

Nigel Hitchin :

08-01-03

Lecture 5

As we explained before: in 6 dimensions the correct group of automorphisms of a generalized complex structure (for the purposes of moduli) was

$$\text{Diff } M \times \mathbb{R}^2_{\text{exact}}$$

This turns out to be the right group in general.

Remark: It is unrealistic to expect that $\mathcal{D}^2_{\text{closed}}$ will be an appropriate group to use for moduli constructions.

For instance if M^{4k} - hyper-Kähler then

$$(w_1 + iw_2)^{k+1} = 0$$

and if we act by $t^k \exp\left(\frac{w_1 + iw_2}{t}\right)$ we get a B-field transform ~~to~~ to a complex structure.

$$t^k \exp\left(\frac{w_1 + iw_2}{t}\right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0} (w_1 + iw_2)^k$$

$\frac{w_1}{t}$ - B-field

$\frac{w_2}{t}$ - symplectic

If we divide by all closed B-fields we will not get convergence:

$$\left[t^k \exp \frac{i\omega z}{t} \right] \xrightarrow[t \rightarrow 0]{} \infty \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{if we quotient} \\ \text{by } \mathbb{Z}^2 \text{ closed we} \\ \text{will get a} \\ \text{non-Hausdorff space.} \quad \text{2.}$$

Let us study the Kuranishi theory of
 a generalized complex structure: $E \subset (T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$

- E - max. isotropic
- $E \cap \bar{E} = 0$
- sections of E are closed under the Courant bracket.

The first obstacle of doing deformation theory here is the fact that the Courant bracket is not a Lie bracket.

If $A, B, C \in C^\infty(T \oplus T^*)$, then we have the Courant Jacobiator:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [[A, B], C] + [[B, C], A] + [[C, A], B] = \\
 & = -\frac{1}{3} d \left(([A, B], C) + ([B, C], A) + ([C, A], B) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $E \subset (T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ - isotropic it follows that the Jacobiator $\equiv 0$ if $A, B, C \in E$.

Thus E together with its natural projection

$$E \xrightarrow{\pi} T \oplus \mathbb{C}$$

and the Courant bracket is a Lie algebra.

3.

Consider now

$$d: C^{\infty}(\wedge^k E^*) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\wedge^{k+1} E^*)$$

given by

$$d\sigma(A_0, \dots, A_n) = \sum (-1)^i \bar{\pi}(A_i) \sigma(A_0, \dots, \hat{A}_i, \dots, A_n) \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \sigma([A_i, A_j], A_0, \dots, \hat{A}_i, \dots, \hat{A}_j, \dots, A_n)$$

\uparrow
 Constant
 bracket

Since $[\cdot, \cdot]$ satisfies Jacobi on $E \Rightarrow d^2 = 0$

(This in fact works on any Lie algebroid)

Next recall that E had a complementary subbundle $\bar{E}: E \cap \bar{E} = 0$. Since $E \subset (T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ was isotropic $\Rightarrow E = E^\perp \Rightarrow (\cdot, \cdot)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{E} \cong E^*$$

(This turns E into a Lie bialgebroid.)

Infinitesimal deformations of $E \subset (T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$: these are given by the tangent space to the Grassmannian:

$$\text{Hom}(E, (T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} / E) = \text{Hom}(E, \bar{E}) \\ = \text{Hom}(E, E^*) = E^* \otimes E^*$$

4.

The infinitesimal deformations of E as an isotropic subspace of $(T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ are then in

$$\Lambda^2 E^* \subset E^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Also we would like to study not just infinitesimal deformations but infinitesimal deformations modulo our symmetries:

$$\text{Lie}(\text{Diffeo} \ltimes \mathcal{R}^2_{\text{exact}}).$$

Note: We have a natural complex

$$C^\infty(E^*) \xrightarrow{d} C^\infty(\Lambda^2 E^*) \xrightarrow{d} C^\infty(\Lambda^3 E^*)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$(T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Here $(T \oplus T^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{p_F} \bar{E} \cong E^*$ is the natural map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X + \mathbb{Z} & \mapsto & X + d\mathbb{Z} \\ & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & \text{Lie Diffeo.} & \mathcal{R}^1/d\mathcal{R}^0 = \mathcal{R}^2_{\text{exact}} \\ & \uparrow & \\ & C^\infty(T) & \end{array}$$

5

Proposition This is the deformation complex for generalized complex structures.

Examples:

- symplectic - de Rham complex for complex forms
- complex - $\Lambda^\bullet(T \oplus \bar{T}^*)$, $T = \text{holomorphic tangent bundle}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \bar{\partial} & & \bar{\partial} & & \bar{\partial} & & \bar{\partial} \\
 | & \rightarrow & \bar{T}^* & \rightarrow & \Lambda^2 \bar{T}^* & \rightarrow & \bar{\partial} \\
 & & & & & & \\
 & & T & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & T \oplus \bar{T}^* & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} & \\
 & & & & & & \Lambda^2 T \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}}
 \end{array}$$

Theorem (Gualtieri) There is a Kuranishi space which is smooth and has a tangent space $\cong H^2(\text{complex})$.

$$d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} [\sigma, \sigma] = 0$$

 \nwarrow

"Schouten bracket"

Examples: Deformations of a complex manifold as a generalized complex manifold

$$H^2 = H^2(\mathcal{O}) \oplus H^1(T) \oplus H^0(\Lambda^2 T)$$

\uparrow
(0,2) part of a closed B-field

\uparrow
deforms of complex structures

\nwarrow
Poisson structures

6.

Recall: On complex manifolds the holomorphic Poisson structures act on the complex structure to produce a generalized complex structure:

$$E = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \right\} \oplus \{ d\bar{z}_i \} \quad \text{If } \eta = \sum \eta^{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$$

$$\bar{E} = \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right\} \oplus \{ dz_i \} \quad \text{then}$$

$$\bar{E} \mapsto \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}, dz_j + \eta^{jh} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_h} \right\}$$

sends us to another generalized complex structure

- If η^{-1} exists $\Rightarrow \eta^{-1}$ is a holomorphic symplectic form and η acting on E is the same as the η^{-1} -transform of \bar{E} where η^{-1} is considered as a B-field.
- The obstruction space in this case is

$$H^3(\text{complex}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=3} H^p(\Lambda^q T).$$

7.

K3 surfaces

If X - K3 surface, then

$$H^2(\text{complex}) = H^{0,2} \oplus H^{1,1} \oplus H^{2,0}$$

The obstruction space is $= 0$.

One can check that near a complex pt every generalized complex structure on a K3 is a generalized CY structure.

This can be made more explicit by taking

$$\varphi = \varphi_0 + \varphi_2 + \varphi_4$$

pure spinor - describing a generalized complex structure.

$$\text{Now: } \begin{cases} d\varphi = 0 \\ \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle = 0 & (\varphi_2^2 - 2\varphi_0\varphi_4 = 0) \\ \langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle \gg 0 \end{cases}$$

are the conditions that φ defines a generalized complex structure.

Consider now the analogous locus in cohomology:

$$[\varphi] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{C}) : \mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{P}(H^2(M, \mathbb{C}))$$

↳ 11 dimensional quadric given by $\langle [\varphi], [\varphi] \rangle = 0$

8

+ take an open set of all

$$[\psi] \in \mathcal{Q} : \langle [\psi], [\bar{\psi}] \rangle > 0$$

This is the period space for generalized complex structures on M .

We have a period map

$$\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow [\psi].$$

The period space is open in

$$\mathcal{Q} = \frac{SO(4, 2_0)}{SO(2) \times SO(2, 2_0)}$$

\Rightarrow can use as a local model for the moduli space.

How about the moduli space of generalized CY metrics?

We need two pure spinors ψ_1, ψ_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_i, \psi_i \rangle &= 0 & \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \psi_i, \bar{\psi}_i \rangle &> 0 & \langle \psi_1, \bar{\psi}_2 \rangle &= 0 \\ & & \langle \psi_1, \bar{\psi}_1 \rangle &= \langle \psi_2, \bar{\psi}_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

If we write

$$\psi_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1} y_i$$

\Rightarrow

x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 - orthogonal w.r.t. Mukai pairing
+ have unit length

So the image of the moduli of generalized CY metrics on M in the period space is open in

$$\underline{SO(4, 20)}$$

$$SO(2) \times SO(2) \times SO(20)$$

Let us now look at the generalized CY metrics on a K3 M from the point of view of the generalized Kähler metrics.

Recall that a generalized Kähler structure on M is given by a pair of complex structures I_{\pm} giving say for I_+ a B-field automorphism:

$$A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) := \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} + \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) (-\sqrt{-1}w + b)$$

Given a generalized Kähler structure on M we need to find ψ_1, ψ_2 :

$$A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) \psi_i = 0$$

But

$$A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) \psi = 0 \iff i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) e^{i\omega - b} \psi = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{i\omega - b} \psi \text{ is a}$$

$(0,0) + (0,2)$ form

Hence

$$e_1 = e^{-i\omega + b} (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \nu)$$

$$e_2 = e^{-i\omega + b} (\alpha_2 + \beta_2 \nu)$$

with $\alpha_1 \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 \neq 0$

Impose algebraic conditions $\Rightarrow \alpha_1, \beta_2 = 0$
or $\alpha_2, \beta_1 = 0$

So

$$e_1 = c e^{-i\omega + b} \quad \text{consider } \dagger$$

$$e_2 = e^{-i\omega + b} \quad \sigma$$

↑
(0,2)-form

So $de_1 = 0$ implies $d(-i\omega + b) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow d\omega + db = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \nabla_+ = \nabla_- = \nabla$ - Levi-Civita

$\Rightarrow M$ - Kähler

Also $de_2 = 0$ implies $\bar{\partial}\sigma = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\sigma}$ - holomorphic

Imposing $\langle e_1, \bar{e}_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, \bar{e}_2 \rangle \Rightarrow |\sigma| = 1$

Thus σ must be covariantly constant.

Thus we get an ordinary CY metric on the
 \mathbb{R}^3 M + closed B-field

So the moduli space now becomes

$$\frac{SO(4, 20)}{SO(4) \times SO(20)} \sim \text{hk metrics + B fields} \\ (\text{modulo } \text{Diff } M \times \mathbb{R}^2_{\text{exact}})$$

\sim moduli of hk metrics on M

\sim moduli of $N = (4, 4)$ SCFT.

How will all this look for higher dimensional hk manifolds?

Look at a primitive hk manifold

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{0,2} & \oplus & H^1(T) & \oplus & H^0(N^2T) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{1} & & \mathbb{1} & & \mathbb{1} \end{array}$$

Now Poisson structures are invertible
 \rightarrow acting by these = taking a B-field transform by a holomorphic symplectic form.

Now one gets that near a complex structure on a hk M we can get all generalized complex structures on M as limits of B-field transforms of complex structures.

12

→ get a moduli space contained
in
 $\mathbb{P}(H^{ev}(M, \mathbb{C}))$.

Verbitsky / Bogomolov: If $H = H^2 \subset H^{ev}(M^{4g}, \mathbb{C})$
then the subalgebra generated by H
is

$$\text{Sym } H / \left(\text{ideal generated by } \sigma^{k+1} = 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{for all } \sigma: Q(\sigma) = 0 \right)$$

Here Q is the Beauville-Bogomolov form
on H .

Periods lie in closure of $c \exp(B+iW)$
and one expects that there may be
a bigger group acting on H and
containing $O(Q)$ so that

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{closure} \\ \text{of } c \cdot \exp(B+iW) \end{array} \right) \subset \left(\text{Sym } H / \begin{array}{l} \sigma: Q(\sigma) = 0 \\ \sigma^{k+1} = 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{orbit of the} \\ \text{bigger group}$$

||
pre spinors