Conference "Cooperation and major evolutionary transitions" Kevli Institute for Theoretical Physics, U.C. Santa Barbara February 8, 2013; 10:30-11:15

Self-Sacrificing of Social Amoeba

cell-to-cell interaction via a signaling chemical shaped by cheating risk.

Yoh Iwasa

(Dept. Biology, Kyushu University)

Collaborator: Kouki Uchinomiya

Dictyostelium discoideum



Strassmann&Queller (2011)

Growth fruiting body Fruiting body Culmination 0/24 Aggregation Time (h) 18 6 Slug Slug 12 MUIICEIIUSIIIS Streaming Mound Chisholm & Firtel (2004)

Aggregation of cells



http://dictybase.org

Formation of fruiting body



http://dictybase.org

Becoming stalk is altruism.

fruiting body



In evolutionary game models on the stalk/spore ratio each strain (genotype) has its own value of contribution to stalk vs. spore.

for example

Brännström Å, & Dieckmann U 2005. Dimorphic evolutionary dynamics after branching. Proc. R. Soc. B 2005;272:1609-1616







A signaling chemical that makes prespore cells become prestalk cells

DIF-1





Kay et al. 1999











Kinetics of DIF-1 and cells

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = f(C)P - g(C)T \quad \text{(Pre-stalk cells)}$$

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = aP - bCT \qquad \text{(DIF-1)}$$

$$N = P + T \qquad \text{(Total cell number)}$$

T/P ratio converges to a constant





A mixture of cells of two strains share DIF-1



In a mixture of multiple strains,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dT_1}{dt} &= f_1(C)P_1 - g_1(C)T_1 \quad \text{(Pre-stalk cells)} \\ \frac{dT_2}{dt} &= f_2(C)P_2 - g_2(C)T_2 \quad \text{(Pre-stalk cells)} \\ \frac{dC}{dt} &= \sum_i \left(a_iP_i - b_iCT_i\right) \quad \text{(DIF-1)} \\ N_i &= P_i + T_i \end{aligned}$$

Contribution to Stalk/Spore may differ between strains

a single strain

cell number



a mixture of two strains

cell number



Stalk/spore ratio of each strain when mixed :

$$\frac{\hat{T}_{i}}{\hat{P}_{i}} = \frac{f_{0i}}{g_{0i}}\hat{C}^{2} \quad i=1,2 \qquad \hat{C} = \frac{\sum_{j}a_{j}\hat{P}_{j}}{\sum_{j}b_{j}\hat{T}_{j}}$$

Stalk/spore ratio of a single strain fruiting body:

$$\frac{\hat{T}}{\hat{P}} = \left(\frac{f_0}{g_0}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2/3}$$



dispersal of spores



- $\begin{array}{ll} f_0 & \mbox{Rate of transition} \\ from \mbox{ prespore cell to prestalk cell} \end{array}$
- g_0 Rate of transition
- δ_0 from prestalk cell to prespore cell
- \mathcal{A} Rate of production of DIF-1 per prespore cell

h Rate of decomposition of DIF-1 by prestalk

k Cost of DIF-1 production



A mutant who is close to their parental type invades, and it may replace the old type. After a long time, the species changes its property slowly.





Evolutionary trajectory





Response of a population to natural selection





Under weak selection,

change in the mean trait in a generation

$$\Delta \overline{k} = \operatorname{Var}[k_i] \bullet \frac{d \ln W}{dk}$$
variance of the trait vithin a population selection gradient

Strength of Natural Selection

If there are two traits,



One generation change when there are two traits



$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta \bar{x} \\ \Delta \bar{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Var} [x] & \operatorname{Cov} [x, y] \\ \operatorname{Cov} [x, y] & \operatorname{Var} [y] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}$$

Evolutionary Dynamics

$$\begin{array}{c|c} (\Delta \overline{a} & (G & B) & \beta \\ \hline \Delta \overline{f} & \text{Selection to realize the} \\ \text{optimal stalk size} & \text{DIF-1 production} \end{array}$$

$$\beta_{a} = \frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial a} = \left(\frac{1}{S}\frac{dS}{dT} - \frac{1}{N-T}\right)\frac{\partial T}{\partial a} - k$$
$$\beta_{f_{0}} = \frac{\partial \ln W}{\partial f_{0}} = \left(\frac{1}{S}\frac{dS}{dT} - \frac{1}{N-T}\right)\frac{\partial T}{\partial f_{0}}$$



Evolutionary trajectory

When a fruiting body consists of cells of a single strain

evolution of the optimal stalk/spore ratio that maximizes the number of successfully dispersed spores.

followed by a slow evolution toward reduced DIF-1 production and enhanced sensitivity to DIF-1

When some fruiting bodies are mixtures of multiple strains,

When cells originated from a single spore form a fruiting body (prob. 1-*m*)





No. of spores from a fruiting body

 $W_1 = S(\hat{T}_1)\hat{P}_1 e^{-k a_1}$





 $W_1^{mix} = S(\hat{T}_1^* + \hat{T}_2^*)\hat{P}_1^{mix}e^{-k\,a_1}$

 $W_2^{mix} = S(\hat{T}_1^* + \hat{T}_2^*)\hat{P}_2^{mix}e^{-k\,a_2}$

No. of spores of strain 1

$$R_{1} = \left\{ (1-m)\xi + m\xi^{2} \right\} X_{1} + \left\{ 2m\xi(1-\xi) \right\} X_{1}^{mix}$$

freq. of fruiting body of strain 1 only freq. of fruiting body with both strains

No. of spores of strain 2

$$R_{2} = \left\{ (1-m)(1-\xi) + m(1-\xi)^{2} \right\} X_{2} + \left\{ 2m\xi(1-\xi) \right\} X_{2}^{mix}$$

freq. of fruiting body of strain 2 only

freq. of fruiting body with both strains

$$\xi^{next} = \frac{R_1}{R_1 + R_2}$$



Selection gradients when cells of two strains can be mixed

Selection reducing DIF-1 producion rate $\beta_{a} = \left(\frac{1}{S}\frac{dS}{dT} - \frac{1}{N-T}\right) \left\{ \left(1 - m + m\xi\right)\frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial a} + m\left(1 - 2\xi\right)\left(\frac{\partial T_{1}^{*}}{\partial a} + \frac{\partial T_{2}^{*}}{\partial a}\right)\right\} - k$ $\beta_{f_{0}} = \left(\frac{1}{S}\frac{dS}{dT} - \frac{1}{N-T}\right) \left\{ \left(1 - m + m\xi\right)\frac{\partial T_{1}}{\partial f_{0}} + m\left(1 - 2\xi\right)\left(\frac{\partial T_{1}^{*}}{\partial f_{0}} + \frac{\partial T_{2}^{*}}{\partial f_{0}}\right)\right\} \cdot \frac{2mN}{T(N-T)^{2}}\frac{1}{f_{0}}$

Selection realizing the optimal stalk size

Selection reducing sensitivity to DIF-1



When two strains are mixed in a fruiting body $(m \neq 0)$



When two strains are mixed in a fruiting body ($m \neq 0$)

cransition

Sensit

⁸ 8.0





rate

1.2

Conclusion

- When not mixed, DIF-1 production evolves smaller with the optimal stalk/spore ratio maintained.
- When multiple strains are mixed, more DIF-1 will be produced.
- But the stalk/spore ratio remains close to the optimal.







When gene network / molecular mechanisms of the trait expression and social interactions are known, evolutionary models incorporating them may provide more useful/testable predictions.

Thank you.