## Neural Substrates of Memory and Decisions

Loren Frank Center for Integrative Neuroscience University of California, San Francisco

- What is a memory?
  - Reactivation of a pattern of neural activity related to that present during the actual experience
    - Fast
- What is a memory good for?
   Guiding decisions

# Learning and the Hippocampal Circuit



What patterns of neural activity support encoding, consolidation and retrieval?

# Example of CA1 Neural Activity

Cell

10 .

# Activity Patterns in the Hippocampus

Place Fields and Theta





Sharp-Wave Ripples (Ripples / SWRs)

Replay / Reactivation of memory sequences during SWRs



## Methods - Behavior





Mattias Karlsson



Karlsson and Frank, Nature Neuroscience (2009)

## Distinct Representations of Distinct Environments



# Awake Replay Activity



# Awake Replay of Remote Experiences



256 / 580 (44%) candidate events significant.  $p < 10^{-10}$ 

Karlsson and Frank, *Nature Neuroscience* (2009)

# Awake Replay of E1 in E2



Karlsson and Frank, Nature Neuroscience (2009)

## Awake Replay Across Environments



## Replay and Anatomical Pathways to CA1



Reactivation enhanced by

Novelty (Cheng and Frank, Neuron, 2008) Reward (Singer and Frank, Neuron, 2009)  Do awake SWRs contribute to memoryguided decision-making?

 How does memory replay during SWRs inform subsequent decisions?  Do awake SWRs contribute to memoryguided decision-making?

How does memory replay during SWRs inform subsequent decisions?

# Hypotheses

• Awake replay could serve as a continuous mechanism for memory consolidation

• Awake replay could be important for memory recall for guiding ongoing behavior.

Carr, Jadhav and Frank, Nature Neurosci. (2011)

## Question:

Does specific disruption of hippocampal SWRs in the awake state impair learning?

## **Behavioral Paradigm**

#### W-track : Hippocampus dependent spatial alternation task

Kim and Frank, PLoS One, 2009



 $\begin{array}{l} \text{left} \rightarrow \text{center} \\ \text{(inbound)} \end{array}$ 



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{right} \rightarrow \text{center} \\ \text{(inbound)} \end{array}$ 





 $\begin{array}{l} \text{center} \rightarrow \text{left} \\ \text{(outbound)} \end{array}$ 



 $\begin{array}{c} \text{center} \rightarrow \text{right} \\ (\text{outbound}) \end{array}$ 

**Outbound Trials** 

## W-Track Task – Effect of lesions

#### Lesion Animal



**Control Animal** 



Kim and Frank, *PLoS One (2009)* Method: Smith et. al., *J. Neurosci.* (2004)

## Methods: Specific Disruption of Awake SWRs

- Real-time fast detection of ripples
  - Simultaneous detection of SWRs on multiple electrodes in CA1 (n = 6).
  - Speed threshold to avoid false positives.
- Disruption of ripple activity triggered by real-time detection.
  - Stimulation in ventral Hippocampal Commissure (vHC) disrupts ripple activity and associated hippocampal output.



Zugaro, et al., 2005; Girardeau, et al., 2009; Ego-Stengel, et al., 2010



Shantanu Jadhav



Caleb Kemere

## **Ripple Disruption During Behavior**

#### 8 days of behavior for each animal



#### **Behavioral Groups**

Ripple Disruption Group (n=6)

```
Control Stimulation Group (n=4)
Stimulation follows each ripple by 150-200 ms
```

Normal Group (n=4) Unoperated, unstimulated Controls

Jadhav et. al., Science (2012)

## Effect of Ripple Disruption



## Effect of Control Stimulation

#### Control Group

 Similar stimulation protocol with real-time detection of ripples and vHC stimulation <u>after</u> ripples with a delay of ~150 ms.



## Learning – Outbound

#### **Outbound Trials**



#### Learning – Outbound



## Learning – Outbound



Perfect separation between disruption and control groups (p < 0.001)

#### Learning – Final Two Days Outbound



- O Ripple Disruption
- Control Stimulation
- Unstimulated Control

Perfect separation between disruption and control groups (p < 0.001)

## Learning – Inbound

#### **Inbound Trials**



## Learning – Inbound



- Ripple Disruption
- Control Stimulation
- Unstimulated Control

## Stable Place Fields Across Run Sessions



# Conclusions – Awake ripple disruption

- We are able to specifically disrupt hippocampal ripples in the awake state during behavior.
- Ripple disruption during behavior in the W-task leads to an impairment in the outbound component of the task.
- Ripple disruption does not affect the inbound component of the task.
- Activity during awake ripples plays a role in learning.

• Do awake SWRs contribute to memoryguided decision-making?

 How does memory replay during SWRs inform subsequent decisions?

#### Why are Awake SWRs Important for Outbound Performance?





Awake replay could be providing information about





Annabelle Singer

Singer et. al., Neuron (2013)

# Behavior and SWRs on Outbound Trials



# Analyzing Pair-wise Activity during SWRs

Activity before



For each cell pair, compute, across all SWRs and trials

$$\hat{p}_{correct} = \frac{n_{coactive_{correct}}}{N_{SWRs_{correct}}} \& \hat{p}_{incorrect} = \frac{n_{coactive_{incorrect}}}{N_{SWRs_{incorrect}}}$$

## Greater Coordinated Activity on Correct Trials

Future correct trial Future incorrect trial





----> Path animal took to center well

Path animal will take from center well





Performance Category

## Similar Times and Numbers of SWRs Before Decisions



# Pattern of activity during SWRs more consistent with



Outbound direction and future possible paths



Inbound direction and past paths



## No Bias Toward Correct Outbound Trajectories



Consistent with Karlsson and Frank (2009), Davidson et. al. (2009), Gupta et. al. (2010)

## Conclusions – SWRs and Decision Making

- There is greater coordinated reactivation during SWRs preceding correct trials during learning.
- This reactivation tends to activate both possible outbound paths
- These results suggest that awake reactivation provides information about future possibilities to other brain regions.

Different patterns of hippocampal activity support different types of memory:

Awake replay – remote memory retrieval, planning and consolidation

Sleep replay – consolidation of memories.

Place field activity – learning and associations related to current location.

## Lab members and collaborators

#### Lab Members

**Emily Anderson** Jason Chung P. Walter German Irene Grossrubatscher Sheri Harris Shantanu Jadhav Kenny Kay Mattias Karlsson Daniel Liu Gideon Rothschild Demetris Roumis Marielena Sosa Jai Yu

#### **Former Lab Members**

Margaret Carr Sen Cheng Yuri Dabaghian **Caleb Kemere** Steve Kim Annabelle Singer

#### **Collaborators**

<u>Stanford</u> Karl Deisseroth

#### <u>LLNL</u>

Vanessa Tolosa Kedar Shah

Funding: McKnight Foundation, John Merck Fund, NIH

# New High Density Recording Probes





Vanessa Tolosa, LLNL