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## Electron glass model (Efros)

$$
\mathcal{H}=\frac{e^{2}}{2 \kappa} \sum_{i \neq j}\left(n_{i}-K\right) \frac{1}{r_{i j}}\left(n_{j}-K\right)+W \sum_{i} n_{i} \varphi_{i} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{i}=K N
$$

I. Inspired from "classical impurity band" for compensated semiconductors.
© Relevant for other materials? (amorphous semiconductors, granular metals)

## Outline:

Phase diagram and existence of an equilibrium glass phase
Shape of the Coulomb gap in 3D
\& Pair density of states and polaronic shift
© (Avalanches)
M. Goethe and MP, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 045702 (2009)

Ann. Phys. (Berlin) 18, 868 (2009)
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Simulation details:
© Cubic (3D) and square (2D) lattice
\& $K=1 / 2$
\& Gaussian distributed $\varphi_{i}$
© Computational methods:

1. Equilibrium Monte Carlo simulation (Parallel Tempering algorithm)
2. Local relaxation ( $T=0$ quench) with one particle hops
e Infinite periodic system. Ewald sum (with dipole surface term in 3D)

## Is there an equilibrium glass phase?

## Ising spin glass

$\mathcal{H}=\sum_{(i, j)} J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}-h \sum S_{i} \quad\left[J_{i j}\right]_{a v}=0$


Phase transition in 3D at $h=0$
(Palassini and Caracciolo, PRL 1999, Ballesteros et al., PRB 2000)
Parisi solution of the infinite-range SK model: $\exp (N)$ thermodynamic states

Electron glass
$\mathcal{H}=\sum_{i<j} \frac{1}{r_{i j}} n_{i} n_{j}+W \sum_{i} n_{i} \varphi_{i}$

$$
T_{\uparrow} \quad q^{a b}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} n_{i}^{a} n_{i}^{b}
$$

Davies, Lee, Rice ('82)
W=0: Grannan and Yu ('93)


W
Mean field theory (3D): $T<T_{g} \sim 1 / \sqrt{W}$

Pankov and Dobrosavl jevic, 2005


Müller and Ioffe, 2005
Müller and Pankov, 2007

Experimental consequences:

- diverging nonlinear capacitance
- nonlinear screening (Baranovski et al. 1984)


## Equilibrium Monte Carlo

- long range interaction
- sample averaging
- frustration
- low T -> very low acceptance
- critical slowing down
- supercritical slowing in the COP

Parallel Tempering algorithm


$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{c}=0.1287 \pm 0.004 \\
& W=0
\end{aligned}
$$

(Möbius and Rössler 2003)


$$
W_{c}>0 \text { at } T=0 \text { (Möbius) }
$$

30 years CPU time, $L<=12$

## Equilibration

## 1. Thermal averages not changing when tripling the \# of MCS

## 2. Necessary condition

$$
2 T N^{-1}\left[\left\langle\sum_{i} n_{i} \varphi_{i}\right\rangle\right]_{a v}=W\left(2 N^{-1} \sum^{N}\left[\left\langle n_{i}^{(a)} n_{i}^{(b)}\right\rangle\right]_{a v}-1\right)
$$

$$
T=0.0026
$$




## Equilibration

3. Ground state reached with high frequency

4. Low energy states are Boltzmann-distributed


## Numerical probes of the glass phase

$$
\begin{aligned}
T>T_{g} \quad & \left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle \sim \exp -r / \xi \\
T<T_{g} \quad & \left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle_{\alpha}-\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle_{\alpha}\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle_{\alpha} \sim r_{i j}^{-\lambda} \rightarrow 0 \\
& \left(\left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{2} \rightarrow \text { const. } r_{i j} \rightarrow \infty \\
& \langle\cdot\rangle=\sum_{\text {states } \alpha} w_{\alpha}\langle\cdot\rangle_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$



Overlap distribution


Finite-size correlation length
F. Cooper, B. Freedman, and D. Preston, Nucl. Phys. B 210, 210 (1982) M. Palassini and S. Caracciolo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5128 (1999)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T>T_{g} & \frac{\xi_{L}^{G}}{L} \sim \frac{\xi}{L} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } L \rightarrow \infty \\
T<T_{g} & \frac{\xi_{L}^{G}}{L} \sim L^{d / 2} \rightarrow \infty \\
T=T_{g} & \frac{\xi_{L}^{G}}{L} \rightarrow \text { const. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{L}^{\mathrm{G}}=\frac{1}{2 \sin \left(\left|\mathbf{k}_{\min }\right| / 2\right)}\left(\frac{\chi_{L}^{\mathrm{G}}(0)}{\chi_{L}^{\mathrm{G}}\left(\mathbf{k}_{\min }\right)}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \chi_{L}^{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbf{k})=\frac{1}{L^{d}} \sum_{i, j}\left[\left(\left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right]_{a v} e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{i j}} \\
& \mathbf{k}_{\min }=(2 \pi / L, 0,0)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\xi_{L}^{\mathrm{G}}}{L}=f\left(\left(T-T_{g}\right) L^{1 / \nu}\right)
$$

Location of the transition to the charge-ordered phase and critical behavior


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{L}^{G}: \xi_{L} \\
& \left(\left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{2}:\left\langle\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\chi_{\left|m_{s}\right|}=N\left[\left\langle m_{s}^{2}\right\rangle-\langle | m_{s}| \rangle^{2}\right]_{a v} & m_{s}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \sigma_{i} \\
M_{s}=\left[\langle | m_{s}| \rangle\right]_{a v} & \sigma_{i}=\left(2 n_{i}-1\right)(-1)^{x_{i}+y_{i}+z_{i}}
\end{array}
$$



The correlation length shows no sign of a glass phase down to extremely low temperatures

Similar results:
Surer, Katzgraber,
Zimanyi, Allgood, Blatter,
PRL 102, 067205 (2009)



The overlap distribution also shows no sign of a glass phase
Overlap

$$
q^{a b}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left(2 n_{i}^{a}-1\right)\left(2 n_{i}^{b}-1\right) \quad P(q)=\left[\left\langle\delta\left(q^{a, b}-q\right)\right\rangle\right]_{a v}
$$



M.Goethe and MP, unpublished

The correlation length behaves similarly in 2D, 3D, and in the 3D RFIM



Proposal of a glass phase in the RFIM:
Mezard, Parisi, J. Phys. A23, L1229 (1990) Mezard, Young, Europhys. Lett. 18, 653(1992). Mezard, Monasson, Phys.Rev. B50, 7199(1994) Brezin, DeDominicis, Europhys. Lett. 44, 13(1998); Parisi, V.S.. Dotsenko, J. Phys. A25, 3143(1992)

Measurement of the correlation function in 2D

$$
C\left(\mathbf{r}_{i j}\right)=\left[\left(\left\langle n_{i} n_{j}\right\rangle-\left\langle n_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle n_{j}\right\rangle\right)^{2}\right]_{a v}
$$



## The Coulomb gap

© Pollak (1970), Srinivasan (1971): the long-range Coulomb interaction depletes the single-particle density of states at the Fermi level
£. Efros-Shklovskii (1975):

$$
\epsilon_{i}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{n_{j}-K}{r_{i j}}+\varphi_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(\epsilon)=\frac{1}{V} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left[\left\langle\delta\left(\epsilon-\epsilon_{i}\right)\right\rangle\right]_{a v} \leq c_{d}|\epsilon-\mu|^{d-1} \\
|\epsilon-\mu|<\Delta \sim W^{-1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Variable-range hopping conductivity (assuming saturated bound d-1):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Mott law } \\
\sigma=\sigma_{0} \exp -\left(\frac{T_{M}}{T}\right)^{1 / 4} & \text { Efros-Shklovskii law } \\
& \sigma=\sigma_{0} \exp -\left(\frac{T_{E S}}{T}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{array}
$$

© Self consistent methods: $\quad c_{3}=3 / \pi, c_{2}=2 / \pi$ (Efros 1976, Baranovskii et al. 1978, Mogilyanskii and Raikh 1989)

8 Mean-field theory:

- saturated ES bound
(Müller and Pankov, 2007)
© Thermal filling of the gap: $g(\epsilon, T) \sim T^{d-1} \tilde{g}(|\epsilon| / T) \underset{\epsilon / T \ll 1}{\sim} T^{d-1}$


## Experimental observation of the Coulomb gap

Massey and Lee, PRL 1995 (Si:B)
Conductivity


FIG. 3. Plot of $\log [\partial \ln (\rho) / \partial(1 / T)]$ vs $\log [T]$. The slope of the data gives the negative of the hopping exponent. The solid line is a linear fit to the range $1.5<T<10 \mathrm{~K}$. The dashed line is a linear fit in the range $0.3<T<0.8 \mathrm{~K}$. These lines intersect at 1.4 K .

## Electron tunneling spectroscopy



Numerical studies of the Coulomb gap

$$
g(\epsilon)=c_{d}|\epsilon-\mu|^{\delta}
$$

Baranovskii, Efros, Gelmont, Shklovskii, J. Phys. C (1979)
Davies, Lee, Rice, PRB (1984)
Li, Phillips, PRB (1994). $\delta=2.38$ (3D)
Moebius, Richter, Drittler, PRB (1992) $\quad \delta=2.6 \pm 0.2$ (3D); $1.2 \pm 0.1$ (2D)
Sarvestani, Schreiber, Vojta, PRB (1995). $\delta=2.7$ (3D); 1.75 (2D)
Overlin, Wong, Yu, PRB (2004). $2.1 \leq \delta \leq 2.6$ (3D)


## Determining the single particle DOS at finite T

1. Parallel tempering MC
2. Shift the chemical potential to reduce finite-size effects

3. Check relaxation to equilibrium

4. Use large disorder
5. Size and temperature scaling

Results at large disorder agree with saturated ES bound $\delta=2$. Crossover to a hard gap at low disorder.
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With an infinite periodic system, finite size effects are stronger in 2D than in 3D (with vacuum surrounding media)

M.Goethe and MP, unpublished


## Pseudo-ground state calculations confirm our Monte

 Carlo results


## Comparison with recent numerical work




Unpublished data courtesy of Arnulf Möbius

## Comparison with recent numerical work

## Our data



Surer, Katzgraber, Zimanyi,
Allgood, Blatter, PRL 102, 067205


See also: Comment on the paper by Surer et al. by Möbius and Richter Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 039701 (2010)

## Hardening of the Coulomb gap due to the "polaron shift"

E Efros (1976) considers the stability of sites + soft pairs. Assuming that soft pairs have a finite $\operatorname{DOS} f(\omega)$ at low energy, he obtains:

$$
g(\epsilon) \leq g_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{a \Delta}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]
$$

Baranovskii et al. (JETP, 1980) improved the argument and propose

$$
g(\epsilon) \leq g_{0} \exp \left[-\left(\frac{a \Delta}{\epsilon}\right) /(\ln (B / \epsilon))^{7 / 4}\right]
$$

by considering:

- stability with respect to simultaneous flipping of many dipoles
- the angles in the dipolar interaction
- the depletion of the pair DOS due to dipole-dipole interaction, which gives

$$
f(\omega) \propto \frac{1}{\ln (\Delta / \omega)}
$$

Why do we observe $g(\epsilon) \sim|\epsilon|^{2}$ then?


Soft sites (lenergyl < 0.1) and soft dipoles (lenergyl < 0.1; size <= 3) in a L=30 sample

$$
\left.\omega_{i j}=\epsilon_{j}-\epsilon_{i}-1 / r_{i j} \quad h_{L}(\omega, r)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{(i j), r_{i, i}=r}\left[\left\langle\delta\left(\omega-\omega_{i j}\right)\right)\right\rangle\right]_{a v}
$$

$f_{L}(\omega, R)=\sum_{r \leq R} h_{L}(\omega, r)$


## specific heat




## Summary

- no evidence for an equilibrium glass phase in 3D
- similar correlations in 2D and 3D
- characterization of the charge order phase transition
- saturated ES bound in 3D and 2D
- hardening of the gap only at unmeasurably small scales


## Thank you

Results in two dimensions agree with $\delta=1$ with crossover to larger $\delta$ at small disorder


M.Goethe and MP, unpublished

Crossover in 2D already observed by Pikus and Efros (1994)

## Phase transition fluid / charge-ordered phase

|  | $\gamma / \nu$ | $\bar{\gamma} / \nu$ | $\beta / \nu$ | $\nu$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Coulomb glass | $1.69(17)$ | $2.89(9)$ | $0.06(4)$ | $1.11(12)$ |
| RFIM | $1.44(12)$ | $2.93(11)$ | $0.011(4)$ | $1.37(9)$ |

$\beta / \nu, \bar{\gamma} / \nu \quad$ from quotient method for $\quad M_{s}, \quad \bar{\chi}_{L}=N\left[\left\langle m_{s}{ }^{2}\right\rangle\right]_{a v}$
$\gamma / \nu \quad$ from divergence of $\quad \chi_{\left|m_{s}\right|}=N\left[\left\langle m_{s}^{2}\right\rangle-\langle | m_{s}| \rangle^{2}\right]_{a v}$
$\nu$ from mod. hyperscaling $\quad \nu=\frac{2-\alpha}{d+\gamma / \nu-\bar{\gamma} / \nu}$ assuming $\alpha=0$

Transition in RFIM universailty class
-> Interaction is effectively short-range

