

Semiclassics & multi-leg amplitudes

Riccardo Rattazzi, EPFL

with G. Badel, G. Cuomo, A. Monin, in preparation

Quantum regimes nicely classified via the path integral

▲ Weak coupling: loop expansion around leading trajectory γ

$$e^{-W} = e^{-[S_0 + S_1 + S_2 + \dots]}$$

can further distinguish semi-classical and quantum observables

$\langle O \rangle = O_c(\gamma) + \delta_q O$	$\delta_q O \ll O_c(\gamma)$	semi-class	Ex: every day life
	$\delta_q O \gtrsim O_c(\gamma)$	quantum	Ex: $\langle \phi\phi\phi\phi \rangle$ around $\phi(\gamma) = 0$

▲ Strong coupling: PI cannot be described by leading trajectory


Common practice: few legs in weakly coupled QFT
= small fluctuations around trivial trajectory

However when the number of legs grows expansion breaks down

How do we describe physics in this regime?

n-legs in ϕ^4

see old review by Rubakov, arXiv:9511236, 1995



$$A_{1 \rightarrow n} \propto n! \left(\frac{\lambda}{8m^2} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$$

$$\sigma_{1 \rightarrow n} \propto n! \left(\frac{\lambda}{8m^2} \right)^{n-1} \epsilon^{\frac{3n}{2}} \quad \epsilon = \frac{E - nm}{n}$$

$$A_{loop} = A_{tree} (1 + B\lambda n^2 + C\lambda^2 n^3 + \dots)$$

a mess ?!

Indeed .. but not completely

all large effects can be proven to resum into

$$\sigma_{1 \rightarrow n} \sim e^{nF(\lambda n, \epsilon)}$$

Libanov, Rubakov, Son, Troitsky 1994

Exponential form suggest existence of non-trivial semiclassical trajectory describing the process


Something indeed proven by Son,
back in the 90's...still a reasonable mess to
work out quantitatively

Charged


$$\phi^4$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \bar{\phi} \partial^\mu \phi + \frac{\lambda}{4} (\bar{\phi} \phi)^2$$


Few Legs



$$\lambda$$








$$\frac{\lambda^2}{16\pi^2}$$



$$\frac{\lambda^3}{(16\pi^2)^2}$$

Many Legs

Ex. anomalous dimension of ϕ^n $n \gg 1$



$$\lambda n(n-1)$$

$$\lambda^2 n(n-1)(n-2)$$

$$\lambda^2 n(n-1)$$

$$\lambda^3 n(n-1)(n-2)(n-3)$$

$$\text{leading} = n \left[\lambda n + (\lambda n)^2 + (\lambda n)^3 + \dots \right]$$

perturbation theory breaks down at $\frac{\lambda n}{16\pi^2} \gtrsim 1$

series can be organized as a double expansion

$$\frac{\gamma_n}{n} = P_0(\lambda n) + \lambda P_1(\lambda n) + \lambda^2 P_2(\lambda n) + \dots$$

similar to RG $F_0(\lambda Log) + \lambda F_1(\lambda Log) + \dots$

can we systematically resum the λn series like done
for λLog series by RG ?

Yes


by expanding path integral around suitable trajectory

Sketch of idea

$$\int D\phi \bar{\phi}^n(x_f) \phi^n(x_i) e^{-\frac{S}{\lambda}} = \int D\phi e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}(S+n\lambda \ln \phi_f+n\lambda \ln \phi_i)}$$

saddle point $\phi_{cl} \equiv \phi_{cl}(\lambda n, x_f - x_i)$

$$\langle \bar{\phi}^n(x_f) \phi^n(x_i) \rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} S_{cl}(\lambda n, x_f - x_i) - S_1 - \lambda S_2 + \dots}$$


$$\frac{\gamma_n}{n} = P_0(\lambda n) + \lambda P_1(\lambda n) + \dots$$

semiclassical expansion valid for all λn as long as $\lambda \ll 1$

Must reproduce diagrammatic expansion at $\lambda n \ll 1$!

γ_n is scheme dependent away from fixed point



focus on Wilson-Fisher fixed point in $d = 4 - \epsilon$

$$\beta_\lambda = \lambda \left[-\epsilon + 5 \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} - 15 \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^4} + O(\lambda^3) \right]$$

$$\beta(\lambda_*) = 0 \quad \frac{\lambda_*}{(4\pi)^2} = \frac{\epsilon}{5} + \frac{3\epsilon^2}{25} + O(\epsilon^3)$$

Compute by mapping theory to cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S_{d-1}$

Mapping to the cylinder & operator state correspondence



$$\langle \mathcal{O}(r) \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{r^{2\Delta}}$$



$$\langle \mathcal{O} | e^{-H\tau} | \mathcal{O} \rangle = e^{-\Delta\tau}$$

$$\langle \phi^n | e^{-H(\tau_f - \tau_i)} | \phi^n \rangle = \int D\phi e^{-S_{cyl}}$$

$$S_{cyl} = \int d\tau d\Omega_{d-1} \left(|\partial\phi|^2 + \xi_d \mathcal{R} |\phi|^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} |\phi|^4 - in\chi_f + in\chi_i \right)$$

$$\xi_d \mathcal{R} = \left(\frac{d-2}{2} \right)^2 \equiv m_d^2 \quad \text{'conformal mass' on sphere}$$

$$\phi \equiv \rho e^{i\chi}$$

$$e^{in\chi} \propto e^{in \ln \phi} \quad \text{projects on state of charge } n$$

solution of lowest action: homogeneous superfluid

$$\chi = -i\mu\tau$$

$$\rho = \text{const}$$

boundary eom

$$2\rho^2\mu = \frac{n}{S_{d-1}}$$


$$S_{d-1} = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}$$

bulk eom

$$-\mu^2 + m_d^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\rho^2 = 0$$

$$\mu(\mu^2 - m_d^2) = \frac{\lambda n}{4S_{d-1}}$$

$$\Delta_n = \frac{n}{4\mu}(3\mu^2 + m_d^2)$$



$$\Delta_n = n P_0(\lambda n, d)$$

Explicitly for $d \rightarrow 4$

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} \lambda = \lambda_* \propto \epsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ \lambda n = \text{fixed} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\lambda n \gg 1 \quad \Delta_n = \frac{\pi^2}{\lambda} \left[\frac{3}{8} \left(\frac{\lambda n}{\pi^2} \right)^{4/3} + \left(\frac{\lambda n}{\pi^2} \right)^{2/3} - \frac{2}{3} + O \left(\left(\lambda n / \pi^2 \right)^{-2/3} \right) \right]$$

Interpretation: $m_\rho^2 \sim \mu^2 \sim (\lambda n)^{2/3} \gg \frac{1}{R^2} = 1$

 integrate out radial mode: 'pure' conformal superfluid EFT

$$\mathcal{L} \sim (\partial\chi)^4 + \mathcal{R}(\partial\chi)^2 + \mathcal{R}^2 + \dots$$




known large charge behaviour

Hellerman, Orlando, Reffert, Watanabe '15
Monin, Pirtskhalava, RR, Seibold '16
Jafferis, Mukhametzhanov, Zhiboedov, '17

$$\lambda n \ll 1 \quad \Delta_n = n \sum a_p \left(\frac{\lambda n}{8\pi^2} \right)^p \quad a_p = \frac{1}{p!} \partial_z^p \frac{9z^4 - 1}{4z^{p+2}(1+z)^{p+1}} \Big|_{z=1}$$

$$\Delta_n = n + \frac{\lambda n^2}{32\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 n^3}{512\pi^4} + \frac{\lambda^3 n^4}{4096\pi^6} + O(\lambda^4 n^5)$$

which invites comparison with diagrams



$$\gamma_n = \frac{\lambda n(n-1)}{32\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 n^2(n-1)}{512\pi^4} + \dots \quad \text{happily agreeing}$$

Makes one want to check subleading terms

To do so fixed point condition in $d = 4 - \epsilon$ is essential

diagrammatic computation gives

$$\gamma_n \Big|_{\text{diag}} = \epsilon \frac{n(n-1)}{10} - \epsilon^2 \frac{n(n^2 - 4n)}{50} + O(\epsilon^3 n^4)$$

Semiclassically on cylinder

$$e^{-\Delta_n \tau} = \frac{\int D\phi e^{-S + in\chi_f - in\chi_i}}{\int D\phi e^{-S}} \stackrel{\text{1-loop}}{\Downarrow} \frac{e^{-S_{cl}(n) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det S_n^{(2)}}}{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \ln \det S_0^{(2)}}}$$


$$\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln \det S_n^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \det S_0^{(2)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell} n_{\ell,d} [\omega_+(\ell, d) + \omega_-(\ell, d) - 2\omega_0(\ell, d)]$$

Casimir Energy on S_{d-1} , convergent for sufficiently low d

in terms of the renormalized coupling λ_R

$$\gamma_n = \frac{\lambda_R n(n-1)}{32\pi^2} + \frac{\lambda_R \epsilon n^2}{64\pi^2} - \frac{\lambda_R^2 (2n^3 + 3n^2)}{1024\pi^4} + \dots$$



fixed point



$$\epsilon \frac{n(n-1)}{10} - \epsilon^2 \frac{n(n^2 - 4n)}{50} + O(\epsilon^3 n^4)$$

perfect match!

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(|\partial\phi|^2 + |\phi|^6 \right) \quad \text{in} \quad 3 - \epsilon$$



$$\beta(\lambda) = \epsilon\lambda + a\lambda^3$$

in $d=3$ conformally invariant for any λ up to 1-loop

$$\Delta_n = \underbrace{\frac{1}{\lambda} f_0(\lambda n, \epsilon) + f_1(\lambda n, \epsilon)}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} + \lambda f_2(\lambda n, \epsilon) + \dots$$

Can non trivially match universal quantum effects in genuine 3D CFT in large regime to diagrammatic computation ... and it works!

Summary

Wilson-Fisher fixed points: simple but rich playground to get structural insight on $\lambda n \gg 1$ regime in QFT

Loop expansion for $\gamma\phi^n$ non-trivially and systematically encapsulated by semiclassical superfluid configuration

Properties of nearby operators, ex $\phi^{n-2}\partial\phi\partial\phi$, described by hydrodynamic modes

$\langle\phi^{n_1}\dots\phi^{n_p}\rangle$ can be studied by extension of method, providing dynamical information, akin to amplitudes

...but it would be nice to get back to the SM... someone certainly will before the FCC begins