sin(|\mathbf{k}_{min}|/2)} \left(\frac{\chi_L^{\rm G}(0)}{\chi_L^{\rm G}(\mathbf{k}_{min})} - 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\chi_L^{\rm G}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{i,j} [(\langle n_i n_j \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle \langle n_j \rangle)^2]_{av} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}}$$
$$\mathbf{k}_{min} = (2\pi/L, 0, 0)$$

$$\frac{dL}{L} = f((T - T_g)L^{1/\nu})$$

# Location of the transition to the charge-ordered phase and critical behavior



$$\chi_{|m_s|} = N[\langle m_s^2 \rangle - \langle |m_s| \rangle^2]_{av} \quad m_s = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \sigma_i$$
$$M_s = [\langle |m_s| \rangle]_{av} \qquad \sigma_i = (2n_i - 1)(-1)^{x_i + y_i + z_i}$$



# The correlation length shows no sign of a glass phase down to extremely low temperatures

Similar results: Surer, Katzgraber, Zimanyi, Allgood, Blatter, PRL 102, 067205 (2009)





## The overlap distribution also shows no sign of a glass phase Overlap $q^{ab} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (2n_i^a - 1)(2n_i^b - 1)$ $P(q) = [\langle \delta(q^{a,b} - q) \rangle]_{av}$







M.Goethe and MP, unpublished

## The correlation length behaves similarly in 2D, 3D, and in the 3D RFIM





Proposal of a glass phase in the RFIM:

Mezard, Parisi, J. Phys. A23, L1229 (1990) Mezard, Young, Europhys. Lett. 18, 653(1992). Mezard, Monasson, Phys.Rev. B50, 7199(1994) Brezin, DeDominicis, Europhys. Lett. 44, 13(1998); Parisi, V.S.. Dotsenko, J. Phys. A25, 3143(1992)

### Measurement of the correlation function in 2D

$$C(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) = \left[ \left( \langle n_i n_j \rangle - \langle n_i \rangle \langle n_j \rangle \right)^2 \right]_{av}$$



## The Coulomb gap

Pollak (1970), Srinivasan (1971): the long-range Coulomb interaction depletes the single-particle density of states at the Fermi level

Variable-range hopping conductivity (assuming saturated bound d-1):

Mott lawEfros-Shklovskii law $\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp - \left(\frac{T_M}{T}\right)^{1/4}$  $\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp - \left(\frac{T_{ES}}{T}\right)^{1/2}$ 

- Self consistent methods:  $c_3 = 3/\pi, c_2 = 2/\pi$  (Efros 1976, Baranovskii et al. 1978, Mogilyanskii and Raikh 1989)
- Mean-field theory: (Müller and Pankov, 2007)
  - saturated ES bound – gap width  $\Delta \sim T_g$

 $g(\epsilon, T) \sim T^{d-1} \tilde{g}(|\epsilon|/T) \sim T^{d-1}$ 

 $\epsilon/T \ll 1$ 

Thermal filling of the gap:

## Experimental observation of the Coulomb gap

#### Massey and Lee, PRL 1995 (Si:B) Conductivity



FIG. 3. Plot of  $\log[\partial \ln(\rho)/\partial(1/T)]$  vs  $\log[T]$ . The slope of the data gives the negative of the hopping exponent. The solid line is a linear fit to the range 1.5 < T < 10 K. The dashed line is a linear fit in the range 0.3 < T < 0.8 K. These lines intersect at 1.4 K.



#### Electron tunneling spectroscopy







#### Numerical studies of the Coulomb gap $g(\epsilon) = c_d |\epsilon - \mu|^{\delta}$

Baranovskii, Efros, Gelmont, Shklovskii, J. Phys. C (1979) Davies, Lee, Rice, PRB (1984) Li, Phillips, PRB (1994).  $\delta = 2.38 \ (3D)$ Moebius, Richter, Drittler, PRB (1992)  $\delta = 2.6 \pm 0.2 \ (3D); 1.2 \pm 0.1 \ (2D)$ Sarvestani, Schreiber, Vojta, PRB (1995).  $\delta = 2.7 \ (3D); 1.75 \ (2D)$ Overlin, Wong, Yu, PRB (2004).  $2.1 \le \delta \le 2.6 \ (3D)$ 



### Determining the single particle DOS at finite T

1. Parallel tempering MC

2. Shift the chemical potential to reduce finite-size effects



#### 3. Check relaxation to equilibrium



4. Use large disorder

5. Size and temperature scaling

 $g(\epsilon, T) \sim T^{\delta} f(\epsilon/T)$ 



Mogilyanskii and Raikh (1989)

 $10^{2}$ 

T= 0.0026

0.0077

0.0138

 $3/\pi$ 

Raikh

 $10^{1}$ 

 $\vdash$ 

 $\vdash \bigcirc \dashv$ 

 $\vdash \Delta \dashv$ 

0.0049

0.0105

0.017

0.025

0.035

0.047



 $g(\epsilon, T) \sim T^{\bullet} f(\epsilon/T)$ 

Mogilyanskii and Raikh (1989)











With an infinite periodic system, finite size effects are stronger in 2D than in 3D (with vacuum surrounding media)



Pseudo-ground state calculations confirm our Monte Carlo results



#### Comparison with recent numerical work



#### Comparison with recent numerical work



Surer, Katzgraber, Zimanyi,

#### Our data

See also: Comment on the paper by Surer et al. by Möbius and Richter Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 039701 (2010)

### Hardening of the Coulomb gap due to the "polaron shift"

Efros (1976) considers the stability of sites + soft pairs. Assuming that soft pairs have a finite DOS  $f(\omega)$  at low energy, he obtains:  $g(\epsilon) \leq g_0 \exp[-\left(\frac{a\Delta}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ 

Baranovskii et al. (JETP, 1980) improved the argument and propose

$$g(\epsilon) \le g_0 \exp\left[-\left(\frac{a\Delta}{\epsilon}\right) / (\ln(B/\epsilon))^{7/4}\right]$$

by considering:

- stability with respect to simultaneous flipping of many dipoles
- the angles in the dipolar interaction
- the depletion of the pair DOS due to dipole-dipole interaction, which gives  $f(\omega)\propto \frac{1}{\ln(\Delta/\omega)}$

Why do we observe  $g(\epsilon) \sim |\epsilon|^2$  then?



Soft sites (|energy| < 0.1) and soft dipoles (|energy| < 0.1; size <= 3) in a L=30 sample

$$\omega_{ij} = \epsilon_j - \epsilon_i - 1/r_{ij}$$
$$f_L(\omega, R) = \sum_{r \le R} h_L(\omega, r)$$

$$h_L(\omega, r) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{(ij), r_{ij}=r} \left[ \left\langle \delta(\omega - \omega_{ij}) \right\rangle \right]_{av}$$



specific heat



#### Summary

- no evidence for an equilibrium glass phase in 3D
- similar correlations in 2D and 3D
- characterization of the charge order phase transition
- saturated ES bound in 3D and 2D
- hardening of the gap only at unmeasurably small scales

# Thank you

Results in two dimensions agree with  $\delta = 1$  with crossover to larger  $\delta$  at small disorder



M.Goethe and MP, unpublished

Crossover in 2D already observed by Pikus and Efros (1994)

## Phase transition fluid / charge-ordered phase

	$\gamma/\nu$	$ar{\gamma}/ u$	$\beta/\nu$	ν
Coulomb glass	1.69(17)	2.89(9)	0.06(4)	1.11(12)
RFIM	1.44(12)	2.93(11)	0.011(4)	1.37(9)

— A. Middleton & D.S.Fisher, PRB 2002

 $eta/
u, \ ar{\gamma}/
u$  from quotient method for  $M_s, \ ar{\chi}_L = N[\langle m_s^2 
angle]_{av}$   $\gamma/
u$  from divergence of  $\chi_{|m_s|} = N[\langle m_s^2 
angle - \langle |m_s| 
angle^2]_{av}$ u from mod. hyperscaling  $u = rac{2-lpha}{d+\gamma/
u - ar{\gamma}/
u}$  assuming  $\alpha = 0$ 

Transition in RFIM universailty class

-> Interaction is effectively short-range