

Correlations between planet occurrence and stellar properties: metallicity and mass



Correlations between planet occurrence and stellar properties: metallicity and mass



Udry & Santos 2007

Statistical properties of Exoplanets



CORALIE data: Santos, Israelian & Mayor, 2004 - 98 planet hosts and 41 star comparison sample

Lick/Keck data: Fischer & Valenti 2005 - uniform analysis of 860 stars

SME: spectral synthesis modeling combined with YY isochrone fitting



[Fe/H] for stars with exoplanets Msini $< 90 M_{EARTH}$: Small number of planets, but distribution is distinctly flatter.



Israelian et al. 2010

Enhanced lithium depletion in sunlike stars with orbiting planets. "The Sun lacks lithium because it has planets."



Mechanism? planets induce extra mixing?

Note: based on this hypothesis, one would conclude that ~50% of stars do not have planets.

Accretion?

Lin, Bodenheimer & Richardson (1996), Gonzalez (1997/9, 2000) Laughlin & Adams (1997), Sandquist et al. (1998) Murray et al. (2001), Fuhrmann, Pfeiffer & Bernkopf (1997/8) Israelian et al., 2002, Santos et al. (2000, 2003, 2004), Sadakane et al. (2002), Laws et al. (2003)

Initial Conditions?

Pinsonneault, DePoy, Coffee (2001)

Pinsonneault, DePoy & Coffee 2001

Mass of the CZ in FGK MS stars and the effect of accreted planetary material on apparent metallicity distributions



Effect of adding 1, 3, 10 M_{earth} of rocky material to stars with various initial metallicity

Santos, Israelian & Mayor 2001

The metal-rich nature of stars with planets



No functional dependence of [Fe/H] on Teff or stellar mass



No functional dependence of [Fe/H] on Teff or stellar mass



No evidence for accretion in subgiants: planetmetallicity relation observed. As CZ mass increased, no sign of dilution.

Subgiants without planets have same metallicity distribution as MS stars without planets!

Subgiants with planets have same metallicity distribution as MS stars with planets!

No metallicity gradient across the subgiant branch



No evidence for accretion in subgiants: planetmetallicity relation observed. As CZ mass increased, no sign of dilution.

Subgiants without planets have same metallicity distribution as MS stars without planets!

Subgiants with planets have same metallicity distribution as MS stars with planets!

No metallicity gradient across the subgiant branch



No evidence for accretion in subgiants: planetmetallicity relation observed. As CZ mass increased, no sign of dilution.

Subgiants without planets have same metallicity distribution as MS stars without planets!

Subgiants with planets have same metallicity distribution as MS stars with planets!

No metallicity gradient across the subgiant branch



Pasquini et al. 2007

Evolved stars suggest an external origin of the enhanced metallicity in planet-hosting stars



Metallicity distribution for 14 planet-hosting giants

Metallicity distribution for planet-hosting dwarfs (drawn from Schneiders encyclopedia of exoplanets

Conclude: planet-metallicity correlation is caused by accretion and Giants show a shift because of CZ dilution (or ???)

Laughlin 2005

Planet formation depends on metallicity and stellar mass (surface density)



Haisch, Lada & Lada (2001)

Disk frequencies and lifetimes in Young clusters Though data not adequate to measure disk lifetimes as function of stellar mass





Laughlin, Bodenheimer & Adams (2004)

"Core accretion predicts few Jovian mass planets orbiting Red Dwarfs"



Mass distribution of CPS stars



M-to-K (D. Fischer, E. Gaidos, S. Lepine)

Subgiants: Retired A stars (J. Johnson)

mid-F stars: (K. Clubb, J. Spronck, D. Fischer)

K giants: (S. Reffert, A. Quirrenbach, C. Schwab)

Subgiant stars seem to have more massive planets that reside in wider orbits than MS stars. (Not a detectability issue, Isaacson & Fischer 2010)



Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane assumes stellar mass and metallicity are independent parameters



Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane



Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane



1. Planet-metallicity correlation still holds.

Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane



1. Planet-metallicity correlation still holds.

2. Mdwarfs need metals to compensate for low stellar mass; only 4% of Mdwarfs have planets, while 20% of retired A stars have planets, not just CZ pollution!

Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane



1. Planet-metallicity correlation still holds.

2. Mdwarfs need metals to compensate for low stellar mass; only 4% of Mdwarfs have planets, while 20% of retired A stars have planets, not just CZ pollution!

3. The gap between the metallicity for planet hosts relative to non-detections closes as stellar mass increases.

Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane



1. Planet-metallicity correlation still holds.

2. Mdwarfs need metals to compensate for low stellar mass; only 4% of Mdwarfs have planets, while 20% of retired A stars have planets, not just CZ pollution!

3. The gap between the metallicity for planet hosts relative to non-detections closes as stellar mass increases.

4. Stellar mass and metallicity are correlated

Giant planet occurrence in the stellar mass-metallicity plane



Stellar mass and metallicity may not be independent parameters.

A threshold number of metal atoms or surface density may be the key.

Laughlin 2005

Planet formation depends on metallicity and stellar mass (surface density)



Ida & Lin 2008

Toward a deterministic model of planet formation IV. Effects of Type I migration



Mordasini et al. 2009

Extrasolar planet population synthesis



Mordasini et al. 2009

Extrasolar planet population synthesis



Detectable planet populations with 10, 1 and 0.1 m/s Doppler precision

Gaidos 2010

Low mass planet characteristics $M < 30 M_{EARTH}$



HR diagram of population (red, with planets)





