Bernard Sadoulet
Dept. of Physics /LBNL UC Berkeley
UC Institute for Nuclear and Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology (INPAC)

Dark Matter Searches

Particle Cosmology

Non baryonic dark matter

WIMPs: a generic consequence of new physics at TeV scale

we need three approaches: accelerators, direct detection and indirect detection

Direct Detection of WIMPs

Noble Liquids
Phonon Mediated Detectors

Indirect detection

Gamma ray (reflections about yesterday)

Left for discussion

Axions
DAMA
Pamela

Standard Model of Cosmology

A surprising but consistent picture





NASA/WMAP Science Team 2006

Not ordinary matter (Baryons)

$\Omega_m >> \Omega_b = 0.047 \pm 0.006$ from Nucleosynthesis, WMAP



+ internally to WMAP $\Omega_m h^2 \neq \Omega_b h^2$ ≈15 σ's

Mostly cold: Not light neutrinos ≠ small scale structure

$m_\nu < .17eV$ Large Scale structure+baryon oscillation + Lyman α

Standard Model of Particle Physics

Fantastic success but

Model is unstable

Why is W and Z at ≈100 M_p?

Need for new physics at that scale

supersymmetry

additional dimensions

Flat: Cheng et al. PR 66 (2002)
Warped: K.Agashe, G.Servant hep-ph/0403143

In order to prevent the proton to decay, a new quantum number

=> Stable particles: Neutralino

Lowest Kaluza Klein excitation

Particle Cosmology

Bringing both fields together: a remarkable concidence

Particles in thermal equilibrium
+ decoupling when nonrelativistic

Freeze out when annihilation rate ≈ expansion rate

$$\Rightarrow \Omega_x h^2 = \frac{3\cdot 10^{-27} cm^3/s}{\langle \sigma_A v \rangle} \Rightarrow \sigma_A \approx \frac{\alpha^2}{M_{EW}^2}$$

Generic Class

Cosmology points to W&Z scale
Inversely standard particle model requires new physics at this scale
(e.g. supersymmetry or additional dimensions)
=> significant amount of dark matter

Weakly Interacting Massive Particles

2 generic methods:

Direct Detection = elastic scattering

Indirect: Annihilation products

γ's e.g. 2 γ's at E=M is the cleanest
ν from sun &earth ≈ elastic scattering
dependent on trapping time
$e^+, \bar{p}$

+ Large Hadron Collider

3 Complementary Approaches

WIMP scattering on Earth:
e.g. CDMS : currently leading the field

Halo made of WIMPs
1/2 shown for clarity





WIMP production on Earth

WIMP annihilation in the cosmos

GLAST/Fermi
Launched 11 June 2008

We need all three approaches

Direct detection

May well provide a detection + ≈ cross section and mass
But what is the fundamental physics behind it?
What can we learn about the galaxy?

LHC

May well give rapidly evidence for new physics: missing energy
But is it stable? => need direct or indirect detection
Ambiguity in parameters: mass/cross section

Indirect detection

May well provide smoking gun for both dark matter and hierarchical structure formation (subhalos)
But possible ambiguity in interpretation => need direct detection

Complementary sensitivity to different parameter space region

Complementarity mSugra/CMSSM

Direct Detection:
Bulk
+Focus point

LHC
"low energy"

GLAST
Focus
+ Higgs funnel



Direct Detection

Elastic scattering

Expected event rates are low
(<< radioactive background)
Small energy deposition (≈ few keV)
<< typical in particle physics

Signal = nuclear recoil (electrons too low in energy)
≠ Background = electron recoil **(if no neutrons)**



Signatures

- Nuclear recoil
- Single scatter ≠ neutrons/gammas
- Uniform in detector

Linked to galaxy

- Annual modulation (but need several thousand events)
- Directionality (diurnal rotation in laboratory but 100 Å in solids)

Experimental Approaches

A blooming field



Direct Detection Techniques



As large an amount of information and a signal to noise ratio as possible

At least two pieces of information in order to
- recognize nuclear recoil
- extract rare events from background (self consistency)
- + fiducial cuts (self shielding, bad regions)

Status early 2007

Scalar coherent interaction $\approx A^2$





Three Challenges

- Understand/Calibrate detectors
- Be background free
  much more sensitive than
  background subtraction
  eventually limited by systematics
- Increase mass while staying background free



Current results

2 examples in more details

Xenon 10 as generic for ZEPLIN II ,WARP, ArDM

CDMS as generic for EDELWEISS & CRESST



DAMA/Libra new modulation result

+ New ideas directionality high pressure gas

The Noble Liquid Revolution

Noble liquids are both excellent scintillators and ionization collectors

=> **get to large mass** while maintaining excellent background by self shielding and discrimination

Liquid Xenon

Ionization + scintillation

2 breakthroughs:

- ✳ Extraction of electrons from the liquid to the gas
- ✳ At low energy, separation between electron recoils and nuclear recoils increases

=> work down to ≈4.5 photo electrons with 99% electron rejection efficiency with 50% nuclear recoil efficiency



Liquid Argon (or Neon)

For light liquids, **one additional handle : rise time**

Triplet (long decay time) killed by nuclear recoil



Xenon 10



Liquid Xenon: Scintillation + ionization

two photon pulses => depth

Main differences with Zeplin II: Smaller Photomultipliers
Photomultipliers in liquid

Noble Liquids

Great progress!





What about our 3 challenges

? • Understand/Calibrate detectors

? • Be background free

much more sensitive than background subtraction

eventually limited by systematics

√ • Increase mass



e.g. Xenon 10

After pattern recognition, 10 background events with 50% nuclear recoil efficiency





Very nice result but:



Large gap at small energy

Could it be disguised threshold

Why no flaring of electron at low S1?

Detector used in a region with no calibration

Large uncertainty

CDMS estimate July 2007

Noble Liquids: Current Plans

Single phase detectors

Xenon: Rely on self shielding + position reconstruction: XMASS 800kg

Argon: Rely on pulse shape discrimination: DEAP/Mini Clean

Lux 300kg



Xenon 100kg



Dual phase Xenon

Xenon 100 : Assembly being finished in Gran Sasso (170kg- 50kg fiducual)

LUX 300kg : SUSEL (Homestake) Summer 09

Dual phase Argon

WARP 140kg: Assembly nearly finished

ArDM: Being assembled

WARP



ArDM

A clear danger

"My detector is bigger than yours!"

Not the whole story: Detailed understanding of the phenomenology

Zero background!

Phonon Mediated Detectors

Principle: Detect lower energy excitations

15 keV large by condensed matter physics standards



Goals

- Sensitivity down to low energy
  Phonons measure the full energy
- Active rejection of background: recognition of nuclear recoil
  Combine with low field ionization measurement CDMS EDELWEISS
  or scintillation (CRESST II)

But: operation at very low temperature!

e.g. CDMS II: 40mK





x 30= 5 towers of 6



Multidimensional Discrimination

Ionization yield



Surface Electrons

Timing -> surface discrimination



T1Z2 Two-Tower Calibration (Outlier Cut)



Fix cuts blind (with calibration sources)

to get ≈0.5 events background

Opening the Box

Box opened Monday, February 4 for 15 Ge ZIPs
Remaining 8 Si and 1 Ge undergoing further leakage characterization

3 σ region masked

=> Hide unvetoed singles

Lift the mask, see 97 singles failing timing cut

Apply the timing cut, count the ~~candidates~~



No events observed

Current WIMP Limits

CDMS again in the lead above 40GeV/c^2



Preprint at:
- http://cdms.berkeley.edu
- arXiv:0802.3530

Immediate Future (cryogenic)

CDMS: run till ≈ December 08 ≈2000kg days

sensitivity ≈ 2 10^{-44} cm^2/nucleon

stay background free: - new towers 3 lower back grounds
- better discrimination tools

Edelweiss-> 10^{-43} cm

21 330g Ge detectors with NTD
+ 7 400g Nb Si (athermal phonons)
first commissioning run April -May 07
encouraging
no event > 30keV for eight NTD detectors (19 kg day) (cf 3 in EdelI)
first underground test of two 200g Nb Si
Interdigitated detectors

CRESST II-> 10^{-43} cm

Major upgrade 66 SQUIDs for 33 detectors + neutron shield
Three detectors running since 4/07.

Low Temperature Detector Future





Three General Challenges

- ✓ Understand/Calibrate detectors
- ✓ Be background free
  much more sensitive than
  background subtraction
  eventually limited by systematics
- ✓ Increase mass while staying background free



Larger Detector Mass

SuperCDMS 25 kg detectors: 1cm-> 1" 250g ->635 g

First tests encouraging (we need to add a radial measurement)

Double face 35% -> 70%?

Much larger detectors -> 1ton expt?

Liquid N2 Ge crystals limited to 3"

≈ 100 dislocation/cm^3

But we showed recently that dislocation free works at low temperature!

Umicore grows (doped) 8" crystal

6"x2" or 8"x1" ≈ 5kg + Multiplexing



The overall picture

Current WIMP searches

Next generation

1 generation beyond



GLAST launched 11 June 08

Large Hadron Collider Jul 08

Finer and finer tuning to get right density!



Indirect Detection

Current annihilations in cosmos

γ's e.g. 2 γ's at E=M is the cleanest
ν from sun &earth ≈ elastic scattering
positrons/antiprotons

GLAST Fermi

First all sky image



HESS

Source close to galactic center
Power law
Probably not WIMPs



Gamma Rays

2 complementarity strategies

GLAST will obviously attempt both

Broad peak towards the galactic center

- Large flux
- but possible large backgrounds, distinction from "gastrophysics"

Look at subhalos

- Smaller flux
- background subtraction should be easy
- A smoking gun for hierarchical clustering



Technical convergence

Encouraged by discussion between Via Lactea II and Aquarius, yesterday

substructure is mostly outside

=> small boosting factor for close-by objects/center of the galaxy

Spectrum and normalization of γ and e^+

Conventional wisdom e.g. Baltz et al astro-ph: 0602187



p-wave annihilation currently suppressed

bulk region



Favorable

Focus point region

New! Radiative corrections

Bringmann et al astro-ph: 0710.3169





coannihilation currently suppressed

Coannihilation region



Favorable

Funnel region



E^2

Irrelevant for GLAST

Important for atmospheric Cerenkov

Conclusions

Essential to detect Dark Matter

A key ingredient of the standard model of cosmology

At least show it is not an epicycle!

WIMPs is the generic Thermal model

The field of direct detection is very active, many ideas

We should reach 10^{-44}cm^2/nucleon very soon (2009)

10^{-45}cm^2/nucleon should be reachable by

- phonon mediated detectors
- Liquid Xenon 2 phase
- Liquid Ar 2 phases+pulse shape

maybe other simpler technologies (XMASS, MiniCLEAN, COUPP)

$10^{-46\text{-}47}$cm^2/nucleon considerable challenge (≈ evt/ton/yr)

When we have a discovery: link to galaxy

(low pressure TPC≈5000 m^3)

Complementarity with accelerators and indirect detection

Large Hadron Collider may probe the same physics

GLAST could be smoking gun (Dark Matter + Hierarchical merging) + ICE Cube

We may well be at the brink of discovery!

B.Sadoulet, Science 315 (2007) 61