

## Higgs Boson Discovery at the LHC :

## Very good agreement of Higgs Physics Results with SM Predictions




## ATLAS and CMS Combination




Although the agreement with the SM is overall quite good, there are still relevant uncertainties in the Higgs couplings, which will be resolve with higher statistics and the analysis of new channels. Particularly interesting are the couplings to third generation quarks and leptons.

## Topics

- Enhancement of the top coupling / suppression of the bottom coupling
- Lepton flavor violating decays of the Higgs
- Double Higgs Production / Modified trilinear couplings...
- Higgs Alignment in the MSSM and NMSSM
- MSSM Higgs Mass
- Searches for new Higgs Bosons in different channels


## Enhancing (Suppressing) the tth (bbh) Coupling

Type II<br>Two Higgs Doublet Models

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{t}=\frac{\cos \alpha}{\sin \beta}=\sin (\beta-\alpha)+\cot \beta \cos (\beta-\alpha), \\
& c_{b}=-\frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \beta}=\sin (\beta-\alpha)-\tan \beta \cos (\beta-\alpha), \\
& c_{V}=\sin (\beta-\alpha),
\end{aligned}
$$

Badziak, C.W. ‘16



Strong Correlation between Different Channels
Relevant enhancement (suppression) of th (bbh) not possible

## Additional Loop Effects (Example : Stop Effects)

$$
\frac{c_{g}}{c_{g}^{S M}}=\quad c_{t}+\frac{m_{t}^{2}}{4}\left[c_{t}\left(\frac{1}{m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{2}}+\frac{1}{m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}^{2}}\right)-\frac{\tilde{X}_{t}^{2}}{m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}^{2} m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}^{2}}\right]
$$



Badziak, C.W. ‘16

Loop Effects in the couplings of Higgs to gluons may dramatically affect the previous conclusions.

## Stop Searches

Provided the lightest neutralino (DM) is heavier than about 250 GeV , there are no limits on stops. Even for lighter neutralinos, there are big holes.


## Some Benchmarks

|  | B 1 | B 2 | B 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tan \beta$ | 1 | 1.5 | 2 |
| $\cot (\beta-\alpha)$ | 0.25 | 0.22 | 0.18 |
| $m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}$ | 200 | 200 | 210 |
| $m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}$ | 700 | 700 | 700 |
| $\tilde{X}_{t} / m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}$ | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| $R_{V V}^{\mathrm{th}}$ | 2.02 | 1.96 | 1.90 |
| $R_{\gamma \gamma}^{\mathrm{tth}}$ | 2.09 | 2.09 | 2.07 |
| $R_{V V}^{\mathrm{gg}}$ | 1.18 | 1.21 | 1.19 |
| $R_{\gamma \gamma}^{\mathrm{gg}}$ | 1.22 | 1.29 | 1.29 |
| $R_{V V}^{\mathrm{VBF} / \mathrm{VH}}$ | 1.29 | 1.49 | 1.60 |
| $R_{\gamma \gamma}^{\mathrm{VBF} / \mathrm{VH}}$ | 1.33 | 1.59 | 1.74 |
| $R_{\tau \tau}^{\mathrm{VBF} / \mathrm{VH}}$ | 0.73 | 0.67 | 0.66 |

This provides a rather good agreement with the run I data analysis from the ATLAS/CMS combination

This cannot be achieved in the MSSM
Reasons:
a) Obtaining the Right Higgs mass is a problem.
b) Bottom coupling suppression only possible in regions forbidden by searches for heavy Higgs bosons.

Possible in the NMSSM, for SHuHd couplings lambda $>0.7$, although this case is more restrictive then these benchmark scenarios.
$t_{\beta} c_{\beta-\alpha} \simeq \frac{-1}{m_{H}^{2}-m_{h}^{2}}\left[m_{h}^{2}+m_{Z}^{2}+\frac{3 m_{t}^{4}}{4 \pi^{2} v^{2} M_{S}^{2}}\left\{A_{t} \mu t_{\beta}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{6 M_{S}^{2}}\right)-\mu^{2}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{2 M_{S}^{2}}\right)\right\}\right]$
Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'15

## Lange

University of Zurich ${ }^{\text {UZH }}$

## heavy neutral Higgs: $\phi \rightarrow T$

Submitted to JHEP (arXiv:1408.3316)

## Physik-Institut



> interpretation in several scenarios taking Higgs @ 125 GeV into account



Models with more than one Higgs ?
Misalignment is required

$$
\mathrm{BR}(h \rightarrow \tau \mu)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\left(8.4_{-3.7}^{+3.9}\right) \times 10^{-3} & \text { CMS } \\
(7.7 \pm 6.2) \times 10^{-3} & \text { ATLAS }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## MSSM Realization ?

Aloni, Nir and Stamou'15

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\tilde{m}_{L}^{2}\right)_{\mu \mu} & \left(\tilde{m}_{L}^{2}\right)_{\mu \tau} & 0 \\
\left(\tilde{m}_{L}^{2}\right)_{\mu \tau}^{*} & \left(\tilde{m}_{L}^{2}\right)_{\tau \tau} & -m_{\tau} \mu t_{\beta} \\
0 & -m_{\tau} \mu t_{\beta} & \left(\tilde{m}_{R}^{2}\right)_{\tau \tau}
\end{array}\right)
$$



Assume contribution comes from flavor misalignment in the slepton sector

$$
R_{\tau \mu / \tau \tau}^{\max }=\left\{\frac{\alpha v}{\sqrt{2} m_{\tau} c_{W}^{2}} \sqrt{x_{3}} I_{3}\left(1, x_{3}, x_{3}\right)\left[\frac{c_{\beta-\alpha} t_{\beta}}{s_{\beta-\alpha}\left(s_{\beta-\alpha}-c_{\beta-\alpha} t_{\beta}\right)}\right]\right\}^{2}
$$

Superpotential trilinear coupling still used, so some Higgs misalignment is necessary

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\tau \mu / \tau \tau} \lesssim 0.035 & \text { for }\left|c_{\beta-\alpha} t_{\beta}\right| \ll 1 \\
R_{\tau \mu / \tau \tau} \lesssim 0.31 & \text { for } c_{\beta-\alpha} t_{\beta} \simeq 2
\end{aligned}
$$



This condition is just associated with the change of sign of the bottom and tau Yukawa coupling

Previously we argue that it is hard to obtain suppression of the bottom coupling in the MSSM

It is even harder to invert the sign of the bottom Yukawa coupling. This simply cannot be done within the MSSM

$$
t_{\beta} c_{\beta-\alpha} \simeq \frac{-1}{m_{H}^{2}-m_{h}^{2}}\left[m_{h}^{2}+m_{Z}^{2}+\frac{3 m_{t}^{4}}{4 \pi^{2} v^{2} M_{S}^{2}}\left\{A_{t} \mu t_{\beta}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{6 M_{S}^{2}}\right)-\mu^{2}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{2 M_{S}^{2}}\right)\right\}\right]
$$

## Double Higgs production



Frederix, Frixione, Hirschi, Maltoni, Mattelaier, Torrelli,Vryonidou,Zaro


## Effective Theory Approach and its realization

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
V(\phi, T)=\frac{m^{2}+a_{0} T^{2}}{2}\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)+\frac{\lambda}{4}\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{2}+\frac{c_{6}}{8 \Lambda^{2}}\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right)^{3} \\
\frac{c_{H}}{8 \Lambda^{2}} \partial_{\mu}\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right) \partial^{\mu}\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Grojean, Servant, Wells '04 } \\
\text { Noble, Perelstein '08 } \\
\text { Gupta, Rhezak, Wells '13 }
\end{array} \\
\lambda_{3}=\frac{3 m_{H}^{2}}{v}\left(1+c_{6} \frac{2 v^{4}}{m_{h}^{2} \Lambda^{2}}-\frac{3}{2} c_{H} \frac{v^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}\right) \quad \\
V\left(\phi_{h}, \phi_{s}, T\right)=\frac{m_{0}^{2}+a_{0} T^{2}}{2} \phi_{h}^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{h}}{4} \phi_{h}^{4}+a_{h s} \phi_{s} \phi_{h}^{2}+\frac{\lambda_{h s}}{2} \phi_{s}^{2} \phi_{h}^{2}+t_{s} \phi_{s}+\frac{m_{s}^{2}}{2} \phi_{s}^{2}+\frac{a_{s}}{3} \phi_{s}^{3}+\frac{\lambda_{s}}{4} \phi_{s}^{4} \\
a_{s}=\lambda_{S}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Values of $a_{s}$ and $\lambda_{s}$ different from zero may lead to negative values of $\delta$

Joglekar, Huang, Li, C.W.'15


Contours of variations of the trilinear coupling and of the square of the mixing angle

$$
\tan 2 \theta=\frac{4 v\left(a_{h s}+\lambda_{h s} v_{s}\right)}{2 \lambda_{h} v^{2}-m_{s}^{2}-\lambda_{h s} v^{2}}=\frac{4 v\left(a_{h s} m_{s}^{2}-t_{s} \lambda_{h s}\right)}{\left(2 \lambda_{h} v^{2}-m_{s}^{2}-\lambda_{h s} v^{2}\right)\left(m_{s}^{2}+\lambda_{h s} v^{2}\right)}
$$

Large Deviations of the trilinear coupling may be obtained in this model

## Low Energy Supersymmetry: Type II Higgs doublet models

(a)

In Type II models, the Higgs HI would couple to down-quarks and charge leptons, while the Higgs H2 couples to up quarks and neutrinos. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{h f f}^{d d, l l} & =\frac{\mathcal{M}_{d d, l l}^{\text {diag }}}{v} \frac{(-\sin \alpha)}{\cos \beta}, \quad g_{H f f}^{d d, l l}=\frac{\mathcal{M}_{d d, l l}^{\text {diag }}}{v} \frac{\cos \alpha}{\cos \beta} \\
g_{h f f}^{u u} & =\frac{\mathcal{M}_{u u}^{\text {diag }}}{v} \frac{(\cos \alpha)}{\sin \beta}, \quad g_{H f f}^{u u}=\frac{\mathcal{M}_{u u}^{\text {diag }}}{v} \frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Q. If the mixing is such that $\cos (\beta-\alpha)=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \alpha=-\cos \beta \\
& \cos \alpha=\sin \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

then the coupling of the lightest Higgs to fermions and gauge bosons is SM-like.This limit is called decoupling limit. Is it possible to obtain similar relations for lower values of the CP-odd Higgs mass? We shall call this situation ALIGNMENT

Observe that close to the decoupling limit, the lightest Higgs couplings are SM-like, while the heavy Higgs couplings to down quarks and up quarks are enhanced (suppressed) by a $\tan \beta$ factor. We shall concentrate on this case.
Q. It is important to stress that the coupling of the CP-odd Higgs boson

$$
g_{A f f}^{d d, l l}=\frac{\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{diag}}^{\mathrm{dd}}}{v} \tan \beta, \quad g_{A f f}^{u u}=\frac{\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{diag}}^{\mathrm{uu}}}{v \tan \beta}
$$

## Alignment in General two Higgs Doublet Models

H. Haber and J. Gunion'03

$$
\begin{aligned}
V= & m_{11}^{2} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}+m_{22}^{2} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}-m_{12}^{2}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}+\text { h.c. }\right)+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2}\left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\lambda_{3}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right)\left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)+\lambda_{4}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)\left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right) \\
& +\left\{\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{5}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)^{2}+\left[\lambda_{6}\left(\Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1}\right)+\lambda_{7}\left(\Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}\right)\right] \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2}+\text { h.c. }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Symmetry arguments : Bhupal Dev, Pilaftsis'l4
Q From here, one can minimize the effective potential and derive the expression for the CP-even Higgs mass matrix in terms of a reference mass, that we will take to be mA

Craig, Galloway and Thomas'13
Carena, Low, Shah, C.W.'I3

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{M}_{11} & \mathcal{M}_{12} \\
\mathcal{M}_{12} & \mathcal{M}_{22}
\end{array}\right) \equiv m_{A}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s_{\beta}^{2} & -s_{\beta} c_{\beta} \\
-s_{\beta} c_{\beta} & c_{\beta}^{2}
\end{array}\right)+v^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L_{11} & L_{12} \\
L_{12} & L_{22}
\end{array}\right) \\
L_{11}=\lambda_{1} c_{\beta}^{2}+2 \lambda_{6} s_{\beta} c_{\beta}+\lambda_{5} s_{\beta}^{2} \\
L_{12}=\left(\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right) s_{\beta} c_{\beta}+\lambda_{6} c_{\beta}^{2}+\lambda_{7} s_{\beta}^{2} \\
L_{22}=\lambda_{2} s_{\beta}^{2}+2 \lambda_{7} s_{\beta} c_{\beta}+\lambda_{5} c_{\beta}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'I3

## Alignment Conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(m_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{1} v^{2}\right)+\left(m_{h}^{2}-\tilde{\lambda}_{3} v^{2}\right) t_{\beta}^{2}=v^{2}\left(3 \lambda_{6} t_{\beta}+\lambda_{7} t_{\beta}^{3}\right) \\
& \left(m_{h}^{2}-\lambda_{2} v^{2}\right)+\left(m_{h}^{2}-\tilde{\lambda}_{3} v^{2}\right) t_{\beta}^{-2}=v^{2}\left(3 \lambda_{7} t_{\beta}^{-1}+\lambda_{6} t_{\beta}^{-3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- If fulfilled not only alignment is obtained, but also the right Higgs mass, $m_{h}^{2}=\lambda_{\mathrm{SM}} v^{2}$, with $\lambda_{\mathrm{SM}} \simeq 0.26$ and $\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}+\lambda_{5}=\tilde{\lambda}_{3}$

$$
\lambda_{\mathrm{SM}}=\lambda_{1} \cos ^{4} \beta+4 \lambda_{6} \cos ^{3} \beta \sin \beta+2 \tilde{\lambda}_{3} \sin ^{2} \beta \cos ^{2} \beta+4 \lambda_{7} \sin ^{3} \beta \cos \beta+\lambda_{2} \sin ^{4} \beta
$$

- For $\lambda_{6}=\lambda_{7}=0$ the conditions simplify, but can only be fulfilled if

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{\mathrm{SM}} \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{\mathrm{SM}} \geq \tilde{\lambda}_{3} \\
& \text { or } \\
\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{SM}} \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{3} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{2} \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{SM}} \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

- Conditions not fulfilled in the MSSM, where both $\lambda_{1}, \tilde{\lambda}_{3}<\lambda_{\mathrm{SM}}$


## Standard Model-like Higgs Mass

Long list of two-loop computations: Carena, Degrassi, Ellis, Espinosa, Haber, Harlander, Heinemeyer, Hempfling, Hoang, Hollik, Hahn, Martin, Pilaftsis, Quiros, Ridolfi, Rzehak, Slavich, C.W., Weiglein, Zhang, Zwirner

Carena, Haber, Heinemeyer, Hollik,Weiglein, C.W.'00 For masses of order I TeV, diagrammatic and EFT approach agree well, once the appropriate threshold corrections are included


$$
X_{t}=A_{t}-\mu / \tan \beta, \quad X_{t}=0: \text { No mixing; } \quad X_{t}=\sqrt{ } 6 M_{S}: \text { Max. Mixing }
$$

## Stop Mixing and the Stop Mass Scale

Q. For smaller values of the mixing parameter, the Stop Mass Scale must be pushed to values (far) above the TeV scale
Q. The same is true for smaller values of $\tan \beta$, for which the tree-level contribution is reduced

Q In these cases, the RG approach allows to resum the large logarithmic corrections and leads to a more precise determination of the Higgs mass than the fixed order computations.
Q. The level of accuracy may be increased by including weak coupling corrections to both the RG running of the quartic coupling, as well as threshold corrections that depend on these couplings
Q. One can also use the RG approach to obtain partial results at a given fixed order by the methods we shall describe below

Dominant Corrections for heavy Stops and Higgs Masses, $\quad L=\log \left(M_{S} / M_{t}\right)$

## Draper, Lee, C.W.' 13 , S. Martin'07

The analysis of the three-loop corrections show a high degree of cancellation between the dominant and subdominant contributions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{3} \lambda= & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Harlander, Kant, Mihaila, Steinhauser’08,'IO } \\
-1728 \lambda^{4}-3456 \lambda^{3} y_{t}^{2}+\lambda^{2} y_{t}^{2}\left(-576 y_{t}^{2}+1536 g_{3}^{2}\right) \quad \text { Feng, Kant, Profumo, Sanford' I3 }
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda y_{t}^{2}\left(1908 y_{t}^{4}+480 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-960 g_{3}^{4}\right)+y_{t}^{4}\left(1548 y_{t}^{4}-4416 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+2944 g_{3}^{4}\right)\right\} L^{3} \\
+ & \left\{-2340 \lambda^{4}-3582 \lambda^{3} y_{t}^{2}+\lambda^{2} y_{t}^{2}\left(-378 y_{t}^{2}+2016 g_{3}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda y_{t}^{2}\left(1521 y_{t}^{4}+1032 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-2496 g_{3}^{4}\right)+y_{t}^{4}\left(1476 y_{t}^{4}-3744 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+4064 g_{3}^{4}\right)\right\} L^{2} \\
+ & \left\{-1502.84 \lambda^{4}-436.5 \lambda^{3} y_{t}^{2}-\lambda^{2} y_{t}^{2}\left(1768.26 y_{t}^{2}+160.77 g_{3}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +\lambda y_{t}^{2}\left(446.764 \lambda y_{t}^{4}+1325.73 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}-713.936 g_{3}^{4}\right) \\
& \left.+y_{t}^{4}\left(972.596 y_{t}^{4}-1001.98 y_{t}^{2} g_{3}^{2}+200.804 g_{3}^{4}\right)\right\} L,
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a SM effect, since this is the effective theory we are considering.
This shows that a partial computation of three loop effects is not justified

## Draper, Lee, C.W.'I3

Necessary stop mass values to get the proper Higgs mass for Small mixing in the stop sector
Here we kept the gaugino mass M2 $=200 \mathrm{GeV}$ and $\mathrm{MI}=100 \mathrm{GeV}$
The effect at low values of mu is due to chargino and neutralino loops


Such heavy stops would be out of the reach of the LHC A higher energy collider necessary to investigate stop sector

## Draper, Lee, C.W.'I3

Necessary stop mass values to get the proper Higgs mass for Maximal mixing in the stop sector


Light Stops at the reach of the LHC for large mixing in the Stop sector and moderate values of $\tan \beta$

## Down Couplings in the MSSM for low values of $\mu$ (no Alignment)

(9) In this regime, $\lambda_{6,7} \simeq 0$, and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1} \simeq-\tilde{\lambda}_{3}=\frac{g_{1}^{2}+g_{2}^{2}}{4}=\frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{v^{2}} \simeq 0.125 & \lambda^{\mathrm{SM}} \simeq 0.26 \\
\lambda_{2} \simeq \frac{M_{Z}^{2}}{v^{2}}+\frac{3}{8 \pi^{2}} h_{t}^{4}\left[\log \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)+\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{12 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\right)\right]
\end{array}
$$



Carena, Low, Shah, C.W.'I3

For moderate or large values of $\tan \beta$

$$
t_{\beta} c_{\beta-\alpha} \simeq \frac{-1}{m_{H}^{2}-m_{h}^{2}}\left[m_{h}^{2}+m_{Z}^{2}+\frac{3 m_{t}^{4}}{4 \pi^{2} v^{2} M_{S}^{2}}\left\{A_{t} \mu t_{\beta}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{6 M_{S}^{2}}\right)-\mu^{2}\left(1-\frac{A_{t}^{2}}{2 M_{S}^{2}}\right)\right\}\right]
$$

Low values of $\mu$ similar to the ones analyzed by ATLAS

## ATLAS-CONF-2014-0IO



Bounds coming from precision h measurements

## M. Carena, I. Low, N. Shah, C.W.'I3 Higgs Decay into Gauge Bosons

Mostly determined by the change of width


CP-odd Higgs masses of order 200 GeV and $\tan \beta=10 \mathrm{OK}$ in the alignment case

## Non-Standard Higgs Production

## QCD: S. Dawson, C.B. Jackson, L. Reina, D.Wackeroth, hep-ph/0603I



## Non-Standard Higgs Searches

## Neutral Higgs bosons

## Charged Higgs bosons



## Heavy Supersymmetric Particles

## Heavy Higgs Bosons: A variety of decay Branching Ratios

## Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I4

Craig, Galloway, Thomas'13
Depending on the values of $\mu$ and $\tan \beta$ different search strategies must be applied.


At large $\tan \beta$, bottom and tau decay modes dominant.
As $\tan \beta$ decreases decays into SM-like Higgs and wek bosons become relevant

## Large $\mu$ and small $\tan \beta$



Decays into gauge and Higgs bosons become important. Observe, however that the $\operatorname{BR}(\mathrm{A}$ to $\mathrm{T} T$ ) remains large up to the top-quark threshold scale

Light Charginos and Neutralinos can significantly modify M the CP-odd Higgs Decay Branching Ratios

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I4
hh still relevant, SUSY decays


> SUSY decays dominant,
> hZ suppressed


At small values of $\tan \beta$, and small $\mu$, heavy Higgs decay into top quarks and electroweakinos become dominant. Still, decays into pairs of Higgs very relevant.

## Complementarity between different search channels

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I4


Limits coming from measurements of $h$ couplings become weaker for larger values of $\mu$
$-\sum_{\phi_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{H}} \sigma\left(\mathrm{bb} \phi_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{gg} \phi_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \times \mathrm{BR}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{i}} \rightarrow \tau \tau\right)(8 \mathrm{TeV})$
$--=\sigma(\mathrm{bbh}+\mathrm{ggh}) \times \mathrm{BR}(\mathrm{h} \rightarrow \mathrm{VV}) / \mathrm{SM}$

Limits coming from direct searches of $H, A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ become stronger for larger values of $\mu$

Bounds on $m_{A}$ are therefore dependent on the scenario and at present become weaker for larger $\mu$

With a modest improvement of direct search limit one would be able to close the wedge, below top pair decay threshold

## Comment on other direct search channels

- There are other channels that can complement the search for the nonstandard Higgs bosons
- Some powerful ones are the decay of the heavy CP-even Higgs boson into pairs of neutral gauge bosons, Z, or into pairs of lightest CP-even Higgs bosons
- Other channels involve the decay of the CP-odd Higgs boson into a $Z$ and a lightest Higgs boson
S. Su et al.
- The decays into gauge bosons vanish in the alignment limit and, as emphasized by N . Craig et al ' 13 , also the decay of H into hh vanishes in the same limit

$$
g_{H h h} \simeq g_{H Z Z} \simeq g_{A h Z} \simeq 0
$$

- Therefore, these channels cannot be efficiently used when the conditions of alignment are fulfilled. Decays into tops can be used at MH $>350 \mathrm{GeV}$.
N. Craig et al' 15 , Liu et al.'I5
- Moreover, the reach of these channels should be revised in the presence of light charginos and neutralinos, which may provide alternative search channels.


## Interference effects: The tt channel

Craig, Draper, Erasmo, Thomas, Zhang '15







Craig, Draper, Erasmo, Thomas, Zhang '15



## Naturalness and Alignment in the NMSSM

## see also Kang, Li, Li,Liu, Shu'I3, Agashe,Cui,Franceschini' I3

- It is well known that in the NMSSM there are new contributions to the lightest CP-even Higgs mass,

$$
\begin{gathered}
W=\lambda S H_{u} H_{d}+\frac{\kappa}{3} S^{3} \\
m_{h}^{2} \simeq \lambda^{2} \frac{v^{2}}{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \beta+M_{Z}^{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \beta+\Delta_{\tilde{t}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- It is perhaps less known that it leads to sizable corrections to the mixing between the MSSM like CP-even states. In the Higgs basis,

$$
M_{S}^{2}(1,2) \simeq \frac{1}{\tan \beta}\left(m_{h}^{2}-M_{Z}^{2} \cos 2 \beta-\lambda^{2} v^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta+\delta_{\tilde{t}}\right)
$$

- The last term is the one appearing in the MSSM, that are small for moderate mixing and small values of $\tan \beta$
- So, alignment leads to a determination of lambda,
- The values of lambda end up in a very narrow range, between 0.65 and 0.7 for allvalues of tanbeta, that are the values that lead to naturalness with perturbativity up to the GUT scale

$$
\lambda^{2}=\frac{m_{h}^{2}-M_{Z}^{2} \cos 2 \beta}{v^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta}
$$

## Alignment in the NMSSM (heavy or aligned singlets)


(iii)


(iv)


## Carena, Low, Shah, C.W.'I3

It is clear from these plots that the NMSSM does an amazing job in aligning the MSSM-like CP-even sector, provided lambda is of about 0.65

## Stop Contribution at alignment

## Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I 5

Interesting, after some simple algebra, one can show that

$$
\Delta_{\tilde{t}}=-\cos 2 \beta\left(m_{h}^{2}-M_{Z}^{2}\right)
$$



For moderate mixing, It is clear that low values of $\tan \beta<3$ lead to lower corrections to the Higgs mass parameter at the alignment values

## Allowed CP-even and CP-odd Masses

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I5


Heavier CP-even Higgs can decay to lighter ones


Anti-correlation between singlet-like CP-even and odd masses

## Significant decays of heavier <br> Higgs Bosons into lighter ones and Z's

Crosses: HI singlet like Asterix : H2 singlet like

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I5



## Decays into pairs of SM-like Higgs bosons suppressed by alignment

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I 5

Crosses: HI singlet like Asterix : H2 singlet like



## Heavy CP-odd Higgs Bosons have similar decay modes

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I5


Significant decay of heavy CP-odd
Higgs bosons into singlet like states plus Z

## Decays into top significant but may be somewhat suppressed by decays into non-standard particles

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I5



## Decays into neutralinos

 and charginos are relevant, also above the top thresholdCarena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I 5



## Complementarity between WW and II bb modes

Carena, Haber, Low, Shah, C.W.'I 5


Due to behavior of the singlet decay branching ratio, WW production enhanced in regions where bbll signal small

## Conclusions

Q Low energy supersymmetry provides a very predictive framework for the computation of the Higgs phenomenology.
Q. The properties of the lightest and heavy Higgs bosons depend strongly on radiative corrections mediated by the stops and on lambda.
Q. Alignment in the MSSM appears for large values of mu, for which decays into electroweakinos are suppressed, making the bounds coming from decays into SM particles stronger

- Complementarity between precision measurements and direct searches will allow to probe efficiently the MSSM Higgs sector

Q In the NMSSM, alignment occurs in regions of parameter space in which the naturalness conditions are fulfilled, with lambda of order 0.65 . Stops can be light, since their relation with the Higgs mass is different from the MSSM one

- Light Higgs, chargino and neutralino spectrum is a prediction of this model in this region of parameters.

Q Searches for heavy Higgs bosons decaying into non-standard light Higgs and vector bosons is prominent and should be emphasized at LHC I4.
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## Comparison with FeynHiggs



Next to leading order relation between $M_{t}$ and running $m_{t}\left(M_{t}\right)$

Somewhat less extreme differences than the ones presented in SUSYHD article Vega andVilladoro'l5


Leading order relation between $M_{t}$ and running $m_{t}\left(M_{t}\right)$

Good agreement for large $\tan \beta$ and LO relation between $M_{t}$ and $m_{t}\left(M_{t}\right)$

## Splitting the Two Stop Masses Soft supersymmetry Breaking Parameters

M. Carena, S. Gori, N. Shah, C.Wagner, arXiv: I I I2.336, +L.T.Wang, arXiv:I205.5842


Large stop sector mixing

$$
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}>1 \mathrm{TeV}
$$

No lower bound on the lightest stop


Intermediate values of tan beta lead to the largest values of $m_{h}$ for the same values of stop mass parameters

## Comment on CP-violation

- In the presence of CP-violating phases in the soft SUSY parameters, the mass eigenstates are no longer CP-eigenstates
- Mixing between the would be CP-even and CP-odd Higgs bosons exist.

Pilaftsis'98, Pilaftsis, C.W.'99

- How large could be the CP-odd component of the lightest neutral Higgs ?
- It is proportional to $\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{3 h_{t}^{4} v^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta \sin 2 \beta}{8 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{X_{t} Y_{t}^{*}}{2 M_{\text {SUSY }}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{6 M_{\text {SUSY }}^{2}}\right)\right]\right)$
- So, it goes to zero for maximal mixing! For stop masses of the order of the TeV scale it is difficult to obtain the right Higgs mass and a relevant CP-odd component

$$
\mathrm{MS}=2 \mathrm{TeV}
$$

Bing Li, C.W.'I5



- A CP-odd component is further restricted by electric dipole moments and Higgs


## Mixing mass matrix

# Bing Li, C.W.' 14 

$$
\begin{gathered}
O M_{\mathrm{diag}}^{2} O^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{Z}^{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \beta+\eta & \theta & \xi_{2} \\
\theta & m_{a}^{2}+M_{Z}^{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \beta+\rho & \xi_{1} \\
\xi_{2} & \xi_{1} & m_{a}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
\eta=\frac{3 h_{t}^{4} v^{2} \sin ^{4} \beta}{8 \pi^{2}}\left[\log \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)+\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{12 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Observe that a large CP-odd component

$$
\theta=-M_{Z}^{2} \cos 2 \beta \sin 2 \beta+\frac{3 h_{t}^{4} v^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta \sin 2 \beta}{16 \pi^{2}}\left[\log \left(\frac{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{2 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}+\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{X_{t} Y_{t}^{*}}{2 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{6 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\right)\right)\right]
$$

$$
\xi_{2}=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{3 h_{t}^{4} v^{2} \sin ^{2} \beta \sin 2 \beta}{32 \pi^{2}}\left[\frac{X_{t} Y_{t}^{*}}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{6 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\right)\right]\right)
$$

$$
O_{31} \propto-\frac{3 h_{t}^{4} v^{2} \sin ^{4} \beta}{16 \pi^{2} m_{H^{+}}^{2}} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu A_{t}\right)}{M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\left|X_{t}\right|^{2}}{6 M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2}}\right)
$$ means that the alignment condition, already hard to achieve in the MSSM, becomes even harder to achieve.

CP-violation only possible for relatively small values of the non-standard Higgs masses, and hence significant deviations of the bottom coupling are expected.

## Deviation of Higgs Branching Ratios compared to the SM Bing Li, C.W.'I 5



Values of the CP-odd component of HI of a few percent are obtained for these sizable values of At and $\mu$ and small values of the charged Higgs mass.

A sizable deviation of the Higgs branching ratios is observed, what constrains the CP-odd component.

Larger charged Higgs mass leads to branching ratios closer to the SM, but smaller CP-odd
components, too.

Putting all constrains together, CP-odd components larger than a 3 percent are difficult to achieve in the MSSM for stops at the TeV scale. Larger values may be obtained for very heavy stops

## CP-Violation in the tau lepton sector

The resulting values of the CP-odd component are very small and difficult to measure.
Observe, however that if one defines

$$
\tan \phi_{\tau}=\frac{g_{h \tau \tau}^{P}}{g_{h \tau \tau}^{S}}
$$

The axial coupling of the tau to HI , which is due to the mixing with the would be CP-odd scalar, is enhanced by $\tan \beta$.
$\tan \phi_{\tau} \simeq \frac{O_{31} \tan \beta}{O_{11}-O_{21} \tan \beta}$

Measurement at a high luminosity LHC may be possible
(Berge et al' 14 , Harnik et al)


