

Rough landscapes from machine learning to glasses and back

Chiara Cammarota

King's College London

<u>Marco Baity-Jesi, Levent Sagun</u>, Mario Geiger, Stefano Spigler, Gerard Ben Arous, Chiara Cammarota, Yann LeCun, Matthieu Wyart, Giulio Biroli PMLR 80:314-323, 2018

At the Crossroad of Physics and Machine Learning

11.02.2019 KITP

Supervised learning



Input data (Train set) ~ $10^3 \times 10^4$

Parameters ~ 10^8

Goal: find the right weights as to classify unseen images (Test set)

Supervised learning



Input data (Train set) ~ $10^3 \times 10^4$

Parameters ~ 10^8

Goal: find the right weights as to classify unseen images (Test set)

Supervised learning



Input data (Train set) ~ $10^3 \times 10^4$

Parameters ~ 10^8

Goal: find the right weights as to classify unseen images (Test set)

Training Dynamics

Let's start by correctly classifying the Train set

distance between output and correct answer, i.e. $l(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\}) = (Y^{\alpha} - f(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}))^{2}$



$$\mathcal{L}\{w\} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha}^{M} \ell(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\})$$

input layer

hidden lavers

output laver

Learning (training): minimise the Loss function from random initial condition

Gradient Descent $\mathbf{w}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{w}(t) - \eta \nabla_w \mathcal{L}\{w\}$

Stochastic Gradient Descent $\mathbf{w}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{w}(t) - \eta \sum_{\alpha}^{B} \nabla_{w} \ell(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\})$

How good is Training?

Learning is strikingly good! ...but why?

Many answers are hidden in the rough landscape of Loss function, but very complex!

What is the shape of the Loss landscape?

Why and how does training succeed?

Choromanska et al. 2015, Baldassi et al. 2016, Soudry Charmon 2016, Freeman Bruna 2017, Soudry Hoffer 2018

Dauphin et al. 2014, Sagun et al. 2014, Lee et al. 2016, Jastrzebski et al. 2017

good minima, fat minima, rare minima, are there minima? role of saddles

The glass-formers paradigm

Same challenge met in physics of glass-formers



From ML to models of glasses





$$\mathcal{L}\{w\} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha}^{M} \ell(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\})$$

$$H = \sum_{(i,j)} V(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|)$$

Parameters ~ 10^8

Real systems 10²³ particles

Numerical simulations 10³ particles

From training to glass dynamics



Learning (training) : minimise the Loss function from random initial condition

$$\mathbf{w}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{w}(t) - \eta \nabla_w \mathcal{L}\{w\}$$
$$\mathbf{w}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{w}(t) - \eta \sum_{\alpha}^{B} \nabla_w \ell(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\})$$

Quenches : rapid coolings from high temperature, i.e. almost random initial configuration



$$\dot{r}_{\alpha,i}(t) = -\nabla_{\alpha,i}H$$

Every particle moves to minimise the Energy



From training to glass dynamics



Learning (training) : minimise the Loss function from random initial condition

$$\mathbf{w}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{w}(t) - \eta \nabla_w \mathcal{L}\{w\}$$
$$\mathbf{w}(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{w}(t) - \eta \sum_{\alpha}^{B} \nabla_w \ell(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\})$$



$$\dot{r}_{\alpha,i}(t) = -\nabla_{\alpha,i}H + \eta_{\alpha,i}(t)$$

Every particle moves to minimise the Energy + thermal noise

Quenches : rapid coolings from high temperature, i.e. almost random initial configuration



Aging dynamics



Aging dynamics



Aging in Mean Field models





Aging in Mean Field models

Mean Field spin models to describe glassy descent in rough landscape



Comparing Dynamics

Toy model: 1 hidden layer, ReLU, sigmoid in output, MSE as a loss

Fully connected: 3 hidden layers, ReLU, log likelihood

Small Net: 2 hidden convolutional layers, 2 fully connected ReLU, log likelihood



ResNet18: 18 hidden convolutional layers

MNIST, CFAR-10, CFAR-100

$$\mathcal{L}\{w\} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{\alpha}^{M} \ell(\{w\}; \{\mathbf{X}^{\alpha}\}, \{Y^{\alpha}\}) \qquad \Delta(t_w, t_w + t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (w_i(t_w) - w_i(t_w + t))^2$$

Aging during Learning



Learning as Interrupted Aging and Diffusion MBJ, LS, MG, SS, GBA, CC, YLC, MW, GB (2018)

$$\Delta(t_w, t_w + t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} (w_i(t_w) - w_i(t_w + t))^2$$



Flat bottom of the Loss landscape



Flat bottom of the Loss landscape

Learning as Interrupted Aging and Diffusion MBJ, LS, MG, SS, GBA, CC, YLC, MW, GB (2018)



Flat bottom of the Loss landscape

Uninterrupted Aging in under-parametrised NN MBJ, ES, MG, SS, GBA, CC, YLC, MW, GB (2018)

Toy model: 1 hidden layer (MUCH SMALLER), ReLU, sigmoid in output, MSE as a loss

Conclusion, open questions

Interrupted Aging, flat loss bottom Draxler et al. 2018, Garipov et al. 2018, Sagun et al. 2018

Study of the overparametrised / underparametrised transition Spigler, Geiger et al. 2018; Geiger et al. 2019

Is there a way to speed up learning in the under-parametrised regime? Are there bad minima left even in over-parametrised? Why do we avoid them? Is underlying structure of data important?

Thank you!