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Causal Dynamical Triangulations

## Constructing a Quantum Theory of Gravity

Aim Evaluate the path integral for the gravitational action $S\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]$ subject to relevant boundary conditions

$$
Z=\int \mathcal{D}\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right] e^{i S\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]}
$$

Means Introduce an appropriate discretization of these geometries and restrict the set of geometries entering the path integral

- Approximate continuous spacetimes as piecewise Minkowski simplicial manifolds
- Restriction to spacetimes admitting a global foliation by spacelike hypersurfaces

Hope Well-defined continuum limit emerges at a second order phase transition having correct semiclassical properties on large scales

## Discretization by Dynamical Triangulations

Construct triangulated spacetimes by appropriately gluing together Minkowskian $(d+1)$-simplices

Simplices in $2+1$ dimensions

$(3,1)$-simplex

(2, 2)-simplex

$(4,1)$-simplex

(3, 2)-simplex

Spacelike edge length $L_{S L}^{2}=a^{2}$
Timelike edge length $L_{T L}^{2}=-\eta a^{2}$
Dynamically move from one triangulated spacetime to another by appropriately removing or inserting Minkowskian $(d+1)$-simplices
[Ambjørn et al 2001]

## Pachner Moves

$2+1$ dimensions
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## $3+1$ dimensions


[Ambjørn et al 2001]

## Restricting to Causal Spacetimes

Only spacetimes admitting a global foliation by spacelike hypersurfaces allowed to enter the path integral

- Interpreted as a sort of causality condition since spatial topology change is prevented
- Allows for Wick rotation to Euclidean signature required for numerical simulations while retaining Lorentzian structure of spacetimes


## Restricting to Causal Spacetimes

Only spacetimes admitting a global foliation by spacelike hypersurfaces allowed to enter the path integral

- Interpreted as a sort of causality condition since spatial topology change is prevented
- Allows for Wick rotation to Euclidean signature required for numerical simulations while retaining Lorentzian structure of spacetimes

Implementation in dynamically triangulated spacetimes

- Triangulate each leaf of the foliation with regular spacelike $d$-simplices
- Connect adjacent leaves of the foliation with timelike edges so that only allowed $(d+1)$-simplices formed
- Pachner moves designed to respect foliation



## Motivating the Causality Condition

(1) Monte Carlo simulations of the Euclidean path integral for gravity

- Quantum Regge calculus: Two phases of geometry present-smooth and rough-but uncorroborated evidence for a second order phase transition
- Dynamical triangulations: Two phases of geometry present-crumpled and polymeric-but convincing evidence for a first order phase transition
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- Lorentzian theory obtained from Euclidean theory upon integrating out spatial topology change
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(1) Monte Carlo simulations of the Euclidean path integral for gravity

- Quantum Regge calculus: Two phases of geometry present-smooth and rough-but uncorroborated evidence for a second order phase transition
- Dynamical triangulations: Two phases of geometry present-crumpled and polymeric-but convincing evidence for a first order phase transition
(2) Relationship between Euclidean and Lorentzian dynamical triangulations in $1+1$ dimensions
- Euclidean and Lorentzian theories in different universality classes
- Continuum limit of Euclidean theory is Liouville gravity
- Continuum limit of Lorentzian theory is proper time gauge canonically quantized gravity
- Euclidean theory obtained from Lorentzian theory upon integrating in spatial topology change
- Lorentzian theory obtained from Euclidean theory upon integrating out spatial topology change
(3) Promising results from causal dynamical triangulations


## Discrete Action for Path Integral

Aim to evaluate

$$
Z=\int \mathcal{D}\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right] e^{i S\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]} \quad \text { for } \quad S\left[g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{d+1} x \sqrt{-g}(R-2 \Lambda)
$$

Use Regge calculus
Prescription for $R$ term

$$
\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{d+1} x \sqrt{-g} R \longrightarrow 2 \sum_{h \in \mathcal{T}} A_{h} \delta_{h}
$$

- Local curvature carried by $(d+1-2)$-dimensional simplices ("hinges" or "bones" $h$ ) of the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$
- Amount of local curvature proportional to deficit angle $\delta_{h}$ about the hinge $h$ and to area $A_{h}$ of the hinge
Prescription for $\Lambda$ term

$$
\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{d+1} x \sqrt{-g} 2 \Lambda \longrightarrow 2 \Lambda \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}} V_{s}
$$

- Total spacetime volume is simply the sum of the $(d+1)$-volumes $V_{s}$ of all $(d+1)$-simplices $s$ in the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$


## Regge Calculus for Causal Dynamical Triangulations

$2+1$ dimensions

$$
\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{3} x \sqrt{-g}(R-2 \Lambda) \longrightarrow 2 \sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}} A_{e} \delta_{e}-\Lambda \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}} V_{s}
$$

Sum $\sum_{e \in \mathcal{T}}$ ranges over all spacelike and timelike edges $e$ in the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$
$3+1$ dimensions

$$
\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{4} x \sqrt{-g}(R-2 \Lambda) \longrightarrow 2 \sum_{f \in \mathcal{T}} A_{f} \delta_{f}-\Lambda \sum_{s \in \mathcal{T}} V_{s}
$$

Sum $\sum_{f \in \mathcal{T}}$ ranges over all spacelike and timelike faces $e$ in the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$

## Numerical Implementation

(1) Wick rotation consists in $\eta \rightarrow-\eta$ in the lower half complex plane

$$
Z_{C D T}=\sum_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{C(\mathcal{T})} e^{i S_{C D T}[\mathcal{T}]} \quad \longrightarrow \quad Z_{C D T}^{(E)}=\sum_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{C(\mathcal{T})} e^{-S_{C D T}^{(E)}[\mathcal{T}]}
$$

(2) Simplify discrete Euclidean action with Dehn-Somerville relations

- In $2+1$ dimensions

$$
S_{C D T}^{(E)}=-k_{0} N_{0}+k_{3} N_{3}
$$

- In $3+1$ dimensions

$$
S_{C D T}^{(E)}=-\left(\kappa_{0}+6 \Delta\right) N_{0}+2 \kappa_{4}\left(N_{4}^{(3,2)}+N_{4}^{(4,1)}\right)+2 \Delta\left(N_{4}^{(3,2)}+2 N_{4}^{(4,1)}\right)
$$

(3) Run Monte Carlo simulation of the partition function $Z_{C D T}^{(E)}$

- Updates with Pachner moves based on weighting by discrete Euclidean action
- Approximately fix total number $N_{d+1}$ of $(d+1)$-simplices with damping term $\epsilon\left|N_{d+1}-N_{d+1}^{(\text {target })}\right|$ for $\epsilon \ll 1$
- Tune coupling constant $k_{3}$ or $\kappa_{4}$ to critical value
- Collect ensembles of representative spacetimes after simulation has thermalized


## Phase Structure

$2+1$ dimensions


[Kommu 2011]
First order A-C phase transition

$$
3+1 \text { dimensions }
$$



[Ambjørn et al 2010]
First order A-C phase transition Second order B-C phase transition

## Depictions of Representative Spacetimes

Discrete spatial volume as a function of discrete time




Phase A
Present in $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensions

Phase B
Present in $3+1$ dimensions only



Phase C
Present in $2+1$ and $3+1$ dimensions

## Classical Nature of Phase C: Spatiotemporal Scaling

Behavior of the discrete spatial 3 -volume-spatial 3 -volume correlator

$$
\mathcal{C}_{N_{4}}(x)=\left\langle N_{3}(t) N_{3}(t+x)\right\rangle
$$

under spatiotemporal scalings

$$
\tau=\frac{t}{N_{4}^{1 / D_{H}}} \quad \text { and } \quad n_{3}(\tau)=\frac{N_{3}(t)}{N_{4}^{1-1 / D_{H}}}
$$


$\mathcal{C}_{N_{4}}(x)$ for several values of $N_{4}$ scaled naively with $D_{H}=4$


Best estimate for $D_{H}$ from overlap of scaled $\mathcal{C}_{N_{4}}(x)$ for several values of $N_{4}$
[Ambjørn et al 2005]

## Classical Nature of Phase C: Minisuperspace Model

Effective action for discrete spatial 3-volume $N_{3}(t)$ determined primarily from measurements of phase C spacetimes

- Kinetic term determined from distributions of differences of adjacent spatial volumes
- Potential term deduced from expected scaling behavior of the spatial volume given this distribution

$$
S_{D}\left[N_{3}(t)\right]=k_{1} \sum_{t=1}^{N}\left\{\frac{\left[N_{3}(t+1)-N_{3}(t)\right]^{2}}{N_{3}(t)}+k_{2} N_{3}^{1 / 3}(t)\right\}
$$
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Minisuperspace model

- Euclidean metric $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=g_{t t} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+a^{2}(t) \mathrm{d} \Omega_{3}^{2}$
- Classical action in terms of the continuous spatial 3 -volume $V_{3}(t)=2 \pi^{2} a^{3}(t)$

$$
S_{C}\left[V_{3}(t)\right]=\frac{1}{24 \pi G} \int \mathrm{~d} t \sqrt{g_{t t}}\left[\frac{g^{t t} \dot{V}_{3}^{2}(t)}{V_{3}(t)}+9\left(2 \pi^{2}\right)^{2 / 3} V_{3}^{1 / 3}(t)\right]
$$

[Ambjørn et al 2005], [Ambjørn et al 2008]

## Classical Nature of Phase C: Minisuperspace Solution

## $2+1$ dimensions

Continuous de Sitter solution

$$
V_{2}(t)=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{V_{3}}{l_{d S}} \cos ^{2}\left(\frac{t}{l_{d S}}\right)
$$

Discretized de Sitter solution

$$
N_{2}(\tau)=\frac{2}{\pi} \frac{N_{3}^{(1,3)}}{\tilde{s}_{0}\left(N_{3}^{(1,3)}\right)^{1 / 3}} \cos ^{2}\left[\frac{\tau}{\tilde{s}_{0}\left(N_{3}^{(1,3)}\right)^{1 / 3}}\right]
$$


[JHC, Anderson et al 2011]
$3+1$ dimensions
Continuous de Sitter solution

$$
V_{3}(t)=\frac{3}{4} \frac{V_{4}}{l_{d S}} \cos ^{3}\left(\frac{t}{l_{d S}}\right)
$$

Discretized de Sitter solution

$$
N_{3}(\tau)=\frac{3}{8} \frac{N_{4}^{(1,4)}}{\tilde{s}_{0}\left(N_{4}^{(1,4)}\right)^{1 / 4}} \cos ^{3}\left[\frac{\tau}{\tilde{s}_{0}\left(N_{4}^{(1,4)}\right)^{1 / 4}}\right]
$$


[Ambjørn et al 2005]

## Classical Nature of Phase C: Spectral Dimension

Spectral dimension is the effective dimensionality of spacetime as measured by a random walker

$$
d_{s}(\sigma)=-2 \frac{\mathrm{~d} \ln P_{r}(\sigma)}{\mathrm{d} \ln \sigma}
$$

$P_{r}(\sigma)$ is the return probability as a function of diffusion time $\sigma$


- Black points: Measured scaled spectral dimension of phase C in $2+1$ dimensions
- Green curve: Fit of spectral dimension computed from $(2+1)$-dimensional minisuperspace model
[Benedetti and Henson 2009]


## Semiclassical Nature of Phase C: Minisuperspace Model

Fluctuations in discrete spatial 3 -volume well fit by minisuperspace model at second order

- Covariance of deviations of discrete spatial 3 -volume from the mean

$$
C(\tau, \zeta)=\left\langle\delta N_{3}(\tau) \delta N_{3}(\zeta)\right\rangle \quad \text { with } \quad \delta N_{3}(\tau)=N_{3}(\tau)-\left\langle N_{3}(\tau)\right\rangle
$$

- Expansion of the discrete minisuperspace action to second order

$$
S_{D}\left[\left\langle N_{3}(\tau)\right\rangle+\delta N_{3}(\tau)\right]=S_{D}\left[\left\langle N_{3}(\tau)\right\rangle\right]+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau=1}^{T} \sum_{\zeta=1}^{T} P(\tau, \zeta) \delta N_{3}(\tau) \delta N_{3}(\zeta) \cdots
$$

- To a good degree of accuracy

$$
C(\tau, \zeta)=P^{-1}(\tau, \zeta)
$$

## Quantum Nature of Phase C: Spectral Dimension


[Benedetti and Henson 2009]

[Kommu 2011]
$3+1$ dimensions

[Ambjørn et al 2005]

[Kommu 2011]

Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

## Methodology of Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Aim Construct a power-counting renormalizable unitary classical theory of gravity

- Power-counting renormalizability requires higher derivative terms
- Unitarity requires that terms contain no more than two time derivatives

Means Abandon manifest spacetime covariance and permit violation of Lorentz invariance

- Metric characterizes geometry of spacetime manifolds carrying a global foliation by spacelike hypersurfaces
- Action only required to be invariant under foliation preserving diffeomorphisms

$$
t \longrightarrow \tilde{t}=f(t) \quad \mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{x}}=\zeta(t, \mathbf{x})
$$

Hope Well-defined quantum theory with renormalization group flow to general relativity in the infrared

## Defining Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

ADM decomposition of the metric adapted to a foliation of spacetime by spacelike hypersurfaces

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-N^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\gamma_{i j}\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{i}+N^{i} \mathrm{~d} t\right)\left(\mathrm{d} x^{j}+N^{j} \mathrm{~d} t\right)
$$

- $N$ is the lapse function
- $N^{i}$ is the shift vector
- $\gamma_{i j}$ is the spatial metric tensor
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ADM decomposition of the metric adapted to a foliation of spacetime by spacelike hypersurfaces

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-N^{2} \mathrm{~d} t^{2}+\gamma_{i j}\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{i}+N^{i} \mathrm{~d} t\right)\left(\mathrm{d} x^{j}+N^{j} \mathrm{~d} t\right)
$$

- $N$ is the lapse function
- $N^{i}$ is the shift vector
- $\gamma_{i j}$ is the spatial metric tensor

Most general action

$$
S_{H L}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d}^{d} x \sqrt{\gamma} N\left\{K_{i j} K^{i j}-\lambda K^{2}-V\left[\gamma_{i j}, N\right]\right\}
$$

- $K_{i j}=\frac{1}{N}\left(\partial_{t} \gamma_{i j}-D_{i} N_{j}-D_{j} N_{i}\right)$ is the extrinsic curvature tensor
- $K=\gamma^{i j} K_{i j}$ is the trace of the extrinsic curvature tensor
- $V\left[\gamma_{i j}, N\right]$ is a scalar functional of $\gamma_{i j}, N$, and their space derivatives up to order $2 z=2 d$
- $z$ is the dynamical critical exponent


## Phenomenological Viability of Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Projectable version of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

- Defined by restriction on lapse function $N=N(t)$
- Extra propagating scalar degree of freedom
- Constraints
- Experimental validity of Newton's law on small scales generically requires $\lambda$ very close to 1
- Constraints on Cherenkov radiation from scalar mode essentially render theory unviable
- Strong dynamics could render theory viable but the scale of such dynamics is uncomfortably low
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## Projectable version of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

- Defined by restriction on lapse function $N=N(t)$
- Extra propagating scalar degree of freedom
- Constraints
- Experimental validity of Newton's law on small scales generically requires $\lambda$ very close to 1
- Constraints on Cherenkov radiation from scalar mode essentially render theory unviable
- Strong dynamics could render theory viable but the scale of such dynamics is uncomfortably low
Nonprojectable version of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity
- Defined by lack of restriction on lapse function $N=N(t, \mathbf{x})$
- Extra propagating scalar degree of freedom
- Constraints
- Reasonable range of coupling values in which theory is viable
- Strong coupling scale is sufficiently high for perturbative regime to elude limits on violations of Lorentz invariance

Connections

## Connections: Phase Structure

Resemblance of the phase diagram of causal dynamical triangulations to the phase diagram of the Lifshitz scalar field

[Ambjørn et al 2010]

[Hor̆ava 2011]

Identification of respective phases

- Phase A corresponds to the spatially modulated phase
- Phase B corresponds to the disordered phase
- Phase C corresponds to the uniformly ordered

Matching of phase transition structure

- A-C and modulated-ordered phase transitions are first order
- B-C and disordered-ordered phase transitions are second order


## Connections: Spectral Dimension

Consistency of the spectral dimension computed in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with the spectral dimension measured in causal dynamical triangulations

- Generic prediction from Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

$$
d_{s}=1+\frac{d}{z}
$$

- Expected that $z$ flows from $d$ in the ultraviolet to 1 in the infrared [Hořava 2009]
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Consistency of the spectral dimension computed in Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with the spectral dimension measured in causal dynamical triangulations

- Generic prediction from Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

$$
d_{s}=1+\frac{d}{z}
$$

- Expected that $z$ flows from $d$ in the ultraviolet to 1 in the infrared [Hořava 2009]

Fit of the spectral dimension of causal dynamical triangulations on small scales to a dispersion relation for scalar mode of nonprojectable $(2+1)$-dimensional Hořava-Lifshitz gravity



$$
\omega^{2}(k)=\frac{A k^{2}\left(1+B k^{2}+C k^{4}\right)}{1+D k^{2}}
$$

[Sotiriou et al 2011]

## Connections: Semiclassical Effective Action

Compatibility of solutions of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity with the minisuperspace model fit to the expectation value of geometry in phase C of causal dynamical triangulations

- de Sitter spacetime is a solution of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [Benedetti and Henson 2009]
- Low energy limit of generic Hořava-Lifshitz minisuperspace model compatible with semiclassical effective action for phase C of causal dynamical triangulations [Ambjørn et al 2010]
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- de Sitter spacetime is a solution of Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [Benedetti and Henson 2009]
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Evidence that the semiclassical effective action of causal dynamical triangulations for topology $\mathrm{T}^{2} \times \mathcal{S}^{1}$ has Hořava-Lifshitz-like form [Budd 2011]

Quantizing Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

## Model

$(2+1)$-dimensional projectable Hořava-Lifshitz gravity
Continuum action

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
S_{H L}[\mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{x})]=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} & \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})} N(t)\left[K_{i j}(t, \mathbf{x}) K^{i j}(t, \mathbf{x})\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda K^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})-\alpha R_{2}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})+\beta R_{2}(t, \mathbf{x})-2 \Lambda\right]
\end{array}
$$

Hamiltonian constraint

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{H}_{\perp}=\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})}\left[K_{i j}(t, \mathbf{x}) K^{i j}(t, \mathbf{x})-\lambda K^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})+\alpha R_{2}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})\right. \\
\left.-\beta R_{2}(t, \mathbf{x})+2 \Lambda\right]
\end{gathered}
$$
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\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{H}_{\perp}=\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})}\left[K_{i j}(t, \mathbf{x}) K^{i j}(t, \mathbf{x})-\lambda K^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})+\alpha R_{2}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})\right. \\
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Hamiltonian constraint not necessarily enforced

- Lapse function is fixed for any given causal triangulation since all timelike edges have fixed length
- Path integration may or may not dynamically impose the Hamiltonian constraint


## Discretization Procedure: Guidelines

(1) Use the formalism of causal dynamical triangulations
(2) Discrete Hořava-Lifshitz action should reduce to discrete Einstein-Hilbert action when $\lambda=1$ and $\alpha=0$

- Use Gauss-Codazzi equation and discard boundary terms

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{H L}[\mathbf{g}(t, \mathbf{x})]= & \frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \sqrt{-g(t, \mathbf{x})}[R(t, \mathbf{x})-2 \Lambda] \\
& +\frac{1-\lambda}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})} N(t) K^{2}(t, \mathbf{x}) \\
& -\frac{\alpha}{16 \pi G} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})} N(t) R_{2}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) Transfer matrix corresponding to the discrete Horrava-Lifshitz action defined on the space of boundary geometries should yield a well-defined Hamiltonian

- Ensure that the discrete Hořava-Lifshitz action is time-reversal invariant


## Discretization Procedure: Volume Sharing

Volume sharing prescription for a squared curvature scalar $\mathscr{R}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})$

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{d} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})} \mathscr{R}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \sum_{o \in O_{\tau}(\mathcal{T})} V_{o}^{(s)}\left(\frac{A_{o} \delta_{o}}{V_{o}^{(s)}}\right)^{2}
$$

- $o$ is the object assigned the curvature
- $O_{\tau}(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of all objects $o$ on the spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma$ labelled by discrete time coordinate $\tau$ in the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$
- $A_{o}$ is the appropriate area of the object $o$
- $\delta_{o}$ is the appropriate deficit angle about the object $o$
- $V_{o}^{(s)}$ is the share-volume of the object $o$, namely the volume of all top-dimensional objects containing $o$
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- $o$ is the object assigned the curvature
- $O_{\tau}(\mathcal{T})$ is the set of all objects $o$ on the spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma$ labelled by discrete time coordinate $\tau$ in the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$
- $A_{o}$ is the appropriate area of the object $o$
- $\delta_{o}$ is the appropriate deficit angle about the object $o$
- $V_{o}^{(s)}$ is the share-volume of the object $o$, namely the volume of all top-dimensional objects containing $o$

Intuition for volume sharing prescription

- Squares of curvature prescriptions are ill-defined as triangulation is refined toward continuum limit
- View curvatures as densities assigned to local volumes

$$
\text { For example } \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{d+1} x \sqrt{-g} R \longrightarrow 2 \sum_{h \in \mathcal{T}} A_{h} \delta_{h}=2 \sum_{h \in \mathcal{T}} V_{\mathcal{C} \supset h}\left(\frac{A_{h} \delta_{h}}{V_{\mathcal{C} \supset h}}\right)
$$

[Hamber and Williams 1984], [Ambjørn et al 1993]

## Discretization Procedure: $R_{2}^{2}$ Term

Vertices $v$ carry the Ricci curvature $R_{2}$ of a 2-dimensional spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma$

Volume sharing prescription

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})} R_{2}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \sum_{v \in V_{\tau}(\mathcal{T})} V_{v}^{(s)}\left(\frac{A_{v} \delta_{v}}{V_{v}^{(s)}}\right)^{2}
$$


[Budd 2011]

- Deficit angle about the vertex $v$ with $N_{\triangle}(v)$ incident spacelike triangles

$$
\delta_{v}=2 \pi-\frac{\pi}{3} N_{\triangle}(v)
$$

- Vertex area $A_{v}=1$
- Vertex share volume $V_{v}^{(s)}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} a^{2} N_{\triangle}(v)$


## Discretization Procedure: $K^{2}$ Term

Spacelike triangles $\triangle$ carry the trace $K$ of the extrinsic curvature of a 2-dimensional spacelike hypersurface $\Sigma$

Volume sharing prescription

$$
\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})} K^{2}(t, \mathbf{x}) \longrightarrow \sum_{\Delta \in T_{\tau}^{S L}(\mathcal{T})} V_{\triangle}^{(s)}\left(\frac{A_{\triangle} \delta_{\Delta}}{V_{\triangle}^{(s)}}\right)^{2}
$$


[Budd 2011]

- Past- (Future-) directed deficit angle about the spacelike triangle $\triangle$ with $N_{(2,2)}^{\downarrow(\uparrow)}(\triangle)(2,2)$-simplices in its immediate past (future)

$$
\delta_{\triangle}=\delta_{e_{1}}+\delta_{e_{2}}+\delta_{e_{3}}=3 \pi-6 \theta_{L}^{(3,1)}-\theta_{L}^{(2,2)} N_{(2,2)}^{\downarrow(\uparrow)}(\triangle)
$$

- Spacelike triangle area $A_{\triangle}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} a^{2}$
- Spacelike triangle share volume $V_{\triangle}^{(s)}=4 V_{L}^{(3,1)}+V_{L}^{(2,2)} N_{(2,2)}^{\downarrow(\uparrow)}(\triangle)$


## Causal Dynamical Triangulated Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Wick rotated path integral for spacetime topology $\mathcal{S}^{2} \times \mathcal{S}^{1}$

$$
Z_{H L}^{(E)}=\sum_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{C(\mathcal{T})} e^{-S_{H L}^{(E)}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{H L}^{(E)}= & -k_{0} N_{0}+k_{3} N_{3} \\
& +\frac{1-\lambda}{16 \pi G} \sum_{\tau} \sum_{\Delta \in T_{\tau}^{S L}(\mathcal{T})} a^{4}\left[\frac{\left(3 \pi-6 \theta_{E}^{(3,1)}-\theta_{E}^{(2,2)} N_{(2,2)}^{\uparrow}(\triangle)\right)^{2}}{4 V_{E}^{(3,1)}+V_{E}^{(2,2)} N_{(2,2)}^{\uparrow}(\triangle)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\left(3 \pi-6 \theta_{E}^{(3,1)}-\theta_{E}^{(2,2)} N_{(2,2)}^{\downarrow}(\triangle)\right)^{2}}{4 V_{E}^{(3,1)}+V_{E}^{(2,2)} N_{(2,2)}^{\downarrow}(\triangle)}\right] \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{16 \pi G} \sum_{\tau} \sum_{v \in V_{\tau}(\mathcal{T})} \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{a} \frac{\left(6-N_{\triangle}(v)\right)^{2}}{N_{\triangle}(v)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Run Monte Carlo simulations of the partition function $Z_{H L}^{(E)}$

## Phase Structure

Critical surface for $k_{0}=1$ projected onto the $\lambda-\alpha$ plane


Phase C: blue circles
Phase D: magenta squares
Phase E: orange diamonds

## Depictions of Representative Spacetimes

Discrete 2 -volume as a function of discrete time





Phase C
Phase D
Phase E
[JHC, Anderson et al 2011]

## Preliminary Evidence for Semiclassicality

Spectral dimension


Phase A $(\lambda \stackrel{\sigma}{=} 1, \alpha=0)$


Phase C $(\lambda \stackrel{\sigma}{=} 1, \alpha=0)$


Phase C $(\lambda \neq 1, \alpha \neq 0)$



## Preliminary Evidence for Semiclassicality

Spectral dimension


Phase A $(\lambda \stackrel{\sigma}{=} 1, \alpha=0)$


Phase C $(\lambda \stackrel{\sigma}{=} 1, \alpha=0)$


Phase C $(\lambda \neq 1, \alpha \neq 0)$



Minisuperspace model fit to phase C ensemble for $\lambda \neq 1, \alpha \neq 0$


## Preliminary Evidence for Static Nature of Phase E

Fourier transform of discrete 2 -volume as a function of discrete time


## Preliminary Evidence for Static Nature of Phase E

Fourier transform of discrete 2 -volume as a function of discrete time


Normalized variance of the discrete 2-volume

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.\frac{\left\langle\Delta_{\left.N_{2}^{S L}\right\rangle_{\max }}\right.}{\left\langle N_{2}^{S L}\right\rangle_{\max }}\right|_{C}=0.20<\left.\frac{\left\langle\Delta_{N_{2}^{S L}}\right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{2}^{S L}\right\rangle}\right|_{E}=0.69<\left.\frac{\left\langle\Delta_{N_{2}^{S L}}\right\rangle_{\min }}{\left\langle N_{2}^{S L}\right\rangle_{\min }}\right|_{C}=0.78 \\
\left.\frac{\sqrt{\left\langle\Delta_{N_{2}^{S L}}\right\rangle_{\max }}}{\sqrt[3]{\left\langle N_{3}\right\rangle_{\max }}}\right|_{C}=0.35>\left.\frac{\sqrt{\left\langle\Delta_{N_{2}^{S L}}\right\rangle}}{\sqrt[3]{\left\langle N_{3}\right\rangle}}\right|_{E}=0.27>\left.\frac{\sqrt{\left\langle\Delta_{N_{2}^{S L}}\right\rangle_{\min }}}{\sqrt[3]{\left\langle N_{3}\right\rangle_{\min }}}\right|_{C}=0.22
\end{gathered}
$$

[JHC, Anderson et al 2011]

## C-E Phase Transition

Conjecture The C-E phase transition is a confinement-deconfinement transition of the global gravitational charge $\mathcal{H}_{\perp}$

Hamiltonian constraint

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{H}_{\perp}=\int_{\Sigma} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{\gamma(t, \mathbf{x})}\left[K_{i j}(t, \mathbf{x}) K^{i j}(t, \mathbf{x})-\lambda K^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})+\alpha R_{2}^{2}(t, \mathbf{x})\right. \\
\left.-\beta R_{2}(t, \mathbf{x})+2 \Lambda\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

Interpretation of transition in terms of FLRW spacetimes

- Confined phase C: Hamiltonian constraint equation becomes the Friedmann equation for the scale factor, which precludes the ground state geometry from being time-independent
- Deconfined phase E: Hamiltonian constraint measures the energy levels with the ground state identified as the lowest energy, typically static configuration

Continuing Research

## Current and Future Research

Regarding causal dynamically triangulated Einstein gravity

- Quantum scalar field theory on curved spacetime
- Better determination of spectral dimension
- Dynamical determination of light cone structure
- Renormalization group flow of the cosmological constant
- Fixed metric boundary conditions
- Testing Newton's law of gravitation
- Introduce bundles of quasilocal mass

Regarding causal dynamically triangulated Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

- Testing the confinement-deconfinement conjecture
- Continuing exploration of the phase diagram
- Better distinguish phases D and E
- Ascertain relationships to phase A

