



・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY LHC-THE FIRST PART OF THE JOURNEY KITP, UCSB JULY 8-12 2013

Mariano Quirós

ICREA/IFAE

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE) SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY

In the MSSM the couplings of the Higgs h to gauge bosons and fermions \Rightarrow to the Standard Model ones only in the decoupling limit: when the heavy scalar H and pseudoscalar A are superheavy

FAQ: is this general in supersymmetric theories?

In other worlds: is it possible that the Higgs couplings "*mimic*" those of the Standard Model even in the presence of a light scalar sector?

OUTLINE

The outline of this talk is

Outline

- INTRODUCTION
- The model
 - Electroweak observables
 - Perturbativity
- The SM-like point
- The Higgs couplings
- HIGGS SIGNAL STRENGTHS AT LHC
- The range of SM-like point
- CONCLUSION

Work done with: A. Delgado and G. Nardini: arXiv:1207.6596 [hep-ph], arXiv:1303.0800 [hep-ph]

3

- 4 聞 と 4 直 と 4 直 と

Introduction

INTRODUCTION

- The ATLAS & CMS collaborations are finding no clear discrepancies between data and the SM predictions with $m_h \simeq 126 \text{ GeV}$
- Moreover although the MSSM solves the Grand Hierarchy Problem it requires some fine-tuning in the EW sector to reproduce the Higgs mass ⇒ a Little Hierarchy Problem (LHP) from heavy stop sector
- Non-minimal supersymmetric scenarios (BMSSM) are generically motivated to circumvent this LHP
- The usual solution consists in providing an extra tree-level contribution to the Higgs mass by
 - **1** *D*-terms: i.e. extending the gauge interactions and/or
 - *F*-terms: i.e. extending the scalar sector (singlets and/or triplets)
- Extensions with triplets have extra charged fermions which can eventually increase the decay rate $h \to \gamma \gamma$ if some excess is confirmed by future data
- We will consider for simplicity a Y = 0 triplet ¹

¹A. Delgado, G. Nardini, MQ, arXiv:1207.6596 & 1303.0800 [hep-ph] < ≥ ≥ ∞ < Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE) SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY 4/30

The model

THE MODEL

• The model is the MSSM \oplus Y = 0 triplet

The most general superpotential

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^0/\sqrt{2} & -\xi_2^+ \\ \xi_1^- & -\xi^0/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta W = \lambda H_1 \cdot \Sigma H_2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\Sigma} tr \Sigma^2 + \mu H_1 \cdot H_2$$

There is no cubic term as

$$tr\,\Sigma^3=0$$

• The minimum equation along the field ξ^0 fixes a relation as

$$\xi^0 m_{\Sigma}^2 = f(\mu, \mu_{\Sigma}, \dots)$$

so in the limit where $\xi^0 \to 0$, $m_{\Sigma} \to \infty$ and the Higgs Doublet-Triplet sectors decouple

ELECTROWEAK OBSERVABLES: TREE LEVEL

• The S and T parameters are fitted to

 $S = 0.04 \pm 0.09$, $T = 0.07 \pm 0.08$ (88% correlation).

- \bullet The triplet VEV $\langle\xi^0\rangle$ contributes to the ${\it T}$ parameter at tree-level
- The experimental constraint on this contribution then requires $\langle\xi^0\rangle\lesssim 4$ GeV at 95% CL.
- Unless of fine-tuning this imposes the hierarchy

$$m_{\Sigma}\gtrsim 1.5~{
m TeV}$$

which we will assume

• This hierarchy implies decoupling between the scalars Σ and H_1, H_2

$$V \simeq V_{MSSM} + \lambda^2 |H_1^0 H_2^0|^2$$

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

▶ ▲冊 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶

ELECTROWEAK OBSERVABLES: LOOP LEVEL

The contribution for $\mu = \mu_{\Sigma} \simeq 200$ GeV from triplet fermions.



Figure: Contour plots of S (left panel) and T (right panel) parameters

The contribution from the Higgs sector is tiny



Figure: Contour plots of S (left panel) and T (right panel) parameters in the plane $(m_A, \tan\beta)$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

PERTURBATIVITY

- An issue which has to be considered is perturbativity of couplings
- The evolution with the scale of the couplings λ and h_t are given by the RGE

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi^2 \dot{\lambda} &= \left(-\frac{7}{2}g^2 - \frac{1}{2}g'^2 + 2\lambda^2 + \frac{3}{2}h_t^2 \right) \lambda \\ 8\pi^2 \dot{h}_t &= \left(-\frac{3}{2}g^2 - \frac{13}{18}g'^2 - \frac{8}{3}g_3^2 + \frac{3}{4}\lambda^2 + 3h_t^2 \right) h_t \end{aligned}$$

- We can see that for large enough initial values of $\lambda \equiv \lambda(m_t)$, the running coupling $\lambda(Q)$ is driven to larger values at high scales and eventually it reaches non-perturbative values
- \bullet This means that the theory becomes non-perturbative, unless it is UV completed at some scale smaller than Λ

ロト (高) (ヨ) (ヨ)



Figure: Left panel: Contour lines for constant values of the cutoff Λ (in GeV) in the plane (λ , tan β). Right panel: Plot of $\lambda(t)$ [solid line] and $h_t(t)$ [dashed line] for tan $\beta = 1.5$ and $\lambda = 0.8$.

(ロ) (同) (ヨ) (ヨ)

THE SM-LIKE POINT

• In the decoupling Doublet-Triplet approximation the squared-mass matrix for scalars is

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} m_A^2 \cos^2\beta + m_{11}^2 \sin^2\beta & \left(-m_A^2 + m_{12}^2\right) \sin\beta\cos\beta \\ \left(-m_A^2 + m_{12}^2\right) \sin\beta\cos\beta & m_A^2 \sin^2\beta + m_{22}^2 \cos^2\beta \end{array}\right) ,$$

• Where we have used the redefinitions

$$m_{12}^2 = \lambda^2 v^2 - m_Z^2 + \Delta_{\tilde{t}} \mathcal{M}_{12}^2 + \Delta_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{12}^2 , \qquad (1)$$

$$m_{11}^2 = m_Z^2 + \Delta_{\tilde{t}} \mathcal{M}_{11}^2 + \Delta_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{11}^2 , \qquad (2)$$

$$m_{22}^2 = m_Z^2 + \Delta_{\Sigma} \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 . \qquad (3)$$

And Δ_{t̃,Σ} are radiative corrections from the couplings h_t, λ
The CP-even neutral scalars (h, H) are

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}h_2\\h_1\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}\cos\alpha & \sin\alpha\\-\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}h\\H\end{array}\right)$$

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

• The equation fixing m_h (e.g. to 126 GeV) is (no m_A^4 term!)

$$A(\tan\beta,\lambda,m_h)m_A^2 + B(\tan\beta,\lambda,m_h) = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} A = m_h^4 + \cos^2\beta \left(m_h^2 (m_{11}^2 - m_{22}^2) + \sin^2\beta \left(m_{11}^2 m_{22}^2 - m_{12}^4 \right) \right) - m_h^2 \\ B = -m_h^2 + m_{11}^2 \sin^4\beta + (m_{22}^2 - 2m_{12}^2) \cos^4\beta + 2m_{12}^2 \cos^2\beta \end{cases}$$

• This fixes $\beta(\lambda; m_A)$ for $m_h = 126 \text{ GeV}$

$$\tan \beta = \tan \beta(\lambda; m_A)$$

• However for any value of m_A there is a SM-like point

$$A(an eta_c, \lambda_c, m_h) = B(an eta_c, \lambda_c, m_h) = 0$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Plot $\beta = \beta(\lambda; m_A)$: $m_A = 130$ (small ellipse), 135, 140, 145, 155, 200 GeV and decoupling (larger); $(\tan \beta_c, \lambda_c) \simeq (2.7, 0.9)$



 $aneta= aneta(\lambda,m_A)$ two solutions: for large (thick) and small (thin) $aneta_{\sim}$

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY

The SM-like point





 $\sin \alpha_c \simeq -0.35$

14 / 30

æ

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

The Higgs couplings

THE HIGGS COUPLINGS

Mariano Quiros (ICREA/IFAE)

• The angle α determines the Higgs couplings

$$r_{\mathcal{H}XX} = rac{g_{\mathcal{H}XX}}{g_{hXX}^{\mathrm{SM}}} \quad \mathrm{with} \quad \mathcal{H} = h, H$$



r_{hVV}^0	r_HVV	r_htt	r _{Htt}	r ⁰ hdd	r _{Hdd}
$\sin(eta-lpha)$	$\cos(eta-lpha)$	$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\sin\beta}$	$\frac{\sin \alpha}{\sin \beta}$	$-\frac{\sin\alpha}{\cos\beta}$	$\frac{\cos\alpha}{\cos\beta}$

• At the SM-like point one reaches the SM values

$$\alpha_{c} = \beta_{c} - \pi/2$$

$$r_{hVV}^{0}|_{c} = r_{htt}^{0}|_{c} = r_{hdd}^{0}|_{c} = 1$$
Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)
SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY

SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY

Plot of rhdd



$$d = b, \tau$$

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

16 / 30

æ

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・





æ

・ロン ・雪 と ・ ヨ と ・

Plot of r_{hVV}



$$V = W, Z$$

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

18 / 30

æ

<ロ> <同> <同> < 同> < 同>

The Higgs couplings

Plot of $r_{h\gamma\gamma}$



We fix $m_{\chi_1^\pm}=$ 104 GeV \oplus $m_h=$ 126 GeV \Rightarrow $M_2=M_2(\lambda)$

 $M_2(\lambda_c) = 164 \, GeV$

Higgs signal strengths at LHC

HIGGS PRODUCTION RATES AT LHC

• From the values of r_{HXX} determined in the previous section one can compute the predicted signal strength \mathcal{R}_{HXX} of the decay channel $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow XX$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX} = rac{\sigma(pp
ightarrow \mathcal{H})BR(\mathcal{H}
ightarrow XX)}{[\sigma(pp
ightarrow h)BR(h
ightarrow XX)]_{SM}}$$

• For the different production mechanisms: gluon-fusion (ggF), associated production with t (Htt), vector boson fusion (VBF) and associated production with V (HV)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(ggF)} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(\mathcal{H}tt)} = \frac{r_{\mathcal{H}tt}^2 r_{\mathcal{H}XX}^2}{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(VBF)} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{H}XX}^{(V\mathcal{H})} = \frac{r_{\mathcal{H}WW}^2 r_{\mathcal{H}XX}^2}{\mathcal{D}}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \simeq BR(h \to bb)_{SM} r_{\mathcal{H}bb}^2 + BR(h \to gg, cc)_{SM} r_{\mathcal{H}tt}^2$$

$$+ BR(h \to \tau\tau)_{SM} r_{\mathcal{H}\tau\tau}^2 + BR(h \to WW, ZZ)_{SM} r_{\mathcal{H}WW}^2$$

Higgs signal strengths at LHC

The plot of \mathcal{R}_{hXX} shows the SM-like point, with some excess due to extra charginos: $m_{\chi_1^{\pm}} = 104$ GeV. Here is the Higgs production by gluon-fusion



 $\gamma\gamma$ (Solid), *bb* (Solid), $\tau\tau$ (Dashed), *WW*, *ZZ* (DotDashed)

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

SM HIGGS IN NON-DECOUPLED SUSY

The Higgs production by vector boson fusion



 $\gamma\gamma$ (Solid), *bb* (Solid), $\tau\tau$ (Dashed), *WW*, *ZZ* (DotDashed)

Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

3

▶ < 문 > < 문 >

The heavy Higgs rates are very suppressed. Only for the $H \rightarrow bb$ and $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ channels the rates are $\sim 10\%$ the SM rates

Plot of the next-to-lightest Higgs mass m_H as a function of λ for $m_A = 140 \, {\rm GeV}$







 $\gamma\gamma$ (Solid), *bb* (Solid), $\tau\tau$ (Dashed), *WW*, *ZZ* (DotDashed)

3

日本《聞》《臣》《臣》

The range of SM-like

The range of the SM-like region

Values of $(\tan \beta_c, \lambda_c)$ (solid) for m_{Σ} (dotted) in the range 1.5 TeV $\leq m_{\Sigma} \leq 10$ TeV



Mariano Quirós (ICREA/IFAE)

$\mathcal{R}_{h\gamma\gamma}$ as a function of λ_c for $m_{\chi_1^{\pm}} = 104 \,\text{GeV}$ (red), $m_{\chi_1^{\pm}} = 150 \,\text{GeV}$ (blue) and $m_{\chi_1^{\pm}} = 200 \,\text{GeV}$ (purple)



CONCLUSION

- In our model we can mimic the signal strength of a SM Higgs
- A similar effect should happen for the MSSM \oplus singlets
- Some small deviations from a pure SM Higgs (e.g. $\gamma\gamma,$ bb, $\tau\tau)$ can also be encompassed
- When different channels will be measured with more accuracy one should make a *global fit* to all data and select regions at different C.L.'s
- Unfortunately still we have to wait a few years until this happens

BACKUP SLIDES

э

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Conclusion



Contour plots in the $(\lambda, \tan \beta)$ plane for $m_h = 126$ GeV in the approximation of decoupling triplet scalars (blue dashed line) and $m_h = 125$ GeV (outer red solid line) and 126 GeV (inner red solid line) in the exact theory

Conclusion



Plots of the relative error for couplings δ_{hff} (upper grey solid line) and δ_{hVV} (lower red solid line) as a function of λ : errors $\leq 1\%$

< □ > < 同 > < 三