Low-Energy Precision Experiments

David Hertzog University of Washington

A selection that highlights the complementarity to the Energy Frontier



Many other instances might be cited, but these will suffice to justify the statement that "*our future discoveries must be looked for in the sixth place of decimals.*" — **A.A. Michelson**

Stress testing the Standard Model at the LHC KITP May 24, 2016

Our group's program: An Evolution of Precision



Precision Physics Motivation 1: Establish the SM

- <u>SM parameters and laws</u>. Examples:
 - Masses M_Z , M_W , M_H , m_b , m_t , m_e , m_u , m_v , ...
 - Couplings: α_{QED} , α_{Strong} , G_F , G_{grav}
 - Structure of interactions $SU(3)_C x SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y$
 - Broad issues
 - Numbers of generations
 - Mixing angles, quarks and neutrinos
 - Lepton number conservation
 - Majorana or Dirac neutrinos *
 - CP violation parameters
- The SM has been built on an enormous experimental foundation involving *Precision* and *Energy* frontiers ... and, exquisite *Theory*
 - See A. Freitas talk, next !!



Precision Physics Motivation II: Burning issues

- Can we sensitively test the SM limitations to help answer key questions:
 - Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe
 - EW symmetry breaking
- Are the Standard Model predictions complete?
 - What is missing?
 - What extensions are needed?
- The community has also begun to worry ...

So far: No direct evidence for Supersymmetry, Extra Dimensions, 4th Generation, New Dynamics... At The LHC!

The Higgs – Last Particle Ever Discovered?

Marciano: 2013

The unconquered Standard Model



The indirect approach

New Physics through precision and sensitivity

- Beta decay: μ, n, nuclei
 - TWIST, PERC, UCNA, ⁶He^{*}
- Muon anomaly
 - **g-2**
- cLFV
 - MEG, Mu2e, COMET, Mu3e
- EDMs
 - Hg, ThO, n, ...
- PV electron scattering
 - Qweak, Moller, ...
- Lepton universality

 PEN, PIENU
- **0**νββ
 - KamLand-Zen*, Gerda, EXO, MJD, Cuore, …

SM Extensions **SUSY**, ... **SM Extensions** Dark Matter, SUSY, Dark **Photons, many others** SM Extensions SUSY, new interactions **Baryon Asymmetry** SUSY, θ_{OCD} SM Extensions or $Sin^2\theta_w$ SUSY, Z', Dark Photons **SM Extensions** Various SUSY limits **Baryon Asymmetry** Majorana / Dirac neutrinos

+ many Direct Dark Matter searches, Dark Photon searches, Axion searches, ...

*Backup slide

Resolved Conflicts: Lesson: The Standard Model is hard to crack

g_a, g_v, V_{ud} & "Row 1 unitarity"



G_F, τ_μ, g_P

Sin²θ_w





Michel parameters



The Neutron as a Fundamental Laboratory

 $n \rightarrow p^+ + e^- + \nu'_e$ neutron lifetime $\tau \approx 15 \text{ min}$ β -endpoint energy: $E_{\text{max}} = 782 \text{ keV}$





Neutron beta decay measurements give:

$$(\begin{array}{c}g_A^2 + 3g_V^2\end{array})$$
$$\begin{array}{c}g_A\\g_V\\g_V\end{array}$$



 $g_{\rm V}$



J. Nico, 2007

This well-known plot of **Neutron Lifetime versus Time** illustrates just how difficult this measurement is:





PERKEO II



PERKEO II

And ... SUPERALLOWED $0^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ BETA DECAY

$$\mathcal{T}t = ft (1 + \delta_{R}') [1 - (\delta_{C} - \delta_{NS})] = \frac{K}{2G_{V}^{2} (1 + \Delta_{R})}$$





$$ft = \frac{K}{G_v^2 < \tau >^2}$$

Tests of CKM Unitarity via nuclear beta, muon and Kaon decays at the 0.05% level

- **Test CVC from many transitions**
 - & validate correction terms
- Test for Scalar current •
- Then, *IF* CVC verified:
 - V_{ud} V_{us} V_{ub} $= \begin{vmatrix} V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{vmatrix}$

s'

$$G_v$$
 constant to ± 0.013%

limit,
$$C_s/C_v = 0.0014$$
 (13)

$$V_{ud}^{2} = G_{v}^{2}/G_{\mu}^{2} = 0.94900 \pm 0.00042$$

• Test CKM unitarity $V_{ud}^2 + V_{us}^2 + V_{ub}^2 = 0.99992 \pm 0.00048$

2015 Picture: Lifetime, Correlations, V_{ud} all painting a very consistent picture now IF we use the "precision" results only



Sorry, this plot is turned and arranged differently

Muon Primer

Mass ~ 207 m_e (50 ppb)



- $(m_{\mu}/m_{e})^{2} \approx 43,000$ times more sensitive to "new physics" through quantum loops compared to electrons (taus would be better!)
- Lifetime ~2.2 μ s (1 ppm)

High-intensity beams; can stop and study; can possibly collide

- Primary production: $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu_{\mu}$
 - Polarized naturally:

(99.98%)



- Primary decay $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ v_e \overline{v}_{\mu}$ (~99%)
 - Purely weak; distribution in θ and *E* reveals weak parameters
- Lepton number is conserved (BRs 4 < 10⁻¹³)



Muon Lifetime

Fundamental electro-weak couplings

 G_F <u>15 ppm \rightarrow 0.5 ppm</u>

α 0.37 ppb M_Z 23 ppm



Implicit to all EW precision physics

$$rac{G_{
m F}}{\sqrt{2}} = rac{g^2}{8M_{
m W}^2} \left(1 + \Delta r(m_{
m t}, m_{
m H}, \ldots)
ight)$$





Uniquely defined by muon decay

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\mu^+}} = \frac{{\pmb G_{\rm F}}^2 m_{\mu}^5}{192 \pi^3} \left(1 + {\pmb q}\right) \label{eq:Theta}$$
 QED



Extraction of G_F from τ_{μ} : reduced error from 15 to ~0.5 ppm

MuLan measured ~ 2 x 10¹² decays



at PSI

Detector has symmetric design around stops

Final Results: Muon lifetime & Fermi constant



The most precise particle or nuclear or atomic lifetime ever measured



Final results from *TWIST* measurement of muon decay parameters

Is muon decay purely V-A?

Sensitive to attractive SM extensions:

L-R symmetric models, which would permit a W_R

Basic idea:

Measure the energy and angular distribution of e⁺ from $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu$ and compare to Monte Carlo expectations





The formalism, "Michel" parameters

• Muon decay parameters ρ , η , $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}\xi$, δ

Differential decay rate vs. energy and angle:



Michel Parameters: TWIST final results

3/4

1



 δ = 0.75049 ± 0.00021 (stat) ± 0.00027 (syst)

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mu}^{\pi}\xi$ = 1.00084 ± 0.00029 (stat) _{-0.00063} (syst)

Results mostly constrain right-handed muon terms



"SM still okay"

Phys. Rev. D 85, 092013 (2012)

Running of $sin^2\theta_w(Q^2)$ and Tension with LEP and SLC



Next-generation efforts: Parity-Violating e⁻ Scattering

The precision measurement of the Higgs mass updates the story, fixing central value of $sin^2\theta_w$



J. Erler

Next-Generation experiments sensitive to new, heavy, neutral current interactions?



A Case for Challenging the Standard Model



BNL result \rightarrow $a_{\mu}(Expt) = 116\ 592\ 089\ (63) \times 10^{-10}$ (540 ppb)

The experiment compares how fast a muon spin rotates in a magnet compared to the predictions from theory

Comparison to Theory and future Goals for both



What is nature trying to tell us?

*range of typical SM evaluations

g-2 feature: Chirality flipping interactions for mass and charge (moment) terms q – 2 μ_{R} - μ $C = \frac{\delta m_{\mu}(\text{N.P.})}{m_{\mu}}, \quad \delta a_{\mu}(\text{N.P.}) = \mathcal{O}(C) \left(\frac{m_{\mu}}{M}\right)^{2}$ m, -μ The coupling C is VERY model dependent 500 $\mathcal{O}(1)$ Radiative muon mass generation 400 a_μ(New) [10⁻¹¹] 300 Current Δa_{μ} Goal 200 $\mathcal{O}(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi}...)$ SUSY (tan β), unparticles Extra dimensions (ADD/RS) b) 100 χ+/-0 $\mathcal{O}(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi})$ Z', W', UED, Littlest Higgs (LHT) ... μ μ ĩ -100200 1000 1200 1400 1600 400 600 800 1800 2000 M[GeV]

From D. Stockinger (See many of this g-2 presentations about new physics impact)

Post LHC Run 1 physics case strong as ever

Representative papers –<u>a few weeks last spring</u> – with g-2 in titles are typically refined models of SUSY; many more since then ...

Natural Supersymmetry, Muon g - 2, and the Last Crevices for the Top Squark

B. Paul Padley¹, Kuver Sinha², and Keehen Wang³

Large muon (g - 2) with TeV-scale SUSY masses for $\tan \beta \to \infty$

> Markus Bach^a, Jae-hyeon Park^b, Dominik Stöckinger^a, Hyejung Stöckinger-Kim^a

Muon g - 2 in Focus Point SUSY

Keisuke Harigaya^a, Tsutomu T. Yanagida^b and Norimi Yokozaki^c

Particles

Supersymmetric "shadow" particles Lepton-Specific Two Higgs Doublet Model

Muon q-2 in Anomaly Mediated SUSY

Breaking

Debtosh Chowdhury^{*} and Norimi Yokozaki[†]

as a Solution of Muon q - 2 Anomaly

Tomohiro Abe¹, Ryosuke Sato¹ and Kei Yagyu²

MI-10-1314

Neutralinos and Sleptons at the LHC in Light of Muon $(g-2)_{\mu}$

M. Adeel Ajaib^{a,1}, Bhaskar Dutta^{b,2}, Tathagata Ghosh^{b,3}, Ilia Gogoladze^{c,4}, Qaisar Shafi^{c,5}

Now with more restricted spaces and $tan\beta$ range

Perhaps something at low scales ... not seen at the LHC

Light vector space of dark photon $\rightarrow e^+e^-$ (visible decays) largely ruled out now

But, the "invisible" decay space is quite alive; Dark Z model also alive and connects to running of $sin^2\theta_w$ vs Q





The key numbers that determine the precision of the "g-2 Test" $a_{\mu}(\text{New Physics}) \equiv a_{\mu}(\text{Expt}) - a_{\mu}(\text{SM})$ • $a_{\mu}(SM) = a_{\mu}(QED) + a_{\mu}(Weak) + a_{\mu}(HVP) + a_{\mu}(Had H0) + a_{\mu}(HLbL)$ A few remarks here

m

In E821 = $\mathcal{R}_{\mu}(E821) = 0.003\,707\,206\,4(2\,0)$ [0.54 ppm]

-2.002 319 304 361 53(53) [0.26 ppt] Electron g-2 + QED

a_{..}(Expt)

 g_e

-.001519270384(12) [8 ppb] 206.768 2843(52) [**25 ppb**]

3 recent Lattice breakthroughs related to g-2



<u>Disconnected</u> result: (-9.6 ± 3.3 ± 2.3) x 10⁻¹⁰

QCD Box in a QED Box to manage finite volume effects



Jin, Blum, Christ, Hayakawa, Izubuchi, Lehner

- 8% precision on HLbL connected part
- Physical pions, large lattice
- Missing connected can be handled

g-2 from 2 frequency measurements and a folding



The Storage Ring is working The Detectors are tested Muon data starts in one year !!!







Impressive sensitivity to new physics when the SM theory "is zero" (or sort of)

Charged Lepton Flavor Violation EDMs







Charged Lepton Flavor Violation SM "allowed" but Unobservable e.g., µ -> ey BR: 10-54



But, life may not be just Dipole





One potential path to CLFV

NEW 2016: BR($\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$) < 4.2 x 10⁻¹³ @ 90% CL

x30 improvement compared to pre-MEG

MEG II Upgrade approved at PSI: Expect to improve by ano

Note: For Belle II type facility, MEG I is allowing little room for tau discovery

http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.05081

if $B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 10^{-13}$ (not seen at MEG) little hopes to see $\tau \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ at SuperB (KEK) Baldini

Final MEG 4D Event Distribution

- e and γ are back-to-back, $\Delta \theta = 180^{\circ}$
- e and γ are simultaneous, $\Delta t = 0$
- $E_e = E_{\gamma} = m_{\mu}/2$



Contours at 1, 1.64, and 2 σ



- This signature is quite unique
- Goal $R_{\mu\epsilon}$ to < 6 x 10⁻¹⁷ (90% C.L.) – Present is < 7 x 10⁻¹³ \rightarrow So this is <u>very ambitious</u>

4 order of magnitude gain !!

How it is done

- Need intense pulsed source of low-energy muons
- Stop in thin AI target
- Form muonic Al atoms.
- Observe
 - 40% will decay "in orbit";
 - 60% will capture (hadronic junk emitted)





Challenge: find signal above "Decay in Orbit" tail



Permanent Electric Dipole Moments (This field is big enough it has its own conferences)



EDM violates T \rightarrow violates CP

New sources of $CP \rightarrow BAU$?

Experiments are largely the same: Precess spin in B field with parallel and anti-parallel E Measure the frequency difference

Current EDM Limits

Туре	System	EDM Limit (e-cm)	
Paramagnetic	YbF	$d_e = (-2.4 \pm 5.9) \times 10^{-28}$	
Paramagnetic	ThO	$d_e = (-2.1 \pm 4.5) \times 10^{-29}$	
Diamagnetic	¹⁹⁹ Hg	$d_A = \frac{(0.5 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-29}}{(2.20 \pm 0.75) \times 10^{-29}}$	4 0-30
Nucleon	Neutron	$d_n = (0.2 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-26}$	10 ⁻³⁰
Lepton	Muon	$d_{\mu} = (-0.1 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-19}$	

Many systems ... mostly small

Graner et al, PRL 116, 161601 (2016)



An typical experiment: The Seattle ¹⁹⁹Hg (atomic) EDM Measurement



4 mercury vapor cells: 2 with opposite E fields 2 for B field normalization



$$egin{aligned} & \omega_1 = rac{2ec{\mu}\cdotec{B}+2ec{d}\cdotec{E}}{\hbar} \ & \omega_1 = \ & \omega_2 = rac{2ec{\mu}\cdotec{B}-2ec{d}\cdotec{E}}{\hbar} \end{aligned}$$

 $_1-\omega_2=rac{4\,d\,E}{\hbar}$





World-wide intense effort on nEDM

Exp	UCN source	cell	Measurement techniques	σ _d (10 ⁻²⁸ e-cm)
ILL CryoEDM	Superfluid ⁴ He	⁴He	Ramsey technique for ω External SQUID magnetometers	Phase1 ~ 50 Phase 2 < 5
PNPI – ILL	ILL turbine PNPI/Solid D ₂	Vac.	Ramsey technique for ω E=0 cell for magnetometer	Phase1<100 < 10
ILL Crystal	Cold n Beam	solid	Crystal Diffraction	< 100
PSI EDM	Solid D ₂	Vac.	Ramsey for ω, external Cs & ³ He magnetom.Possible Xe or Hg comagnetometer	Phase1 ~ 50 Phase 2 < 5
Munich FRMII	Solid D ₂	Vac.	Under Construction (similar to PSI	< 5
SNS EDM	Superfluid ⁴ He	⁴He	³ He capture for ω ³ He comagnetometer SQUIDS & Dressed spins	< 5
	Superfluid ⁴ He	Vac.	Phase I @ RCNP	< 10
	Solid D ₂	Vac.	Under Development	< 5
	Crystal	Solid	R&D	~ 5 ? 22

B. Filippone

>

The PSI nEDM experiment is progressing

2015: 124 days of nEDM data

nEDM sensitivity (x 10-26 e.cm)

-Cumulated

nZEDM reach

100.00

0.10

$$\sigma(d_n) = \frac{\hbar}{2\alpha ET\sqrt{N}}$$

	PSI 13	PS	l 14	PSI 15
	avg	best	avg	good
E-field (k∀/cm)	10.3	10	10	11
Neutrons	6 500	7 500	4 400	10 000
T _{free}	180	220	220	180
T _{duty}	340	340	340	340
А	0.57	0.65	0.6	0.8
Pdfs 1.3 Pdfs 1.4 Pdfs 1.4 may bmm may L.3mity R-fm10 10.3 10 10 11 Mondrame 0.00 7.000 4.00 112 Trans 100 200 200 10.40 112 Trans 100 200 4.00 112 10.4 Scatter 0.00 200 4.00 112 10.4 Yanz 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 340 <	2.8	2.0	2.9	1.3

DATASET SA DATASET SA



DATASET 18

oataset 19

DATASET 15

DATASET 21

DATASET 15

parasera DATASET optieset 20

DATASET 21

DATASET 22 DATASET 25 DATISET 24 DATISET 25 DATASET 26

DATASET 28

DATASET 29 DATASET 30 DATASETSI DATASET 22 PATRSET 35

DATASET 21



This would represent the 1st step forward in >15 years !

Lessons and Promise of Low-Energy Precision Physics

- The Standard Model is tough to crack
- Direct energy frontier experiments are the obvious way to explore ...
 - But they can run out of resolution (kinematics)
 - And may not fully define the physics behind the finding
- High precision in well selected efforts can often reach beyond through loops
 - And, these observables will provide complementary clues about the nature of any new discoveries

See also:

D.W. Hertzog, *Low-energy precision tests of the standard model: a snapshot*, Annalen Phys. **528**, 115 (2016) T.P. Gorringe and D.W. Hertzog, *Precision Muon Physics*, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **84**, 73 (2015)



Connection between a_{μ} , μ EDM and the cLFV transition moment $\mu \rightarrow e$



Nuclear beta decay vs. the LHC for Scalar and Tensor currents ...

Scalar bounds





Tensor bounds

"In particular, the pp \rightarrow e + MET + X channel is considered, where MET signifies Missing Transverse Energy. This channel is closely related to β decay, since it involves the ud \rightarrow ev process at quark level" (VWT see below)



$a_{\mu}(SM)$: There are 2 contributions to worry about



The 1 ppm μ^+ lifetime is compared to the μ^- lifetime in gaseous **p** or **d** targets to determine the capture rate



The singlet muon capture Λ_{S} on the proton is sensitive to axial nucleon structure

$$\Lambda_{S} \qquad \mathcal{M} = \frac{-iG_{F}V_{ud}}{\sqrt{2}}\overline{u}(p_{\nu})\gamma_{\alpha}(1-\gamma_{5})u(p_{\mu})\overline{u}(p_{f})\tau_{-} \left[V^{\alpha}-A^{\alpha}\right]u(p_{i})$$

$$V_{\alpha} = g_{V}(q^{2})\gamma_{\alpha} + \frac{ig_{M}(q^{2})}{2M_{N}}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}q^{\beta}$$

$$A_{\alpha} = g_{A}(q^{2})\gamma_{\alpha}\gamma_{5} + \frac{g_{P}(q^{2})}{m_{\mu}}q_{\alpha}\gamma_{5}$$

$$\frac{\Delta\Lambda_{s}}{\Lambda_{s}} = 1\% \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\Delta g_{P}}{g_{P}} \approx 6.1\%$$

Technique: Precision lifetime measurement in an ultra-pure hydrogen time projection chamber





Horizontal axis represents some not-well-known Mu-Molecular physics

Why do we say the result is Unambiguous ?

Phys.Rev.Lett. 110 (2013) 012504

Add in eee channel ...



Next-generation: $\mu \rightarrow eee$ (2013: approved at PSI)





Typical comparison to $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ without enhancement

$$\frac{B(\mu \rightarrow eee)}{B(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)} = 0.006$$
 (essentially α_{em})



- Goal:
 - Finding 1 in 10¹⁶ muon decays



- Special technique
 - High-voltage monolithic active pixel sensors



- The detector
 - Minimum material, maximum precision



An immediate aside ...



*New KamLAND-Zen result a week ago ...

- Majorana neutrino mass upper limits in range 60 161 meV
- Lightest neutrino mass < (180 470) meV