Comments on heavy-fermion criticality

Elihu Abrahams

September 28, 2017

A variety of heavy fermion compounds show quantum critical behavior of some sort

But not much universality

So each is entitled to study their own favorite

```
Hilbert's is CeCu_{6-x}Au_x, mine is YbRh<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> (YRS)
```

Why YRS?

A bit of history:

Superconductivity in $CeCu_2Si_2$ discovered in 1979.

 $T_c = 0.6 \text{ K}, E_F = 10 \text{ K}, m^* \approx 200$

The first high- T_c superconductor!

in $CeCu_2Si_2$, the Ce ion has one *f*-electron. YRS has the same crystal structure

But the Yb ion has one f-hole. This particle-hole transformation reduces T_c to nearly zero, if not zero

The phase diagram of YRS has interesting features all of which are very small:

- An antiferromagnetic phase, $T_N = 70$ mK with tiny ordered moment $< 0.02 \mu_B$ that is shut down at a QCP tuned by H = .070 T
- Perhaps superconductivity at H = 0 with $T_c < 3$ mK
- A " T^* -line" (purple), across which various transport quantities appear to jump. Sometimes interpreted as a change from a small Fermi surface to a large one, left to right



Yellow and red dots represent a theoretical alternative to "Kondo breakdown"

"Conventional ('Hertz-Millis') picture of antiferromagnetic metals near QCP

Quantum fluctuations of the order parameter (spin fluctuations) described by a bosonic field theory weakly coupled to conduction electrons

The corresponding action is of G-L-W type with $d_{eff} = d + z > 4$, hence in the Gaussian regime

This theory gives predictions for thermodynamic and transport properties, often confirmed.

But sometimes not! e.g. $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ and $YbRh_2Si_2$.

In YRS, at 300 mK there appears to be a crossover from "conventional" non-Fermi liquid behavior

$$\rho(T) = \rho_0 + c/T$$
$$C(T)/T = \log(T/T_0)$$

 $T=300~{\rm mK}$ corresponds to the crossover to 3d spin fluctuations and anomalous critical behavior that needs explaining

Theory of critical qps

When the Fermions themselves develop critical behavior ($e.g. Z \rightarrow 0$, they act back on the boson spectrum.



Anomalous properties of YRS

The latter in turn modifies the qp spectrum- A self-consistent problem.

Formulation with Peter Wölfle and Jörg Schmalian:

Quasiparticle self energy determined by interaction with magnetic fluctuations:

$$\chi''(q,\omega) \sim \frac{(\lambda^2 \omega/v_F Q)}{(r+q^2)^2 + (\lambda^2 \omega/v_F Q)^2}$$

 $r \propto H - H_c$ is the distance to the critical point , q is measured from the ordering vector Q,

 λ contains all the feedback effects of the quasiparticles on the spin fluctuation spectrum: Renormalization of v_F , DOS and Landau damping as well as corrections at the spin fluctuation-electron vertex.

Problem presented: The fluctuations couple only the "hot spots" on the FS, connected by \vec{Q} .

For critical behavior over the whole FS, invoke impurity averaging.

OR -Problem solved courtesy Subir and co workers (PRB 2011):

Couple to composite operator consisting of two spin fluctuations peaked at Q and -Q

We view this as an energy fluctuation:

$$K_E \sim \langle \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j, \vec{S}_k \cdot \vec{S}_l \rangle \sim \langle S_i^+ S_l^- \rangle \langle S_k^+ S_j^- \rangle + K_{connected}$$

Our energy fluctuation propagator is then built as $\chi_E(q+q') \sim \lambda^4 \sum GG\chi(q) \cdot \chi(q')$ with q, q' near Q, -Q so $\chi_E(q)$ is peaked near q = 0 and scattering from energy fluctuations involves the whole FS



Critical fluctuations: **a**. Single spin fluctuation **Q**. **b**. Structure of the energy fluctuation χ_E . A second contribution has the two spin fluctuation lines crossed. The dashed lines represent the particle-hole excitations at the Fermi surface to which the fluctuations couple. The full lines are excitations far from the Fermi surface, and the black dots represent vertex function λ .

Critical quasiparticles:

The quasiparticle propagator $G(k,\omega)$ has the form $Z(k,\omega)/(\omega - E_k + i\Gamma)$

 $Z = 1/(1 - \partial \text{Re}\Sigma/\partial\omega)$ is the quasiparticle weight.

If Z = 0, usual case of "non-Fermi liquid" - no qp peak in the spectral function

But - a well-defined peak at $\omega = E_k$ when $\Gamma < E_k$. Then $E_k \to Z \epsilon_k$.

Then 1/Z is interpreted as a correlation-induced mass enhancement m^*/m

Suppose $\Sigma \propto \omega^{1-\eta}$. Compare $\Gamma(=Z \text{Im}\Sigma)$ to E_k , get $\Gamma/|E_k| = \tan(\pi \eta/2)$

Then if $0 < \eta < 1/2$, qp peak is well-defined and $Z \propto \omega^{\eta}$.

Although $Z \to 0$ at the FS, as long as $Z \neq 0$ at non-zero ω (or T) there are defined "critical qps". And Z is contained in the vertex functions λ by various Ward identities

Self-consistent scheme:

- 1. Compute energy fluctuation propagator and use it to (re)compute qp self energy
- 2. The result contains powers of Z and ω .
- 3. Use the new self energy to (re)compute $Z(\omega)$
- 4. Solve the equation with Ansatz $Z \propto \omega^{\eta}$
- 5. Result: $\eta = 1/4 (d = 3)$, 1/8 (d = 2).

The qp condition is satisfied!

Exponents

Because of the dependence of the spin fluctuation propagators on $\lambda(Z)$ and Z, the

critical exponents may be read off immediately:

$$z = 4d/3$$
$$\nu = 3/(3+2d)$$

Comparison to experiment: Thermodynamics and Transport

The free energy of the qps involves an integral over the qp self energy.

The actual expression for Σ involves quantities from the self-consistently determined fluctuation propagator, hence z and ν as well as η . One obtains scaling form for free energy density. Example:

$$f(T,r) \propto T^{2-\eta} [1 + c(r^{z\nu}/T)^{\eta}]^{-1}$$

Specifc heat: $C/T \propto T^{\beta} \beta = -1/4, -1/8$ for d = 3, 2



Also power laws for magnetization, susceptibility, magnetic Grüneisen ratio Resistivity: Obtained from the qp $\Gamma = Z \text{Im}\Sigma$. Find $\rho \propto T^{\alpha} \alpha = 3/4, 7/8$ for d = 3, 2



Good agreement for $CeCu_{6-x}Au_x$ and $YbRh_2Si_2$: New critical exponents for measured quantities

Conclusion: This was an advertisement for our semi-phenomenological theory of critical quasiparticles.

To be fair, there do exist efforts to make more universal statements. For example, a "Global Phase Diagram" (QM Si 2011):



T=0 phase diagram of Kondo lattice. $G\sim$ magnetic frustration (of the RKKY). $J\sim$ Kondo coupling. 4 phases with L(arge) and S(mall) FS

And another: A suggested phase diagram for one of three types of heavy fermion quantum criticality (Yang, Pines, Lonzarich PNAS 2017)



HY="hybridization", LM ="local moment", f_0 = "hybridization strength"

Maybe someone is interested in the colored dots on the T^* line in the first figure?

- Red dots: As T is lowered, onset of scattering of critical qp from electron spin resonance, as observed in ESR.
- Yellow dots: Onset of spin-flip scattering from critical spin fluctuations depends on renormalized Zeeman splitting



Yellow and red dots represent a theoretical alternative to "Kondo breakdown"

These scattering processes depend on T, H and effect transport. Example: Magnetoresistivity



Magnetoresistivity: Dots are theory, triangles are data. Clockwise from upper left, T = 18, 38, 65, 100 mK

One may eyeball the jump at the four temperatures and plot the result

The issue is: Is the jump non-zero as $T \to 0$? In the "Kondo breakdown" scenario, it is. In our scattering scenario, the jump $\to 0$. In my rough estimation, shown here, it is inconclusive.



Jump in the magnetoresistance as function of temperature