GW20170814 (BBH) Sky location : 3 detectors



FIG. 3. Localization of GW170814. The rapid localization using data from the two LIGO sites is shown in yellow, with the inclusion of data from Virgo shown in green. The full Bayesian localization is shown in purple. The contours represent the 90% credible regions. The left panel is an orthographic projection and the inset in the center is a gnomonic projection; both are in equatorial coordinates. The inset on the right shows the posterior probability distribution for the luminosity distance, marginalized over the whole sky.

..... as of 2017 Aug 14

Skymap movement



Skymap movement



Skymap movement



Skymaps from LIGO-Virgo Collaboration - 3 degree offset !
Smartt S.J. et al. Nature, 2017, 551, 75 : ATLAS upper limits, GROND, ESO-NTT, Pan-STARRS, 1.5m Boyden,

Optical and near-infrared kilonova emission - light r-process composition S. Smartt, A. Jerkstrand, G. Leloudas, M. Coughlin, E. Kankare

S. J. Smartt¹, T.-W. Chen², A. Jerkstrand³, M. Coughlin⁴, E. Kankare¹, S. A. Sim¹, M. Fraser⁵, C. Inserra⁶, K. Maguire¹, K. C. Chambers⁷, M. E. Huber⁷, T. Krühler², G. Leloudas⁸, M. Magee¹, L. J. Shingles¹, K. W. Smith¹, D. R. Young¹, J. Tonry⁷, R. Kotak¹, A. Gal-Yam⁹, J. D. Lyman¹⁰, D. S. Homan¹¹, C. Agliozzo^{12,13}, J. P. Anderson¹⁴, C. R. Angus⁶, C. Ashall¹⁵, C. Barbarino¹⁶, F. E. Bauer^{13,17,18}, M. Berton^{19,20}, M. T. Botticella²¹, M. Bulla²², J. Bulger⁷, G. Cannizzaro^{23,24}, Z. Cano²⁵, R. Cartier⁶, A. Cikota²⁶, P. Clark¹, A. De Cia²⁶, M. Della Valle^{21,27}, L. Denneau⁷, M. Dennefeld²⁸, L. Dessart²⁹, G. Dimitriadis⁶, N. Elias-Rosa³⁰, R. E. Firth⁶, H. Flewelling⁷, A. Flörs^{3,26,31}, A. Franckowiak³², C. Frohmaier³³, L. Galbany³⁴, S. González-Gaitán³⁵, J. Greiner², M. Gromadzki³⁶, A. Nicuesa Guelbenzu³⁷, C. P. Gutiérrez⁶, A. Hamanowicz^{26,36}, L. Hanlon⁵, J. Harmanen³⁸, K. E. Heintz^{8,39}, A. Heinze⁷, M.-S. Hernandez⁴⁰, S. T. Hodgkin⁴¹, I. M. Hook⁴², L. Izzo²⁵, P. A. James¹⁵, P. G. Jonker^{23,24}, W. E. Kerzendorf²⁶, S. Klose³⁷, Z. Kostrzewa-Rutkowska^{23,24}, M. Kowalski^{32,43}, M. Kromer^{44,45}, H. Kuncarayakti^{38,46}, A. Lawrence¹¹, T. B. Lowe⁷, E. A. Magnier⁷, I. Manulis⁹, A. Martin-Carrillo⁵, S. Mattila³⁸ O. McBrien¹, A. Müller⁴⁷, J. Nordin⁴³, D. O'Neill¹, F. Onori^{23,24}, J. T. Palmerio⁴⁸, A. Pastorello⁴⁹, F. Patat²⁶, G. Pignata^{12,13}, Ph. Podsiadlowski⁵⁰, M. L. Pumo^{49,51,52}, S. J. Prentice¹⁵, A. Rau², A. Razza^{14,53}, A. Rest^{54,55}, T. Reynolds³⁸, R. Roy^{16,56}, A. J. Ruiter^{57,58,59}, K. A. Rybicki³⁶, L. Salmon⁵, P. Schady², A. S. B. Schultz⁷, T. Schweyer², I. R. Seitenzahl^{57,58}, M. Smith⁶, J. Sollerman¹⁶, B. Stalder⁶⁰, C. W. Stubbs⁶¹, M. Sullivan⁶, H. Szegedi⁶², F. Taddia¹⁶, S. Taubenberger^{3,26}, G. Terreran^{49,63}, B. van Soelen⁶², J. Vos⁴⁰, R. J. Wainscoat⁷, N. A. Walton⁴¹, C. Waters⁷, H. Weiland⁷, M. Willman⁷, P. Wiseman², D. E. Wright⁶⁴, Ł. Wyrzykowski36 & O. Yaron9

nature 551, 75–79 (2017) doi:10.1038/













Smartt et al. 2017 Pian et al. 2017

- All data available on <u>www.pessto.org</u> (calibration notes)
- And <u>https://kilonova.space/</u>
- <u>https://wiserep.weizmann.ac.il/</u>

NS-NS mergers - EM radiation

- NS-NS mergers and BH-NS mergers
- Predicted to be strong emitters of EM radiation
- Short GRBs : working model is NS-NS mergers
- Gamma rays are beamed from relativistic jet
- Beam opening angle ~ 10° (see Berger ARA&A 2014)



http://compact-merger.astro.su.se/ See Rosswog, Piran & Nakar 2013

NS-NS mergers - EM radiation

- NS-NS mergers and BH-NS mergers
- Predicted to be strong emitters of EM radiation
- Short GRBs : working model is NS-NS mergers
- Gamma rays are beamed from relativistic jet
- Beam opening angle ~ 10° (see Berger ARA&A 2014)



http://compact-merger.astro.su.se/ See Rosswog, Piran & Nakar 2013

Multiple components



Metzger 2014

Multiple components or 1?







Tanaka et al.

 $M_{\rm ei}^{\rm blue} \approx 0.01 \, M_{\odot}$ and $v_{\rm ei}^{\rm blue} \approx 0.3 \, {
m c}$ $M_{\rm ei}^{\rm red} \approx 0.04 \, M_{\odot}$ and $v_{\rm ei}^{\rm red} \approx 0.1 \, {
m c}$

DECam team Cowperthwaite et al. Red Kilonova, M=0.035 M_o, v=0.15c Blue Kilonova, M=0.025 M_o, v=0.25c Swope/Carnegie team Kilpatrick et al. + Drout et al.

AT2017fgo



2 lightcurve models: our own Arnett formulation and Metzger

See also Rosswog et al. 2017, A&A, Waxman et al. 2017 Heating rates P(t) = A t β (Lippuner & Roberts 2015)

Semi-analytic models "Arnett-Jerkstrand"

$$L_{\rm SN}(t) = e^{-(t/\tau_{\rm m})^2} \int_0^{t/\tau_{\rm m}} P(t') 2(t'/\tau_{\rm m}) e^{(t'/\tau_{\rm m})^2} \frac{dt'}{\tau_{\rm m}} \, \text{erg s}^{-1},$$
(D1)

where $\tau_{\rm m}$ is the diffusion timescale parameter, which in the case of uniform density $(E_{\rm k} = (3/10)M_{\rm ej}V_{\rm ej}^2)$ is

$$\pi_{\rm m} = \frac{1.05}{(\beta c)^{1/2}} \kappa^{1/2} M_{\rm ej}^{3/4} E_{\rm k}^{-1/4} \,{\rm s.}$$
 (D2)



Can vary M = mass E = energy (velocity) $\kappa = opacity$ P(t) = power source function

Inserra, Smartt, Jerkstrand et al 2013

https://star.pst.qub.ac.uk/wiki/doku.php/users/ajerkstrand/



r-process radioactivity



Table 1. Properties of the dominant β -decay nuclei at $t \sim 1$ d.

Isotope	<i>t</i> _{1/2} (h)	Q ^a (MeV)	ϵ^b_{e}	ϵ^c_{ν}	ϵ^d_γ	$E_{\gamma}^{\operatorname{avg} e}$ (MeV)	
135 ₁	6.57	2.65	0.18	0.18	0.64	1 17	
129Sb	4.4	2.38	0.22	0.22	0.55	0.86	
¹²⁸ Sb	9.0	4.39	0.14	0.14	0.73	0.66	
129Te	1.16	1.47	0.48	0.48	0.04	0.22	
^{132}I	2.30	3.58	0.19	0.19	0.62	0.77	
¹³⁵ Xe	9.14	1.15	0.38	0.40	0.22	0.26	
¹²⁷ Sn	2.1	3.2	0.24	0.23	0.53	0.92	
^{134}I	0.88	4.2	0.20	0.19	0.61	0.86	
⁵⁶ Ni ^f	146	2.14	0.10	0.10	0.80	0.53	

^aTotal energy released in the decay.

 b,c,d Fraction of the decay energy released in electrons, neutrinos and γ -rays. ^eAverage photon energy produced in the decay.

^fNote: ⁵⁶Ni is not produced by the *r*-process and is only shown for comparison [although a small abundance of ⁵⁶Ni may be produced in accretion disc outflows from NS–NS/NS–BH mergers (Metzger et al. 2008b)].

- Metzger 2017, Living Reviews in Relativity, 20, 3 "Kilonovae"
- Metzger et al. 2010, MNRAS, 406, 2650, "EM counterparts of compact object mergers powered by the radioactive decay of r-process material"
- Heating rates P(t) = A t $\beta^{-\beta}$ also see Lippuner & Roberts 2015

Spectra : light r-process elements







Reasonable criticisms

- Our models are too simple Metzger 2017 "toy model" and Arnett-Jerkstrand semianalytic model
- We do not use the SED/spectral information available when fitting the lightcurve (L_{bol} only)
- We have underestimated K-band at > 10d. Therefore underestimated the contribution to a high opacity component
- We have only integrated our L_{bol} out to 2.5microns, there is clearly (*some*) flux beyond that. Therefore underestimated the contribution to a high opacity component
- The <u>thermalisation function and/or heating rate</u> we apply for radioactive decay particles (leptons) are either wrong or unknown

K-band issue with our data



K-band issue with our data



As pointed out in Villar et al. 2017







1-component or 2?



See also Rosswog et al. 2017, A&A, Waxman et al. 2017, submitted P(t) = A t Kilpatrick et al. 2017 Drout et al. 2017

Combined photometry for SED fitting +0.47d to +14.3d

DCM	Phase	U	U_err	9	g_err	r	r_err	i	i_err	z	z_err	У	y_err	Tel	Phase	J	J_err	н	H_err	K_s	K_enn	Telescope
57983.0	0.467	NaN	NaN	17.41	0.02	17.56	0.04	17.48	0.03	17.59	0.03	17.46	0.01	various		17.88	0.03	18.26	0.15	18.62	0.11	4star/VISTA/GS
57983.23125	0.696	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	17.24	0.06	17.26	0.06	17.38	0.10	PS1		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57983.42	0.88	NaN	NaN	17.46	0.08	17.32	0.07	17.42	0.05	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	Skymapper		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57983.75833	1.218	NaN	NaN	18.05	0.12	17.89	0.03	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	1.58/LCO		17.51	0.03	17.64	0.04	17.91	0.05	Sirius
57983.96875	1.427	NaN	NaN	18.49	0.04	17.99	0.01	17.85	0.05	17.72	0.03	17.32	0.03	GROND/DECam	1.427	17.58	0.07	17.64	0.08	18.14	0.15	GROND
57984.04811	1.505	20.25	0.29	NaN	NoN	NoN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NoN	NoN	NaN	NTT		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57984.23125	1.686	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	17.87	0.06	17.78	0.07	17.58	0.11	PS1		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57984.37	1.82	NaN	NaN	19.28	0.17	18.34	0.11	18.32	0.14	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	Skymapper/LCC)	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57984.76111	2.211	NaN	NaN	19.87	0.21	18.80	0.07	18.3	0.15	18.25	0.3	NaN	NaN	1.58/LCO		17.69	0.04	17.52	0.04	17.61	0.04	Sirius
57984.96892	2.417	19.6	9999	20.19	0.11	19.13	0.17	18.58	0.04	18.33	0.06	17.77	0.03	GROND	2.417	17.73	0.09	17.64	0.08	17.90	0.10	GROND
57985.23125	2.676	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	18.44	0.09	18.31	0.07	18.08	0.11	PS1		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57985.38	2.83	NaN	NaN	20.43	0.16	19.34	0.09	18.62	0.07	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	Skymapper/LCC)	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57985.77639	3.216	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	19.64	0.13	18.80	0.Z	18.42	0.34	NaN	NaN	1.5B/LCO		17.78	0.05	17.57	0.04	17.55	0.05	Sirius
57985.97433	3.412	NaN	NaN	21.13	0.16	19.81	0.02	19.03	0.01	18.74	0.02	18.05	0.03	GROND/DECam	3.413	17.95	0.07	17.72	0.07	17.86	0.10	GROND
57986.23556	3.671	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	17.8	9999	18.10	0.30	17.7	9999	PS1		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57986.71	4.14	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	20.30	0.31	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	LCO		18.13	0.12	17.77	0.04	17.57	0.07	SIRIUS
57986.97426	4.402	NaN	NaN	21.58	0.22	20.53	0.05	19.51	0.04	19.07	0.06	18.35	0.03	GROND	4.403	18.17	0.07	18.02	0.10	17.74	0.11	GROND
57987.98	5.4	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	20.79	0.24	19.55	0.18	19.17	0.11	18.83	0.18	DECcam		NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	
57988.99	6.4	NaN	NaN	22.08	0.52	20.95	0.35	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	19.06	0.31	DECam	6.4	18.74	0.04	NaN	NaN	17.84	0.03	VISTA
57990.00	7.4	NaN	NaN	23.28	0.34	21.23	0.11	20.54	0.05	19.89	0.05	19.44	0.05	DECam	7.383	19.26	0.28	18.74	0.06	18.40	0.12	GROND
57991.00	8.4	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	21.95	0.18	20.72	0.06	20.40	0.06	20.06	0.07	DECam	8.358	19.64	0.11	19.26	0.26	18.86	0.16	GROND
57992.00	9.4	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	22.Z	0.04	21.37	0.06	21.19	0.07	20.78	0.11	DECam/VIMOS	9.350	20.23	0.10	19.66	0.14	19.03	0.20	GROND
57993.00	10.4	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	22.45	0.07	22.38	0.10	22.06	0.13	21.67	0.21	DECam/VIMOS	10.386	21.02	0.22	20.17	0.34	19.50	0.22	GROND
57993.94	11.3	NaN	NaN	24.1	0.Z	23.0	0.Z	22.5	0.Z	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	HST	11.322	NaN	NaN	20.05	0.20	19.64	0.30	NTT/GROND
57994.97	12.31	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN			NaN	NaN	20.57	0.19	19.40	0.14	NTT/GS						
57995.97	13.21	NaN	22.3	0.28	NaN	NaN	VIMOS		NaN	NaN	21.01	0.14	19.67	0.20	GS/NTT							
57996.99	14.32	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	NaN	NaN	23.34	0.37	NoN	NaN	FORS		NaN	NaN	21.63	0.36	20.02	0.13	GS/VISTA
57997.979	15.30	NaN			NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	20.17	0.08	GS/Magellan (average)											
57999.98	17.28	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN			NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	20.77	0.13	HAWKI						
58000.966	18.26	NaN			NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	20.76	0.35	NTT											
58003.969	21.23	NaN			NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN	21.46	0.08	HAWKI											
58007.969	25.19	NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	NoN	NaN			NaN	NaN	NoN	NaN	22.06	0.22	HAWKI						

+0.47d Chile



+0.64d Space - Swift



UV: Swift

Evans et al. 2017

Optical: Interpolated Pan-STARRS/DECam Smartt et al. 2017 Soares-Santos et al. 2017

+0.70d Hawaii



+0.88d Australia



Opt: SkyMapper

Andreoni et al. 2017

+1.05d Space - Swift



+1.22d South Africa



Optical : LCO, 1.5m Boyden NIR: IRSF Arcavi et al, 2017 Smartt et al, 2017

Utomi et al. 2017

+1.43d Chile



Smartt et al. 2017 Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

Smartt et al. 2017

+1.69d Hawaii



+1.82d Australia



+2.21d South Africa



Optical : LCO, 1.5m Boyden Arcavi et al, 2017 Smartt et al, 2017 NIR: IRSF Utomi et al. 2017

+2.42d Chile



Opt: GROND, DECam

NIR: GROND

Smartt et al. 2017 Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

Smartt et al. 2017

+2.68d Hawaii



Optical/NIR: Pan-STARRS

Smartt et al. 2017

+2.83d Australia



Opt: SkyMapper

Andreoni et al. 2017

+3.22d South Africa



+3.41d Chile



Opt: GROND, DECam

NIR: GROND

Smartt et al. 2017 Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

Smartt et al. 2017

+4.14d South Africa



+4.4d Chile



Smartt et al. 2017 Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017 Smartt et al. 2017

+5.4d Chile



Opt: DECam

Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

+6.4d Chile



Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

Tanvir et al. 2017

+7.4d Chile



Opt:DECam

NIR: GROND

Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

Smartt et al. 2017

+8.4d Chile



Opt:DECam

Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017

Smartt et al. 2017

+9.4d Chile



Opt:DECam, VIMOS

Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017 Tanvir et al. 2017 NIR: GROND Smartt et al. 2017

+10.4d Chile



Opt:DECam, VIMOS

Cowperthwaite et al./Soares-Santos et al. 2017 Tanvir et al. 2017 NIR: GROND Smartt et al. 2017

+11.3d Chile + Space (HST)



Opt: HST

Tanvir et al. 2017

NIR: GROND + NTT

Smartt et al. 2017

+13.2d Chile



Opt: VIMOS Tanvir et al. 2017 NIR: NTT and Gemini South Smartt et al. 2017 Chornock et al. 2017

+14.3d Chile



Opt: FORS2 Pian et al. 2017 NIR: VISTA and Gemini South Tanvir et al. 2017, Chornock et al. 2017 Kasliwal et al. 2017 Troja et al. 2017

Unconstrained beyond +15d

- K-band only beyond +15d
- SED unconstrained, can't say if it is compatible with T ≈ 2500 K



L_{bol}: reasonable agreement



Peak luminosity

- "Peak" resolved within first 24hrs
- 0.4 < *t*_{peak} < 0.9 days
- Log $L_{\text{peak}} = 42.0 \pm 0.1$
- $L = 1 + 0.26_{-0.21} \times 10^{42} \text{ ergs/s}$



New - BB integration from all data Smartt et al. Waxman et al

Reasonable criticisms

- Our models are too simple Metzger 2017 "toy model" and Arnett-Jerkstrand semianalytic model
- We do not use the SED/spectral information available when fitting the lightcurve (L_{bol} only)
- We have underestimated K-band at > 10d. Therefore underestimated the contribution to a high opacity component
- We have only integrated our L_{bol} out to 2.5microns, there is clearly (*some*) flux beyond that. Therefore underestimated the contribution to a high opacity component
- The <u>thermalisation function and/or heating rate</u> we apply for radioactive decay particles (leptons) are either wrong or unknown

Arnett-Jerkstrand model

https://star.pst.qub.ac.uk/wiki/doku.php/users/ajerkstrand/



Barnes et al. : thermalisation efficiency



Arnett-Jerkstrand posteriors



Michael Coughlin: https://github.com/mcoughlin



Compare with Recent analysis by Waxman et al. 2017 $M \approx 0.05 M_{\odot}$ $\kappa \approx 0.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ $v(m) = v_M m^{-\alpha}$ for (0.1c < v < 0.3c)

Updated Metzger posteriors



Michael Coughlin: https://github.com/mcoughlin



1-component fits

Data :

- Up to 6-7 days : reproduce all photometric data
- SED is (approximately) black body
- L_{bol} after that uncertain
- No 2nd component *required*

Model :

- Within the uncertainties of powering exponent (beta) and efficiency (Barnes et al.)
- Deposited energy does *not require* 2nd component
- Choices can allow it







Kasen, Metzger, Barnes, Quartet, Ramirez-Ruiz 2017







Kasen et al. vs xshooter +4.5d

- Same model full optical and NIR
- Lacking optical
- Blue component: if thermal would dilute NIR flux



Kasen et al. vs xshooter +4.5d

- Same model full optical and NIR
- Lacking optical
- Blue component: if thermal would dilute NIR flux



Kasen et al. vs xshooter +4.5d

- Same model full optical and NIR
- Lacking optical
- Blue component: if thermal would dilute NIR flux



2-component fits - example at +8.4d



- Reasonable fits at some epochs
- But cool component is not T ~ 2500K (lanthanide recombination)
 - Consistency calculations needed for R, Vcool, Vhot, Tcool, Thot
- Spectra do not appear photospheric after +3-4 days

Xshooter spectra - early



Cs I : resonance doublet Te I 8521, 8943 Angs

Tel: $\log(gf) = 0$

Pian et al. 2017, Smartt et al. 2017



Diffusion phase or optically thin transition



ESO xshooter spectra sequence

- Are all of these optically thick, diffusion phase spectra ?
- Not convincing BBody fits with single Teff beyond about 6 days
- Are we seeing "nebular" phase spectra between 6 to 10.5 days ?



ESO xshooter spectra sequence

- Are all of these optically thick, diffusion phase spectra ?
- Not convincing BBody fits with single Teff beyond about 6 days
- Are we seeing "nebular" phase spectra between 6 to 10.5 days ?



Implications for chemical evolution



Fig. 5. Needed event rates, scaled to an ejecta mass of 0.03 M_{\odot} , if NSNS mergers are to produce all r-process (in solar proportions) *above a min-imum nucleon number* >A (solid black line). Also shown are the estimated rates (90% conf.) for NSNS mergers from the population synthesis study of Kim et al. (2015), the sGRB rates based on SWIFT data from Petrillo et al. (2013) and the LVC estimate based on the first detected NSNS merger event.

Rosswog et al. 2017

Total mass of r-process in Milky Way

$$M_r \sim 17\ 000 M_{\odot} \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_{\rm NSNS}}{500 {\rm Gpc}^{-3}\ {\rm yr}^{-1}} \right) \left(\frac{\bar{m}_{\rm ej}}{0.03 M_{\odot}} \right) \left(\frac{\tau_{\rm gal}}{1.3 \times 10^{10} {\rm yr}} \right)$$

LIGO - Virgo rate of NS-NS mergers

$$R = 1540^{+3200}_{-1220} \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$$

Can account for **all** r-process abundances with AT2017gfo type objects We may have over-production problem !

Conclusions

- *L*_{bol} recalculated : ok up to 10 days, very uncertain beyond
- Two component models already shown to be plausible physically motivated, Kasen et al. models (see Monday talks)
- "Blue component": plausible Cs I and Te I identifications. With κ ~ 0.1
 1.0, ejecta
- Blue component may be the sole dominant component
- Quantitative fits (simple models) to L_{bol} account for all observed luminosity with one component which is lanthanide free, moderate opacity
- Would require spectra to be out of diffusion stage by 4-6 days as the reason why poor BB fits

Fin