Dynamical Casimir effects with atoms: from the emission of photon pairs to a quantum Sagnac phase

Paulo A. Maia Neto



Emerging Regimes and Implications of Quantum and Thermal Fluctuational Electrodynamics - KITP, July 2022



Current team

UFRJ

Guilherme Matos (graduate student)

François Impens

UFRJ - Macaé

Claudio Ccapa (former postdoc)

UFF

Reynaldo de Melo e Souza (former PhD)

Previous collaboration

Northern Arizona University

Ryan Behunin (then at LANL)





Microscopic Dynamical Casimir Effect Geometric and non-local Casimir atomic

phases

Quantum Sagnac Effect

Microscopic dynamical Casimir Effect

Atomic origin of the DCE?



Internal degrees of freedom are quantum and define energy levels



(a) TE 90° (b) TM ₉₀ ° 60 ° 60 ° 30° $\omega_{
m cm}$ atom collection of atoms, spatio-temporal modulations: ′330 ° [⁄]330 ° Dalvit & Kort-Kamp 2021 300 ° 300 270° 270° (c) TE 90° (d) TM ₉₀ ° 60 60° 30° planar surface ∕́330 ° [′]330 ° 300 ° 300° 270° 270°



atom+surface: Belen-Farias et al 2019; Fosco, Lombardo & Mazzitelli 2021

Angular spectra: comparison with material surface

Melo e Souza, Impens & MN 2018



r(7

Two-level atom:



set in prescribed harmonic motion:

$$t) = \mathbf{a}\cos(\omega_{\rm cm}t)$$

- **Classical treatment** of the center-of-mass atomic position
- Atom initially in ground state

Oscillating two-level atom



 $\omega_{\rm cm} > \omega_0$

Motion-induced excitation

One-photon process

Two regimes



Two-photon process



Related problem: molecule moving on top of a grating

VOLUME 88, NUMBER 5

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Coherent Radiation from Neutral Molecules Moving above a Grating

Alexey Belyanin,* Vitaly Kocharovsky, and Vladimir Kocharovsky Physics Department and Institute for Quantum Studies, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-4242 and Institute of Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Science, 46 Ulyanov Street, 603600 Nizhny Novgorod, Russia

Federico Capasso

Bell Laboratories, Lucent Technologies, 600 Mountain Avenue, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Received 17 August 2001; published 22 January 2002)







Dipole interaction for an atom at rest: $\hat{V}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = -\hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}(t))$ Dipole operator

For a moving atom: electric field in the comoving frame $\hat{\mathbf{E}}'(\mathbf{r}(t)) = \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) + \frac{\mathbf{v}(t)}{c} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}(t))$

Dipolar interaction for a moving atom:

$$\hat{V}_R(\mathbf{r}(t)) = \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}(t)) - \hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \mathbf{v}(t) >$$

Röntgen term Baxter, Babiker & Loudon 1993; Wilkens 1994

- **Electric field operator**

Average atomic position

$$\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{r}} \rangle(t)$$

External velocity

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = \frac{d\mathbf{r}(t)}{dt}$$





Initial quantum state: $|\Psi(0)\rangle = |g\rangle \otimes |0\rangle$

How to describe the MDCE photon pair production?



Use 2nd-order perturbation with $\hat{V}_{R}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = -\hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \left(\hat{E}(\mathbf{r}(t) + \mathbf{v}(t) \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}(t))\right)$ Dalvit & Kort-Kamp 2021

Use 1st order perturbation with an effective field Hamiltonian [Passante, Power, Thirunamachandran, 1998]

$$\begin{split} \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) &= -\frac{\alpha(0)}{2} \hat{E}'(\mathbf{r}(t))^2 \\ \hat{\mathbf{E}}'(\mathbf{r}(t)) &= \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) + \frac{\mathbf{v}(t)}{c} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \\ \text{ground state polarizability } \alpha(\omega_{\mathbf{k}}) \simeq \alpha(0) \end{split}$$





$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = -\frac{\alpha(0)}{2} \hat{E}'(\mathbf{r}(t))^2$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{E}}'(\mathbf{r}(t)) = \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) + \frac{\mathbf{v}(t)}{c} \times \hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r}(t))$$

- **Field state** (first-order perturbation): $|\psi(t)\rangle = |0\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1\lambda_1\mathbf{k}_2\lambda_2} c_{\mathbf{k}_1\lambda_2}$
- Time-dependent perturbation theory/Fermi golden rule

$$\omega_{\rm cm} = \omega_1 + \omega_2$$

Quadratic in the field operators \implies creation of photon pairs

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{k}_2 \lambda_2(t) | \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}_1 \lambda_1} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}_2 \lambda_2} \rangle$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & |e\rangle \\ & \omega_{0} \\ \hline & \omega_{cm} \\ & & \omega_{2} \\ \hline & & \omega_{2} \\ & & |g\rangle \end{array}$$

Probability of emission obtained from $|\langle 1_{\mathbf{k_1}\lambda_1} 1_{\mathbf{k_2}\lambda_2} | \hat{H}_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r}(t),t) | 0 \rangle|^2$

Probability to detect a photon along a given direction/polarization: sum over all possible idle photons!



Oscillation along **n** Reference plane defined by the vectors (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{n})

Transverse Magnetic (TM)



 $\omega_{
m cm}$

Microscopic vs Macroscopic Dynamical Casimir Effect

 $(\mathbf{k}_1$

- Sum contribution from a macroscopic collection of atoms:
- Constructive interference condition for a quasi continuous array of atoms with identical oscillations:

$$(-\mathbf{k}_2) \times \mathbf{n} = 0$$

- Only 2-photon modes that fulfill this condition of transverse momentum conservation contribute significantly.
- We "impose" this condition to compare the prediction of our microscopic model with macroscopic results.



Angular spectra of atom/mirror:



 $\omega = 0.3\omega_{\mathrm{cm}}, 0.5\omega_{\mathrm{cm}}, 0.7\omega_{\mathrm{cm}}$

nirror: Microscopic DCE

R. M. Souza, F Impens, PAMN, Phys Rev. A (2018). D Dalvit, W Kort-Kamp, Universe (2021).

Macroscopic DCE PAMN, L. Machado, Phys Rev. A (1996).

Total photon emission rate

 $\alpha(0) = 4\pi\epsilon_0 a^3 \qquad v_{\text{max}} = \omega_{\text{cm}} r_{\text{max}}$ $\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{23}{5670\pi} \left(\frac{a}{r_{\text{max}}}\right)^6 \left(\frac{v_{\text{max}}}{c}\right)^8 \omega_{\text{cm}}$

Look for 'dynamical Casimir - like' effects with atom interferometers probing the Casimir-Polder interaction with a surface...



Microscopic Dynamical Casimir Effect Geometric and non-local Casimir atomic phases

Quantum Sagnac Effect

13

PRL 95, 133201 (2005)

Observation of Atom Wave Phase Shifts Induced by Van Der Waals Atom-Surface Interactions

John D. Perreault and Alexander D. Cronin University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA



PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 23 SEPTEMBER 2005

In both paths, atom remains in the internal ground state

detector •I(x)



Interference pattern observed when the grating G_4 is FIG. 3. inserted into path α or β of the atom interferometer. Each interference pattern represents 5 s of data. The intensity error bars are arrived at by assuming Poisson statistics for the number of detected atoms. The dashed line in the plots is a visual aid to help illustrate the measured phase shift of 0.3 rad. Notice how the phase shift induced by placing G_4 in path α or β has opposite sign. The sign of the phase shift is also consistent with the atom experiencing an attractive potential as it passes through G_4 .

Casimir atom interferometry in the quasi-static limit

Casimir atomic phase in the quasi-static limit





John D. Perreault and Alexander D. Cronin, PRL 95, 133201 (2005); S. Lepoutre et al., EPL 88, 20002 (2009); S. Lepoutre et al., EPJD 62, 309 (2011)

(e.g. van der Waals potential)

Quasi-static Casimir phase: $\phi^{qs} = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^T dt U_{vdW}(\mathbf{r}(t))$

Coarse-Grained Potential: $\overline{U}_{vdW}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = \frac{1}{\tau(t)} \int_t^{t+\tau(t)} dt' U_{vdW}(\mathbf{r}(t'))$

Virtual photon exchange duration

All atomic positions during the photon exchange taken into account!

Local *Dynamical Casimir-like* phase: $\phi^{\text{mot}} = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^T dt \, \left(\,\overline{U}_{\text{vdW}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) - U_{\text{vdW}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) \right)$

Full Casimir phase (including atomic motion): $\phi = -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{T'} dt \, \overline{U}_{
m vdW}({f r}(t))$ $(\mathbf{r}_k(t), t)$ $(\mathbf{r}_k(t'),t')$ Round trip in au(t)



atom-surface van der Waals interaction: fluctuating dipole interacts with its own field, after reflection by surface

interferometer: self-interaction also with a different wave-packet component





F Impens, R Behunin, C Ccapa-Ttira and PAMN, EPL 2013





- Atomic phases are normally *local*
- Phase non-locality emerges as a dynamical-like Casimir effect





Casimir atomic phases beyond the quasi-static limit

Interaction Hamiltonian: $\hat{V}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = -\hat{\mathbf{d}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{E}}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}(t))$ Neutral atoms with no permanent dipole: $\langle \hat{\mathbf{d}}
angle = \langle \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}(t),t)
angle = 0$ Initial (produc) state:

State at time *t* **Time-ordering operator** $|\psi^{(1)}_{AF}(t)
angle$

Dipole operator Electric field operator $|\Psi\rangle_{t=0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\psi_1\rangle_0 + |\psi_2\rangle_0 \right) \otimes |\psi_A\rangle_0 \otimes |\psi_F\rangle_0$ field internal external $|\Psi\rangle_{t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(|\psi_{1}\rangle_{t} \otimes \mathcal{T} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_{1}(t'), t')\right) |\psi_{A}\rangle_{0} \otimes |\psi_{F}\rangle_{0} + |\psi_{2}\rangle_{t} \otimes \mathcal{T} \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{t} dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_{2}(t'), t')\right) |\psi_{A}\rangle_{0} \otimes |\psi_{F}\rangle_{0} \right)$ $|\psi^{(2)}_{AF}(t)
angle$



Reduced density operator for the external degree of freedom $\rho = \text{Tr}_{AF}(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)$ Coherence multiplied by

Anti time-ordering operator

Complex phase $\Delta \phi_{12}$ has a positive imagine part (entaglement with environment/decoherence)

Real part of $\Delta \phi_{12}$ is the interferometric phase

$e^{i\Delta\phi_{12}} = \langle \psi^{(2)}_{AF}(t) | \psi^{(1)}_{AF}(t) \rangle$

$e^{i\Delta\phi_{12}} = \langle \psi_{AF}(0) | \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_2(t'),t')} \mathcal{T}e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_1(t'),t')} | \psi_{AF}(0) \rangle$ Time-ordering operator



Reduced density operator for the external degree of freedom $\rho = \text{Tr}_{AF}(|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|)$ Coherence multiplied by

Anti time-ordering operator

Casimir phase obtained by picking up two interactions (2nd-order diagram)

Two possibilities: Pick-up 2 interactions on the same path (->Local Casimir phases) Pick up 2 interactions on two distinct paths (-> Nonlocal Casimir phases)

$e^{i\Delta\phi_{12}} = \langle \psi^{(2)}_{AF}(t) | \psi^{(1)}_{AF}(t) \rangle$

$e^{i\Delta\phi_{12}} = \langle \psi_{AF}(0) | \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_2(t'),t')} \mathcal{T}e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_1(t'),t')} | \psi_{AF}(0) \rangle$

Time-ordering operator



Local Casimir atomic phases

$$e^{i\Delta\phi_{12}} = \langle \psi_{AF}(0) | \widetilde{\mathcal{T}} e^{rac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \hat{V}(\mathbf{r}_E)}$$

Local Casimir phases obtained by picking up two interactions on the same path

Contains the standard quasistatic phase reported in several experiments



Nonlocal Casimir phases obtained by picking up two interactions on distinct paths

Vanishes in the quasi-static limit (but survives when accounting for the atomic motion)



Dynamical Casimir-like effect!

$\Delta \phi_{12} = \varphi_{11} - \varphi_{22} + \varphi_{12} - \varphi_{21}$ Local phases Nonlocal phases

$$\varphi_{kl} = \frac{1}{4} \iint_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} dt dt' \left[g_{\hat{\mathbf{d}}}^{H}(t,t') \mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}^{R,S}(\mathbf{r}_{k}(t) \right]$$

Dipole fluctuations

 $\boldsymbol{G}_{\hat{\boldsymbol{O}}\,ij}^{R}(t,t') = \frac{i}{\hbar} \Theta(t-t') \langle [\hat{O}_{i}(t), \hat{O}_{j}(t')] \rangle$ Retarded Green's functions = susceptibility functions $G^{H}_{\hat{\mathbf{O}}\,ij}(t,t') = \frac{1}{\hbar} \langle \{ \hat{O}_{i}(t), \hat{O}_{j}(t') \} \rangle$ Hadamard Green's functions= source of quantum fluctuations



$(t,t;\mathbf{r}_l(t'),t') + g_{\hat{\mathbf{d}}}^R(t,t')\mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}^{H,S}(\mathbf{r}_k(t),t;\mathbf{r}_l(t'),t')$ **Electric field fluctuations**





t'Retarded time Current time

difference between diagrams arises from the motion normal to the surface

au = t - t' Duration of the virtual photon exchange



Phase invariant under time rescaling T'Changes sign with reversed propagation:

FI, R. O. Behunin, Claudio Ccapa Ttira and Paulo A. Maia Neto, EPL, 101 60006 (2013); J. Phys B 46 245503 (2013); For a review: FI, R. de Melo e Souza, G. C. Matos, EPL (2022).

$$\mathbf{r}_{2}(t)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{1}(t)$$

$$z = 0 \text{ Surface}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{I1}(t') \quad \mathbf{v}_{1,2}(t) = \mathbf{v}_{//}(t) + \dot{z}_{1,2}(t)\mathbf{u}_{z}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{I2}(t')$$

$$\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} dt \, \frac{\dot{z}_{1}(t) - \dot{z}_{2}(t)}{(z_{1}(t) + z_{2}(t))^{3}}$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda T$$

$$\mathbf{v}_{1,2} \rightarrow -\mathbf{v}_{1,2} \Rightarrow \phi_{12} \rightarrow -\phi_{12}$$
Geometric phase





Microscopic Dynamical Casimir Effect Geometric and non-local Casimir atomic phases

Quantum Sagnac Effect



GHz rotation of optically trapped nanoparticles

nature nanotechnology

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-019-0605-9

Ultrasensitive torque detection with an optically levitated nanorotor

Jonghoon Ahn¹, Zhujing Xu², Jaehoon Bang¹, Peng Ju², Xingyu Gao² and Tongcang Li^{01,2,3,4*}

vacuum. Our system does not require complex nanofabrication. Moreover, we drive a nanoparticle to rotate at a record high speed beyond 5 GHz (300 billion r.p.m.). Our calculations

Featured in Physics

GHz Rotation of an Optically Trapped Nanoparticle in Vacuum René Reimann, Michael Doderer, Erik Hebestreit, Rozenn Diehl, Martin Frimmer, Dominik Windey, Felix Tebbenjohanns, and Lukas Novotny

Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 033602 – Published 20 July 2018; Erratum Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 159901 (2021)

PhySICS See Focus story: The Fastest Spinners

Opportunity to probe dynamical Casimir effects....?



Sagnac Effect with Light/Atomic Waves



Phase difference between the two interferometers arms proportional to the angular rotation frequency Ω and to the enclosed area

тU

Sagnac Effect for atomic waves:

 $(\text{com }^{87}\text{Rb})$ (Ch. Bordé 1989, Bouyer&Kasevich 1998)

 $\hbar\omega$

 $\Delta \varphi_{at}$

 $\frac{\lambda_l v_l}{\Delta_l v_l} = \frac{mc^2}{\Delta_l v_l} \sim \infty$



 $\lambda_{at} v_{at}$

Unified expression for Sagnac Phase for atomic/light waves:

$$= \frac{4\pi}{\lambda v} \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{A}$$

Aplications: Inertial navigation systems in aircrafts



Georges Sagnac (Fonte:Alchetron)

10¹¹ Stronger non-inertial effect for atomic waves!







Sagnac Atom Interferometer



Ex: embarked atom interferometer



Sagnac effect in an inertial frame?

Inertial frame and rotating conductor





Rotation of a body in an inertial frame

Trace of the rotation??

Effective magnetic field confined to the body



Spinning $\varphi_{kl} = \frac{1}{4} \iint_{-\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{T}{2}} dt dt$ nano-particle

What are the electric-field Green's function in presence of a spinning body?

Quantum Sagnac phase near a spinning particle

- Casimir phase:
 - $\Delta \phi_{12} = \varphi_{11} \varphi_{22} + \varphi_{12} \varphi_{21}$

$$dt' \left[g_{\hat{\mathbf{d}}}^{H}(t,t') \mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathbf{E}}}^{R,S}(\mathbf{r}_{k}(t),t;\mathbf{r}_{l}(t'),t') + (R \leftrightarrow \mathbf{R}) \right]$$





Scattered electric field Green's functions



Retarded field Green's function = Response to the dipole excitation

$$E_i(\mathbf{r},t) = G^R_{\hat{\mathbf{E}},ij}(\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{r}',t')d_j(\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{r},t')d_j(\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf$$

Scattered field Green's function:

Object **Polarizability tensor**

$$\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\omega)=oldsymbol{G}^0(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{0},\omega)\cdotoldsymbol{lpha}(\omega)\cdotoldsymbol{G}^0(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{r})$$

Dipole approximation

Free electric field **Green functions**



Polarizability tensor of a spinning nano-particle?

A. Manjavacas e F. J. García de Abajo, Phys Rev. A 82,063827 (2010).

- Dipole response obtained in the sphere frame. Switch from sphere frame / inertial frame Leading non-relativistic order
- Polarizability induced by the rotation:

Rotating spherical nanosphere in the dipole approximation

 $\alpha_{ij}^{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}(\omega) = i \alpha_S'(\omega) \epsilon_{ijk} \Omega_k$ Antisymmetric

Levi-Civitta tensor

- $\alpha_S(\omega)$ = Polarizability of the sphere at rest
- **Requires dispersion!**

Quantum Sagnac phase

Local Sagnac phase:

 $\phi^{\Omega}_{\rm vdW,k}$

G. C. Matos, Reinaldo de Melo e Souza, PAMN, and F Impens, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 270401 (2021).

Real part of the spherical particle polarizability

Local Quantum Sagnac phase in the limit $c \to +\infty$

$$_{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{9}{2} \frac{\omega_0 \alpha_0^{\mathbf{A}} \tilde{\alpha}_{S,R}^{\prime\prime}(\omega_0)}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2} \int_{\mathcal{P}_k} d\mathbf{r} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}}{r^8}$$

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{S,R}(\omega) = \operatorname{Re}[\alpha_S(\omega)]$$

= static atomic polarizability

Quantum Sagnac phase for specific atom-interferometer geometries

Circular trajectories

Local Quantum Sagnac phase difference: Local Quantum Sagnac phase:

$$\Delta \phi^{\Omega}_{\{r=R\}} = 9\pi \ell_{\Omega}^6 / R^6$$

Only local phase contributions.

$$\ell_{\Omega} = \left(\frac{\omega_0 \alpha_0 \alpha_R''(\omega_0)\Omega}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2}\right)^{1/6}$$

Linear trajectories

Non-local Quantum Sagnac phase shift! Total quantum Sagnac phase difference:

$$\Delta \phi_{12}^{\Omega} = \frac{\frac{90}{63\pi \ell_{\Omega}^6 \operatorname{sgn}(y_0)}{32y_0^6}}{32y_0^6}$$

Enhancement of the Quantum Sagnac phase with plasmon resonance Goal: Choose atom/nano-particle to maximize second polarizability derivative $\tilde{lpha}''_{S,R}(\omega)$

at the 2-level atom frequency ω_0

Published: 21 March 2012

Quantum plasmon resonances of individual meta

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) = (4\pi\epsilon_0)a^3 \frac{\epsilon(\omega) - 1}{\epsilon(\omega) + 2}$$

Plasmon resonance at the frequency $\epsilon(\omega_{\rm res}) = -2$

Considered example for numerical applications: Na atom $(3s_{1/2} - 3p_{3/2})$ / K nano-sphere $\omega_0 = 3.198 \times 10^{15} \text{ rad/s}$

Estimation of the Quantum Sagnac phase in an atom-Interferometer

Atomic wave-packets of finite width

Total phase = quasi-static van der Waals + quantum Sagnac phase

$$\phi(\Omega, x, z, v) = \phi^{\mathrm{vdW}}(x, z, v) + \phi^{\Omega}$$

Accessible quantum Sagnac phase

$$\overline{\phi}^{\Omega}(\Omega, v) \equiv \overline{\phi}(\Omega, v) - \overline{\phi}(0, v)$$

averaging over wave-packet width (as in Alexander D. Cronin and John D. Perreault, Phys. Rev. A 70, 043607 (2004))

Considered parameters:

(obtained in J. Ahn et al., Nat. Nanotechnol. 15, 89 (2020).) $\Omega = 2\pi \times 5 \,\mathrm{GHz}$ Nanosphere radius $a = 30 - 50 \,\mathrm{nm}$ Atomic beam of width w = 10 - 100 nmAtomic velocities v = 1 - 5 km/s

Funding:

Pronex - FAPEMIG INCT/FAPESP - Complex Fluids Germany) Sector KITP - UCSB

PICS, Convergence International (France) CNPq, CAPES: PROBRAL (DAAD-

Thank you!

Quantum Sagnac pha

Closed Atom Interferometer: ϕ_1^{SZ} -

Effective potential vector: $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{r}) =$ Analogy with Aharonov-Bohm

Effective "geometric" magnetic field: $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{r})$ Length scale $\ell_{\Omega} = \left(\frac{\omega_0 \alpha_0 \alpha_R''(\omega_0)\Omega}{(4\pi\epsilon_0)^2}\right)^{1/2}$

Alternative derivations of QSP:

- From a Berry connection Quantum Sagnac phase == Berry phase
- From an instantaneous dipole/dipole potential (in the limit $c
 ightarrow +\infty$)

F Impens, R. de Melo e Souza, G. C. Matos, PAMN, EPL (2022).

se near a spinning particle

$$-\phi_{2}^{\Omega} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \oint d\mathbf{r} \cdot \overrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\frac{9}{2} \frac{\hbar \omega_{0} \alpha_{0}^{A} \widetilde{\alpha}_{S,R}^{\prime\prime}(\omega_{0})}{(4\pi\epsilon_{0})^{2}} \frac{\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}}{r^{8}}$$

$$\mathbf{r} = \nabla \times \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{-27 \, l_{\Omega}^{6}}{r^{8}} \frac{\mathbf{\Omega}}{\Omega}$$

m Sagnac phase == Berry phase stential (in the limit $c \rightarrow +\infty$)

