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## Andreev Bound States (ABSs) in S-TI-S Josephson junctions

## L. Fu and C. Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 096407 (2008)


$\mathrm{L} \rightarrow 0$

$A B S$ energy levels

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{ \pm}(q, \phi) & = \pm \sqrt{v^{2} q^{2}+\Delta^{2} \cos ^{2}(\phi / 2)} \\
E_{ \pm}(\phi) & = \pm \Delta \cos (\phi / 2) \quad \text { for } \mathrm{q}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Supercurrent contribution

$$
I_{ \pm}(\varphi)=\frac{2 e}{\hbar} \frac{\partial E_{ \pm}}{\partial \varphi}=\mp\left(\frac{e \Delta_{0}}{2 \hbar}\right) \frac{\sin \varphi}{\sqrt{\frac{v^{2} q^{2}}{\Delta_{0}^{2}}+\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\varphi}{2}\right)}}
$$

Low-energy ABS:
$I \nless \sin \phi$
for q small
(skewed CPR)
Majorana states:

$$
I \propto \sin \frac{\phi}{2}
$$

$$
\text { for } q=0
$$

## Why lateral S-TI-S junctions?

Not the favorite system of most because of the complexity:

- 2D width (multiple channels)
- Multiple surfaces (top, edges, bottom)
- Conducting bulk states and trivial surface states in the TI


## Advantages:

- Supports topological excitations without a strong magnetic field. Allows use of phase-sensitive techniques.
- Access to barrier. Allows probes and imaging.
- Expandable into networks.
- Several modes of operation to move and control Majorana fermions by phase, current, or voltage.
- Schemes proposed to braid and perform logical operations.


## Phase-controlled devices

Lateral junctions circuits --- Majorana fermions nucleated at trijunctions


Fu and Kane


Majorana fermion surface code scheme

Vijay, Hsieh, and Fu



## Current-controlled devices: lateral junctions in a magnetic field

Perpendicular magnetic field induces a phase gradient across the junction


Majorana fermions enter junction attached to Josephson vortices --- located where the phase difference is an odd multiple of $\pi$

Zero current: MFs enter symmetrically


At critical current: MFs enter alternatively


## Voltage-controlled devices: moving Majorana fermions

Phase winds according to the Josephson relation: $\quad \frac{d \phi}{d t}=\frac{2 \mathrm{eV}}{\hbar}$
Majorana fermions move laterally through junction at speed: $\quad v=\frac{V}{B d}$


For $V=1 \mu \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{d}=100 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $\mathrm{B}=10 \mathrm{mT}, \mathrm{v}=1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ !

Provides way to move Majorana fermions fast along lateral junctions
Could be used to manipulate MFs in multiply-connected junction networks for braiding:


Fu and Kane

Transport in $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}-\mathrm{Nb}$ junctions

- Long-range phase coherence of topological surface states
- Phase transition in the location of the topological surface state

Josephson interferometry in $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}-\mathrm{Nb}$ junctions and SQUIDs

- Node-lifting of the magnetic field modulation patterns
- Non-sinusoidal components in the current-phase relation
- Evidence for $4 \pi$-periodicity that could arise from Majorana states

Interference experiments in hybrid $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ structures

- Order parameter of proximity-induced superconductivity
- Conductance channels induced by superconductor dots
- Aharonov-Casher experiment in lateral junctions


## $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ Materials Characteristics

- $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ film MBE-grown on $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$


Bulk is insulating - conductance is dominated by two surface channels:

1. Trivial 2DEG (2-3 quintuple layers)
2. Topological surface state

- $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ exfoliated crystals

Bulk is generally more conducting but we expect the surface state properties to be similar

## $\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} / \mathrm{Nb}$ Josephson Junctions

Gate Dielectric ALD Al $\mathrm{O}_{3} / \mathrm{HfO}_{2}$


- E-beam lithography
- Ion milling
- Evaporation and sputtering


> Typical Dimensions:
> Length $=100-300 \mathrm{~nm}$ Width $=300 \mathrm{~nm}-1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$

Top gate dielectric ~ 35-40nm ( $\mathrm{ALD} \mathrm{Al} \mathrm{O}_{3} / \mathrm{HfO}_{2}$ )

$\mathrm{Nb} / \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} / \mathrm{Nb}$ Josephson Junctions on Exfoliated Crystals


We see the same behavior in thin film and exfoliated crystals of all thicknesses, independent of the relative width of the SC and TI:

This suggests that:

1. Most of the supercurrent is carried by the top surface.
2. Bulk conductance does not play a large role in the supercurrent properties.

## Transport in $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}-\mathrm{Cu}$ structures




Re-entrant resistance: competition between quasiparticle diffusion and the proximity-induced energy gap

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{T}=\frac{\hbar D}{L^{2}} \\
& D=\frac{1}{2} v_{F} l \approx 0.0025 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{L}=100 \mathrm{~nm}: \quad E_{T}=160 \quad V$

Artemenko et al., Solid State Comm. 30, 771 (1979)


## Gate-Tuned Transport



9 nm thick Tl flake
L = 230 nm



Fabry-Perot and Andreev Oscillations


Fabry-Perot

$$
E_{F}=\frac{h v_{F}}{2 L}
$$

Andreev

$$
E_{F}=\frac{h v_{F}}{4 L}
$$

# Josephson Interferometry in $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}-\mathrm{Nb}$ junctions 

## Supercurrents



## Gate dependence model (Pouyan Ghaemi)

Shift in the location of the topological surface state from below to above the trivial 2-DEG surface states as gate voltage depletes them

Low gate voltage:

- Fermi energy in conductance band
- Topological surface state buried


Near crossover, spatial charge fluctuations create a dynamically-meandering topological surface state

High (negative) voltage:

- Fermi energy in the band gap
- Topological surface state on surface


Josephson Interferometry: response to a magnetic field
magnetic length of barrier


- $B(y)$

Magnetic field induces a phase variation: $\quad \phi(y)=\phi_{0}+\frac{2 \pi}{\Phi_{0}} \int_{0}^{y} d y^{\prime} \lambda_{m} B\left(y^{\prime}\right)$
Uniform field $\Rightarrow \quad \phi(y)=\phi_{0}+\frac{2 \pi}{\Phi_{0}} \lambda_{m} B y \quad \Rightarrow$ linear phase variation

$$
I_{c}=\max \int_{-w / 2}^{w / 2} d y t J_{c} \sin \left(\phi_{0}+\frac{2 \pi}{\Phi_{0}} \lambda_{m} B y\right)
$$



Fraunhofer diffraction pattern

## Josephson Interferometry: what it can tell you about

$$
I_{c}(\Phi)=\max \int_{-w / 2}^{w / 2} d y t J_{c}(y) \operatorname{cpr}\left(\phi_{0}+\phi_{o p}(y)+\frac{2 \pi}{\Phi_{0}}\left(\Phi+\int_{0}^{y} d y^{\prime} d_{m} \delta B\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right)
$$

Critical current variation

Gap anisotropy
Domains
Charge traps


> Current-
> phase relation

Non-sinusoidal processes
$\pi$-junctions
Exotic excitations
e.g. Majorana fermions

Supercurrent diffraction patterns


- 1st minimum does not go to zero
- 2nd minimum does go to zero


## Diffraction pattern vs. gating

MBE-grown barrier

exfoliated barrier


- Central peak drops at Dirac point
- Side lobe is nearly unchanged
- Lifting of first node robus $\dagger$


## dc SQUID w/ Nb- $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Nb}$


$\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ : 19 nm thick, $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ long, 300 nm wide exfoliated piece Junctions: length 300 nm , width 300 nm

Area loop ~ $6 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
Area junction ~ $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$


SQUID oscillations --- gate dependence --- envelopes
e

b

c


Envelopes exhibit same behavior as single junction diffraction: first node stays high, second vanishes

SQUID oscillations also do not go to zero as would be expected for $\beta \ll 1$ and a symmetric SQUID

$$
\beta=2 \pi L I_{c} / \Phi_{0}
$$

## SQUID oscillations --- gate dependence


b




Modulation depth is gate-dependent: peaks drop; nodes stay constant

## Node-lifting in dc SQUIDs

## dc SQUIDs:

- Finite inductance of SQUID loop

$$
\beta=2 \pi L I_{c} / \Phi_{0}
$$

Too small $\beta \ll 1\left(\sim 10^{-3}-10^{-4}\right)$

- Asymmetry in the junction critical currents $\alpha=\left(I c_{1}-I c_{2}\right) /\left(I c_{1}+I c_{2}\right)$ Too small $\alpha<0.1 \quad(\sim 0.01-0.05)$
- Asymmetry in the SQUID loop inductance

$$
\eta=\left(L_{1}-L_{2}\right) /\left(L_{1}+L_{2}\right)
$$

Too small $\eta \ll 0.1$ ( $\sim 0.01$ )

- Skewness in the current-phase relation

$$
s=\left(2 \phi_{\max } / \pi\right)-1
$$

Possible! SQUID node requires $I(\phi)=-I(\phi+\pi)$

$$
I c_{\min } / I c_{\max } \sim \beta \sim \alpha \sim \eta \sim s
$$

Simulations of node-lifting in dc SQUID



## Node-lifting in Josephson junctions

Josephson junctions:

- Inhomogeneous current distribution Hard to rule out but the full body of data makes this unlikely

1. Consistent features --- same for all samples and other groups.
2. Even-odd effect --- first node is lifted; second node not second node almost all critical current asymmetries lift ALL nodes
3. Node-lifting is very large $\sim 10 \%$
requires large random disorder or systematic variations
4. Temperature dependence of the critical current diffraction patterns node current drops much faster than peak current suggests a change in the supercurrent mechanism, e.g. change in the CPR
5. Gate dependence of the critical current
strong suppression at zero field and relative insensitivity at nodes also suggests different supercurrent mechanisms

## Node-lifting in Josephson junctions and SQUIDs

Josephson junctions:

- Inhomogeneous current distribution
- Edge currents due to MF hybridization (Potter-Fu model)

Anomalous supercurrent from Majorana states in topological insulator Josephson junctions

Andrew C. Potter ${ }^{1}$ and Liang Fu ${ }^{2}$

Hybridization at edge for integer flux quanta gives rise to an extra bump in the CPR




Current inhomogeneity lifts ALL nodes

## Node-lifting in Josephson junctions and SQUIDs

Josephson junctions:

- Inhomogeneous current distribution
- Edge currents due to MF hybridization (Potter-Fu model)
- $\sin (\phi / 2)$-component in the current-phase relation


## Simulations --- Hybrid Current Phase Relation

CPR: $I\left(\phi, V_{g}\right)=I c_{1} \sin (\phi)+I c_{2}\left(V_{g}\right) \sin (\phi / 2)$

$1^{\text {st }}$ minimum lifted $2^{\text {nd }}$ exactly nulled

Reproduces some key features
However, this assumes a uniform $\sin (\phi / 2)$-component with should not be the case for Majorana fermions --- only stable when $\phi \sim \pi$

Model --- Current-Phase Relation for S-TI-S junction
Majorana fermions nucleate when/where the phase difference is $\pi$

$$
I_{c}(\phi)=(1-\alpha) \sin (\phi)+\alpha \sin \left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right)
$$

Width of the Majorana region will depend on details of the sample



Consider the junction to break up into 1D wires with a $\sin (\phi / 2)$ component

## Diffraction patterns for S-TI-S junction



Additional structure onsets when Majorana fermions enter the junction --this would be a signature of a localized $\sin (\phi / 2)$ component in the CPR

Could also look for entry of Majorana fermions via STM spectroscopy


## Comparison to CPR model for the SQUID



Primary effect of gating is to change $\sin (\phi)$ component

Why can we see the $\sin (\phi / 2)$-component in the CPR?

1. Cancellation of $2 \pi$-periodic component by destructive interference at nodes reduces the background $\rightarrow$ effectively a series of 1 D channels with a $\sin (\phi / 2)$ CPR
2. Dynamical measurement at finite voltage so phase evolves fast enough to avoid parity transitions that suppress the $4 \pi$-periodic component. Typical Josephson frequency ~ GHz.



Static measurements of the CPR should not see this

CPR Measurements via Interferometer technique


No $4 \pi$-periodicity --- expected for a static measurements Very small skewness but need to measure when gated

## Why can we see the $\sin (\phi / 2)$-component in the CPR?

1. Cancellation of $2 \pi$-periodic component by destructive interference at nodes reduces the background $\rightarrow$ effectively a series of 1D wires
2. Dynamical measurement at finite voltage so phase evolves fast enough to avoid parity transitions that suppress the $4 \pi$-periodic component
3. Need to measure parity lifetime to determine how fast we need to perform braiding operations, e.g. frequency-dependent CPR
4. The sign of the $\sin (\phi / 2)$-component encodes the Majorana fermion pair parity --- route to measuring the parity in circuits.

## Interferometry experiments in hybrid $\mathrm{Nb}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ structures

Order parameter symmetry of the proximity-induced superconductivity in a topological insulator


$$
\Delta(s+p)=\Delta(s)+(\uparrow) \Delta\left(p_{x}+i p_{y}\right)+(\downarrow) \Delta\left(p_{x}-i p_{y}\right)
$$

Current $\rightarrow$ spin-momentum locking $\rightarrow$ spin-selected chiral order parameter


$$
\Delta(\uparrow)=\Delta(s)+\Delta\left(p_{x}+i p_{y}\right) \quad \Delta(\downarrow)=\Delta(s)+\Delta\left(p_{x}-i p_{y}\right)
$$

Approach: Josephson interferometry of an S-TI bilayer (corner SQUID experiment)

S-TI bilayer

$N$ barrier + S electrode
S'-N-S junction
$\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$

$\mathbf{s}+\alpha\left(p_{x}+i p_{y}\right)$


## Sample design and fabrication



## Sample Side View



## Majorana Interferometry



Vortex trapped in SC films introduces a phase shift

Fu and Kane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 216403 (2009).
Akhmerov, Nilsson, and Beenakker, Phys. Rev Lett. 102, 216404 (2009).

## Aharonov-Bohm Interferometer


~200 nm wide niobium disk in the middle of a gold-TI-gold junction.

## Zero Field Transport





See usual Fabry-Perot and Andreev bound state resonances

## Finite Field Transport

Observe conductance oscillations --- Aharonov-Bohm interference



- Period $\sim 1 \Phi_{0}$ in area of superconducting do $\dagger$
- Oscillations vanish if no multiply-connected path
- Suggests highly-conducting channels at the edge of the island
- Speculate that the magnetic field Meissner-screened from the island suppresses proximity-induced SC and creates a topological channel for MFs

Conductance vs. Gate voltage and Field Sweeps


Checkerboard pattern.

Abrupt phase shift in gate oscillation vs. magnetic field


Could arise from a vortex + Majorana fermion entering the superconducting island

Majorana Interferometry via the Aharonov-Casher effect



Charge Q

Das Sarma et al., PRB 73, 220502R (2006);
Grosfeld et al., PRB 83, 104513 (2011);
Grosfeld and Stern, PNAS 108, 11810 (2011).
Alicea, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 076501 (2012).

# Observation of the Aharonov-Casher Effect for Vortices in Josephson-Junction Arrays 

W. J. Elion, J. J. Wachters, L. L. Sohn, and J. E. Mooij<br>Department of Applied Physics, Delft University of Technology, P.O. Box 5046, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands (Received 26 March 1993)

We have observed quantum interference of vortices in a Josephson-junction array. When vortices cross the array along a doubly connected path, the resultant resistance oscillates periodically with an induced charge enclosed by the path. This phenomenon is a manifestation of the AharonovCasher effect. The period of oscillation corresponds to the single electron charge due to tunneling of quasiparticles.


FIG. 1. Schematic layout of the sample. Rectangles are superconducting aluminum islands and crosses denote Josephson junctions. The junctions in the hexagon have a 3 times smaller junction area than the junctions that couple the array to superconducting current and voltage contacts. The dashed lines picture the possible vortex paths.


FIG. 2. Differential resistance as a function of gate voltage in a field of $120 \mu \mathrm{~T}$. Bias current is 5 nA with 0.25 nA modulation amplitude. Inset: Expected resistance as a function of charge on the center island, normalized to the classical resistance. At $Q= \pm e / 2$ quasiparticle tunneling occurs to minimize the charging energy. On sweeping the gate voltage, the charge remains in the range $[-e / 2, e / 2]$.

Aharonov-Casher Interferometer


Top Gate

## SQUID modulation pattern



Nodes $=h / 2 e$ flux in the junction regions (with flux focusing.)

## SQUID modulation pattern



Period of oscillations $=h / 2 e$ flux in central region Discrete jumps observed --- vortex entry into central hole?

Hysteresis indicating flux entry


## Aharonov-Casher Oscillations



No signs of Aharonov-Casher effect, even at higher magnetic field.

## Conclusions

- Lateral S-TI-S my be an attractive system for realizing topological states states and Majorana fermions
- Evidence for MFs via $4 \pi$-periodic component in the CPR
- Allows MFs to be nucleated and manipulated via phase, currents, and voltages
- Allows parity to be measured via sign of the $\sin (\phi / 2)$ term
- Platform for interferometry experiments.


## $I_{c}, R_{N}$, and $I_{c} R_{N}$ vs. $V_{g}$

Sample: 6QL $\mathrm{I}=0.3 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{w}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$




Sample: 30QL $\mathrm{I}=0.35 \mu \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{w}=1.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$




Steps due to moving Fermi level through discrete surface bands?

Gate Voltage dependence -- critical current and resistance


Theoretical Model -- Series of papers by Pouyan Ghaemi
Defines a critical chemical potential for topological phase transition $=\mu_{c}>\mu_{b}$ ( $\mu_{\mathrm{b}}=$ bottom of 2DEG conduction band)

Low gate voltage:

- Fermi energy in conductance band
- Topological surface state buried


High (negative) voltage:

- Fermi energy in the band gap
- Topological surface state on surface

$\mu<\mu_{c}$



## Numerical Solutions -- low-energy Andreev Bound States

As $\mu$ decreases, the ABS move from the interface between the 2DEG and the insulating region to the free surface $\rightarrow$ topological phase transition


These states carry the majority of the supercurrent which drops because:

1. The transparency is higher when buried - 2DEG protects the states
2. The transport becomes more diffusive on the surface due to scattering
3. The 2DEG contribution to the supercurrent turns off when depleted

Similar behavior observed in exfoliated crystal devices
single Josephson junction


Trijunction SQUID

dc SQUID


Trijunction SQUID


In all case, flat region with large fluctuations followed by a sharp drop

Two-fluid behavior and fluctuations in the temperature dependence


Trijunction SQUID



Trijunction SQUID


Fluctuations in the critical current

Record 1000 critical current values at each bias by ramping current successively


Switching distribution of critical current


Histograms of critical current switches show a broad distribution at intermediate gating --- suggests that the surface state location is fluctuating locally

## Physical Picture

Topological surface state winds through 2DEG at intermediate gating

dynamically-meandering topological surface state

Most likely these arise from charge fluctuations in the gate than change the local carrier density and induce the phase transition

Complex system: junction transport will be affected by local switching dynamics, 2D percolation physics, and interactions/avalanches

## New microscopic model

Effect of impurities on the Josephson current through helical metals

Pouyan Ghaemi and V. P. Nair<br>Physics Department, City College of the City University of New York, New York, NY 10031

- Non-magnetic impurity scattering does not affect the conductance (due to spin-momentum locking)
- It does affect the critical current by renormalizing the Fermi velocity, altering the spectrum of the Andreev bound states that carry the supercurrent.
- Condensed matter manifestation of the Mikheyev-Smirnov-Wolfenstein effect, the ininteraction of matter with neutrinos that lead to flavor oscillations.
- Predicts two-components of the supercurrent --- one affected by impurities, one independent of them --- in agreement with our experiments.

