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## Kepler-11: Six Transiting Planets







## Outline: Small-Planet Densities

## and System Architectures

through Photodynamic Variations
"small"

- Transit Timing Variations (TTV) $\rightarrow M_{p} \begin{gathered}1-6 ~ \\ 2-8 \\ R_{E}\end{gathered}$
- Photometric Approaches to TTV
- Eccentricities to Probe Formation


## Dynamics: Orbital Timescales



Transit timing variations Agol et al. 2005,
Murray \& Holman 2005


## Dynamics: Secular Timescales


$\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{P}_{1}=2.44$
Non-resonant
$\rightarrow$ "Chopping" timing signal of Eric's talk, next
Transit timing variations Agol et al. 2005,
Murray \& Holman 2005


## Dynamics: Resonant Orbits



Transit timing variations Agol et al. 2005,
Murray \& Holman 2005

## Sensitivity



TTV signal scales as orbital periods - better for relatively distant planets.

## Dynamical Model of Transits

Use Newton' s equations to integrate a 3-body system Numerical transit times and radial velocities


Fabrycky (2010)

## Transit Computation

- Semi-analytical solutions, using basic limb darkening (Pal 2011)


$$
I[\mu]=1-c_{1}(1-\mu)-c_{2}(1-\mu)^{2}
$$

$$
\mu=\mu[x, y]=\left(1-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

(slide courtesy UChicago graduate student Sean Mills)


## "Ground truth"

- Neptune's discovery
- Checking TTV masses by Radial Velocity
- Kepler-18 (Multi-transiting)
- KOI-142 (TTV discovery)
- Lauren Weiss' poster on Kepler-11


## Kepler-18 (Cochran, Fabrycky, et al. 2011)




| Planet | Period <br> (days) | Mass <br> $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\text {Earth }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | 3.5 | $12 \pm 5$ |
| c | 7.6 | $15 \pm 5$ |
| d | 14.9 | $28 \pm 7$ |

$$
P=7.6416 \text { days }
$$

## $P=14.8589$ days



Fit adjusts: $\quad \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}_{0}$ (phase), ecosw, esimw, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{p}}$ of each planet



The Great Inequality is observed!

## Kepler-18 tests TTV masses

| Planet | Period <br> (days) | RV Mass <br> $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\text {Earth }}\right)$ | TTV Mass <br> $\left(\mathbf{M}_{\text {Earth }}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b | 3.5 | $12 \pm 5$ | $18 \pm 9$ |
| c | 7.6 | $15 \pm 5$ | $17.3 \pm 1.7$ |
| d | 14.9 | $28 \pm 7$ | $15.8 \pm 1.3$ |

Other multi-transiting test?
Kepler-9: published RV dataset has 6 points for 3 planets, an insufficient test; (see Dreizler \& Ofir (2014), Borsato+14 for TTV mass)

## TTV-discovered Planet, Checked by RV

- KOI-142 (Nesvorny et al. 2013)


Fitted parameters

$\begin{array}{ll}22.340+/-0.002 & \text { Orbital period, } P \text { [days] } \\ 0.0559+/-0.0004 & \text { Orbital eccentricity } \\ 0.63+/-0.03 & \text { Minimum planet mass }\left[M_{\mathrm{J}}\right]\end{array}$

- KOI-1474 (Dawson et al. 2012, 2014)






Kepler-11


Lissauer, Fabrycky, et al. 2011


See Weiss's poster for the full set of observations and excellent fit.


Measure Radius from the transit depth. Measure Mass from the neighbor's transit timing.

## From Earth-sized to Mini-Neptunes


"Have you tried taking the smallest two Kepler-11 planets, fixing them at higher masses, and actually seeing if you can't find a solution... that isn't offensive?" -
-Dave Charbonneau on Monday


## Quick work by Daniel Jontof-Hutter!

(Lissauer, Jontof-Hutter, et al. 2013 updated analysis of Kepler-11)

## More Extreme Sub-Neptunes

 (don't ignore them - they're real!)| Kepler \# Planet | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{eq}} \\ & (\mathrm{~K}) \end{aligned}$ | TTV Mass ( $\mathrm{M}_{\text {Earth }}$ ) | Transit Radius ( $\mathrm{R}_{\text {Earth }}$ ) | Ref. | See Daniel JontofHutter's poster and talk with him! |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 d | 634 | $6.0+2.1{ }_{-1.6}$ | $7.16{ }^{+0.13}-0.16$ | Jontof-Hutter + 2014 |  |
| 51 b | 543 | $2.1+1.5{ }_{-0.8}$ | $7.1 \pm 0.9$ | Masuda 2014 |  |
| 87 c | 403 | $6.4 \pm 0.8$ | $6.1 \pm 0.3$ | Ofir + 2014 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Same density as the architypical puffy hot Jupiter, TrES-4 (Sozzeti et al. 2015) |  |



# More Extreme Sub-Neptunes 



Kepler-79 e

<< Jontof-Hutter+14
< Masuda 14

VV Ofir+14


## From Earth-sized to Mini-Neptunes



## Exoplanetary System Architectures

Basic facts:

- Planet number
- Masses
- Radii

Dynamical properties:

- Periods (n.b.: their ratios)
- Eccentricities
- Mutual Inclinations

| Transits | Radial <br> Velocities |
| :--- | :--- |
| w/ TTV | $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ |
| w/ TTV | $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ |
| $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |  |
| $\boldsymbol{\checkmark}$ |  |
| w/ TTV | $\boldsymbol{\iota}$ |
| w/ TDV |  |

Clearinghouse of TTV and TDV curves: Mazeh et al. 2013 ftp://wise-ftp.tau.ac.il/pub/tauttv/TTV
Version 112 sub-directory for the latest

## Kepler-30



Fabrycky, Ford, Steffen et al. 2012




## A few Resonant chains

- Kepler-223 (KOI-730; 4:3, 3:2, 4:3)
- Kepler-60 (KOI-2086; 5:4, 4:3)
- Kepler-80 (KOI-500; 1.518, 1.518, 1.350)


## KOI-730 TTVs, detected at last!






See Sean Mills' poster!

KOI-730


Phase near Transit (constant-period phasing)

Photodynamic MODEL

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\sim$ | - | $\sim$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sim$ |  |
|  | $\sim$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | - | $\cdots$ |  |
|  | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ |  |
|  | * | $\bigcirc$ | $\checkmark$ |
|  | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\bigcirc$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\checkmark$ | $\cdots$ | $\square$ | $\cdots$ |
| 0 | $\cdots$ | $\sim$ | $\checkmark$ |

Phase near Transit (constant-period phasing)

## Sinusoidal Photodynamics

- Work in progress: Fitting photometry of all multitransiting systems with transit phase

$$
\Phi=T_{0}+P x E+A_{t t v} \sin \left(2 \pi t / P_{t t v}+\phi_{t t v}\right)
$$

Carter et al. (2008) [yes, idealized!] Lithwick et al. (2012)


## Eccentricities of Terrestrials?

- Planet formation options:
- Within gas disk $\rightarrow$ low eccentricities
- Giant Impacts $\rightarrow$ orbits cross, eccentricities much larger than the Hill sphere
caveat: there may be some damping on residual or secondary debris (e.g., Schlichting et al. 2012)


## Eccentricities of Terrestrials?

- Planet formation options:
- Within gas disk $\rightarrow$ low eccentricities
- Giant Impacts $\rightarrow$ orbits cross, eccentricities much larger than the Hill sphere


TTV phases of 54 systems: Hadden \& Lithwick (2014) analyzing Mazeh+13 TTs.

Near - 2:1, 3:2, 4:3 resonant perturbation relates: $\phi_{\text {tiv }} / \mathrm{e}_{\text {free }}$

$$
\sigma_{e}= \begin{cases}0.017_{-0.005}^{+0.009}, & \text { for } R \text { and } R^{\prime}<2.5 R_{\oplus} \\ 0.008_{-0.002}^{+0.003}, & \text { for } R \text { and } R^{\prime}>2.5 R_{\oplus}\end{cases}
$$

## Summary

- Kepler found a host of multiplanet systems.
- TTV masses reveal Super-Puffy Sub-Neptunes
- Photodynamics opens a new window on exoterrestrial planet formation


