Improved Method to extract Nucleon Helicity Distributions using Event Weighting

> J. Pretz RWTH Aachen & FZ Jülich

KITP, Santa Barbara, October 2016

Preproposal for a KITP program Frontiers in Nuclear Physics

Preproposal for a KITP program Frontiers in Nuclear Physics

Experimenters: Hans Ströher (Jülich), Yannis Semertzidis (KAIST), Jörg Pretz (Aachen), Frank Rathmann (Jülich), Mei Bai (BNL/Jülich) [for the topic of EDMs, we allow for experimenters]

We also plan to have a workshop related to topic 3.

Outline

- Deep ineleastic scattering & helicity distributions
- Different methods to determine a polarisation *P* from event rates:

(*P* : polarisation, parton helicity distribution, precession frequency)

Application to quark helicity distributions

Deep Inelastic Scattering & Helicity Distributions

Helicity Distributions



accessible in deep inelastic scattering:

inclusive

$$\ell + N \rightarrow \ell' + X$$
 $F_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_q e_q^2(q(x) + \bar{q}(x))$

polarized inclusive

$$ec{\ell} + ec{N} o \ell' + X$$
 $g_1 = rac{1}{2} \sum_q 1e_q^2(\Delta q(x) + \Delta ar{q}(x))$

polarized semi-inclusive

 $ec{\ell}+ec{N}
ightarrow\ell'+h+X\quad\sum_{q}e_{q}^{2}(\Delta q(x)D_{q}^{h}(z)+\Deltaar{q}(x)D_{ar{q}}^{h}(z))$

Asymmetries

$$A^{h} = \frac{n_{h}^{\uparrow\downarrow} - n_{h}^{\uparrow\uparrow}}{n_{h}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + n_{h}^{\uparrow\uparrow}} \propto \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(\Delta q(x) D_{q}^{h}(z) + \Delta \bar{q}(x) D_{\bar{q}}^{h}(z) \right)}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(q(x) D_{q}^{h}(z) + \bar{q}(x) D_{\bar{q}}^{h}(z) \right)}$$

- n_h^{(↑↓)↑↑} number of hadrons with target and beam polarisation (anti-)parallel
- D^h_q: fragmentation function,
 D^h_q(z)dz = number of hadrons of type h produced from a quark q with energy fraction in [z, z + dz]
- use $D_u^{\pi^+} > D_{\bar{u}}^{\pi^+}$ to distinguish between u and \bar{u}

Input: Asymmetries



+ asymmetries on deuteron target

Asymmetries $\rightarrow \Delta q$'s

Solve:

$$\vec{A} = B \Delta \vec{q}$$

- $\vec{A} = (A_p, A_p^{\pi^+}, A_p^{K^+}, \dots, A_d, \dots, A_d^{K^-})$ • further input: $B(q(x), \int D_q^h(z) dz)$
- $\rightarrow \Delta \vec{q} = (\Delta u, \Delta d, \Delta s, \Delta \bar{u}, \Delta \bar{d}, \Delta \bar{s})$



COMPASS data

Polarisation Measurements

What is the problem?

Consider events distributed according to

$$n^{\pm}(\vartheta) = lpha(\vartheta)(\mathbf{1} \pm eta(artheta) P)$$

Goal: Determine P, given the analyzing power $\beta(\vartheta)$ and the event rates $n^{\pm}(\vartheta)$ in two different polarisation states

 $\alpha(\vartheta)$ contains flux, acceptance factor, generally not well known.

Examples

• $\vec{p}C$ scattering

 $\overline{n(\vartheta, \Phi)^{\pm} = n(\vartheta)} (1 \pm A(\vartheta) \sin(\Phi) P_{\perp})$ ϑ : polar angle, n^{\pm} number of events with proton polarisation up/down

• $\frac{\text{muon decay } (g-2)}{f(t,y) = \frac{n(y)}{\tau} e^{-t/\tau} (1 + A(y) \sin(\omega t))}$

t: time, $y = E_{e^-}/E_{\mu^+}$, ω : g - 2 precession frequency

• helicity distributions Δq , ΔG

$$\overline{n_{\pi^+}^{\uparrow\downarrow(\uparrow\uparrow)}(x,z)} = a\Phi n\sigma \left(1 \pm P_T P_B fD\left(\frac{e_u^2 D_u^{\pi^+}(z)\Delta u(x) + e_d^2 D_d^{\pi^+}\Delta d(x) + \dots}{e_u^2 D_u^{\pi^+}(z)u(x) + e_d^2 D_d^{\pi^+}(z)d(x) + \dots}\right)\right)$$

 $a\Phi n\sigma$: acceptance, flux, target density, unpolarized cross section

 $P_T P_B fD$: target, beam polarisation, dilution factor, depolarisation factor

Several Methods to determine P

Compare:

- Counting rate asymmetry
- 2 Binning
- Weighting
- Maximum Likelihood Method

with respect to their

Figure of Merit (FOM) = $(statistical uncertainty)^{-2}$

1.) Counting rate asymmetry

Count all events in certain ϑ -range:

$$\left\langle \mathbf{N}^{\pm} \right\rangle = \int \mathbf{n}^{\pm}(\vartheta) \mathrm{d}\vartheta = \int \alpha(\vartheta) \mathrm{d}\vartheta \pm \mathbf{P} \int \alpha(\vartheta) \beta(\vartheta) \mathrm{d}\vartheta$$

Observed events are N^{\pm} Consider

$$\left\langle \frac{N^{+} - N^{-}}{N^{+} + N^{-}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \beta \right\rangle P$$
$$\left\langle \beta \right\rangle = \frac{\int \alpha \beta d\vartheta}{\int \alpha d\vartheta} \approx \frac{\sum_{+} \beta(\vartheta_{i}) + \sum_{-} \beta(\vartheta_{i})}{N^{+} + N^{-}}$$
Estimator

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}} = rac{\mathcal{N}^+ - \mathcal{N}^-}{\sum_+ eta(artheta_i) + \sum_- eta(artheta_i)}$$

1.) Counting rate asymmetry: Uncertainty

Statistical uncertainty ($\langle \beta \rangle P \ll 1$):

 $\mathsf{FOM} = \sigma_P^{-2} = \langle \beta \rangle^2 \, \mathsf{N}$

$$N = N^+ + N^-$$
: total number of events

More familiar:

$$\sigma_P = \frac{1}{\langle \beta \rangle \sqrt{N}}$$

Can one do better? Yes!

2.) Bins in ϑ

Consider a bin *j* in ϑ :

 $\mathsf{FOM}_{j} = \left< \beta_{j} \right>^{2} n_{j}$

Note: *P* does not depend on ϑ .

Now combine all bins (uncorrelated events)

$$\mathsf{FOM} = \sum_{j} \mathsf{FOM}_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_{bin}} \left\langle \beta_{j} \right\rangle^{2} n_{j} \stackrel{N_{bin} \to \infty}{=} \sum_{j} n_{j} \beta_{j}^{2} = N \left\langle \beta^{2} \right\rangle$$

Gain in FOM compared to counting rate method: $\frac{\langle \beta^2 \rangle}{\langle \beta \rangle^2} \ge 1$.

(Academic) Example





(Academic) Example

 $FOM(\vartheta_{min} < \vartheta < 0.3)$



2.) Bins in ϑ

With binning FOM can be improved. but: binning is sometimes inconvenient:

- Too few bins \Rightarrow FOM not maximal
- Too many bins \Rightarrow Empty bins

Is there an alternative? Yes!

3.) Event Weighting

Instead of just counting events, assign to every event an (for the moment) arbitrary) weight factor $w(\vartheta_i)$. Consider the following **estimator** for *P*:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{P}} = \frac{\sum_{+} \boldsymbol{w}_{i} - \sum_{-} \boldsymbol{w}_{i}}{\sum_{+} \boldsymbol{w}_{i} \beta_{i} + \sum_{-} \boldsymbol{w}_{i} \beta_{i}}$$

where $w_i = w(\vartheta_i)$ Easy to show: $\langle \hat{P} \rangle = P$ independent of *w* In words: Whatever you choose for *w*, you always get the correct result, **but** with different uncertainties.

3.) Event Weighting: Uncertainty

Simple error propagation leads to

$$\mathsf{FOM}_{w} = N \, \frac{\langle w\beta \rangle^2}{\langle w^2 \rangle}$$

Reminder:
$$\langle \boldsymbol{w}\beta \rangle = \frac{\int \alpha \boldsymbol{w}\beta d\vartheta}{\int \alpha d\vartheta} \approx \frac{\sum \boldsymbol{w}_i\beta_i}{N}$$

Two different weights

$$w = 1$$
, for $\vartheta > \vartheta_{min}$, $w = 0$ else

 $\mathsf{FOM}_{w=1} = N \langle \beta \rangle^2$

 \rightarrow like counting rate asymmetry in one bin

 $\underline{\mathbf{W}=\beta}$

$$\mathsf{FOM}_{w=\beta} = N\left<\beta^2\right>$$

 \rightarrow same as infinite number of bins

Counting rates vs. Weighted events

counting, w = 1Binning, $w = \beta$, MLHFOM $N \langle \beta \rangle^2$ $N \langle \beta^2 \rangle$

Gain in FOM: $\frac{\langle \beta^2 \rangle}{\langle \beta \rangle^2}$

An event with a large analyzing power β tells you more about *P* than an event with lower β . It should thus enter the analysis with more weight.

Can one do better? No!

Connection to Maximum Likelihood Method Maximum likelihood (MLH) method is known to give the best FOM (Cramér-Rao bound) In general

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{i} p(\vartheta_{i}; P), P \text{ follows from } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial P} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad \text{FOM} = -\left\langle \frac{\partial^{2} \ln \mathcal{L}}{\partial P^{2}} \right\rangle$$

In our case event rates *n* instead of probabilities *p*: Extended likelihood method (Fermi) has to be applied

Log-likelihood function:

$$\begin{split} \ell = \log(\mathcal{L}) &= \sum_{+} \ln \left(\alpha_i (1 + \beta_i P) \right) - \langle n^+ \rangle (P) \\ &+ \sum_{-} \ln \left(\alpha_i (1 - \beta_i P) \right) - \langle n^- \rangle (P) \,. \end{split}$$

Connection to Maximum Likelihood Method

FOM =
$$-\left\langle \frac{\partial^2 \ln \mathcal{L}}{\partial P^2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_{+} \frac{\beta_i}{(1+\beta_i P)^2} + \sum_{-} \frac{\beta_i}{(1-\beta_i P)^2} \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{\beta P \ll 1}{=} \left\langle \sum_{+} \beta_i^2 + \sum_{-} \beta_i^2 \right\rangle = N \left\langle \beta^2 \right\rangle$$

FOM of likelihood method is the same as in binning or weighting method

First Summary

- weighting the events with their analyzing power β gives the largest FOM
- · Gain with respect to just counting events is

$$\frac{\mathsf{FOM}_{w=\beta}}{\mathsf{FOM}_{cnt}} = \frac{\left<\beta^2\right>}{\left<\beta\right>^2}$$

Application to Helicity Distributions

Back to helicity distributions

$$\mathbf{A}^{h} = \frac{n_{h}^{\uparrow\downarrow} - n_{h}^{\uparrow\uparrow}}{n_{h}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + n_{h}^{\uparrow\uparrow}} \propto \frac{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(\Delta q(x) D_{q}^{h}(z) + \Delta \bar{q}(x) D_{\bar{q}}^{h}(z) \right)}{\sum_{q} e_{q}^{2} \left(q(x) D_{q}^{h}(z) + \bar{q}(x) D_{\bar{q}}^{h}(z) \right)}$$

To simplify consider a proton at a given Bjorken-*x* with u = 2and d = 1. Consider only event rates of π^+ and π^- (notation: + for π^+ and - for π^-)

$$n_{+}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(z) \propto \alpha^{+}(1+\beta_{u}^{+}(z)\Delta u+\beta_{d}^{+}(z)\Delta d)$$

$$n_{+}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(z) \propto \alpha^{+}(1-\beta_{u}^{+}(z)\Delta u-\beta_{d}^{+}(z)\Delta d)$$

$$n_{-}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(z) \propto \alpha^{-}(1+\beta_{u}^{-}(z)\Delta u+\beta_{d}^{-}(z)\Delta d)$$

$$n_{-}^{\uparrow\uparrow}(z) \propto \alpha^{-}(1-\beta_{u}^{-}(z)\Delta u-\beta_{d}^{-}(z)\Delta d)$$

α 's and β 's

with

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{+} &= \frac{4}{9} u D_{fav} + \frac{1}{9} d D_{unf} , \qquad \alpha^{-} &= \frac{4}{9} u D_{unf} + \frac{1}{9} d D_{fav} , \\ \beta^{+}_{u} &= \frac{4 D_{fav}}{4 u D_{fav} + d D_{unf}} , \qquad \beta^{+}_{d} &= \frac{D_{unf}}{4 u D_{fav} + d D_{unf}} , \\ \beta^{-}_{u} &= \frac{4 D_{unf}}{4 u D_{unf} + d D_{fav}} , \qquad \beta^{-}_{d} &= \frac{D_{fav}}{4 u D_{unf} + d D_{fav}} . \end{aligned}$$

only two different fragmentation function are present:

favored $D_{fav} = D_u^{\pi^+} = D_d^{\pi^-}$ unfavored $D_{unf} = D_u^{\pi^-} = D_d^{\pi^+}$

Fragmentation Functions



Event at large z carries more information on struck quark.

Weight factors

 $\beta_u^+(z), \beta_d^+(z)$

 $\beta_u^-(z), \beta_d^-(z)$





Connection to discussion before

Mathematically:

before:	1 unknown, 2 event rates			
now:	N unknowns, $2 \times M$ event rates to simplify notation:			
	$N = 2 (\Delta u \text{ and } \Delta d)$ and			
	$M = 2 \times 2$ event rates $(n_{+}^{\uparrow\downarrow}, n_{+}^{\uparrow\uparrow}, n_{-}^{\uparrow\downarrow}, n_{-}^{\uparrow\uparrow})$			

Weighted Asymmetries

Consider:

$$oldsymbol{a}_{eta^+_u} := rac{\sum_{\uparrow\downarrow}eta^+_u(z_i) - \sum_{\uparrow\uparrow}eta^+_u(z_i)}{\sum_{\uparrow\downarrow}(eta^+_u(z_i))^2 + \sum_{\uparrow\uparrow}(eta^+_u(z_i))^2}\,,$$

similar expression for $a_{\beta_u^-} a_{\beta_d^+} a_{\beta_d^-}$, i.e. there is one asymmetry per quark flavor and observed hadron. Solve

$$ec{a}=B(eta)\Deltaec{q}\,,\quadec{a}=(a_{eta_u^+},a_{eta_u^-},a_{eta_d^+},a_{eta_d^-})$$

FOM

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{FOM}_{\Delta u} &= \left(N_{+} \left\langle (\beta_{u}^{+})^{2} \right\rangle + N_{-} \left\langle (\beta_{u}^{-})^{2} \right\rangle \right) \left(1 - \rho^{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= -\frac{N_{+} \left\langle \beta_{u}^{+} \beta_{d}^{+} \right\rangle + N_{-} \left\langle \beta_{u}^{-} \beta_{d}^{-} \right\rangle}{\sqrt{\left(N_{+} \left\langle (\beta_{u}^{+})^{2} \right\rangle + N_{-} \left\langle (\beta_{u}^{-})^{2} \right\rangle \right) \left(N_{+} \left\langle (\beta_{d}^{+})^{2} \right\rangle + N_{-} \left\langle (\beta_{d}^{-})^{2} \right\rangle \right)}} \end{aligned}$$

 ρ turns out to be the correlation coefficient $cov(\Delta u, \Delta d)$

$$N_{+} = N_{+}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + N_{+}^{\uparrow\uparrow}, N_{-} = N_{-}^{\uparrow\downarrow} + N_{-}^{\uparrow\uparrow}$$

W

Figure of Merit for Δu and Δd $z_{min} < z < 0.9$



• $FOM_{w=\beta} \ge FOM_{w=1}$

- adding data at low z decreases the FOM for the counting rate asymmetry
- Gain up to 30%

Summary

Method	Counting Rates	MLH	weighting	binning in z
FOM	non-optimal	optimal	optimal	optimal for
				$N_{bin} ightarrow \infty$
drawbacks	see above \uparrow	CPU intensive,		empty bin
		correlation		problem
		(π^+,π^-)		
		hard to implement		

References

- "Comparison of methods to extract an asymmetry parameter from data," JP, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 659 (2011) 456 <u>arXiv:1104.1038</u>
- "Simultaneous Determination of Signal and Background Asymmetries," JP and J. M. Le Goff, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 602 (2009) 594 <u>arXiv:0811.1426</u>



Example: Elastic deuteron carbon scattering at T = 270 MeV



Example



FOM for arbitrary $\langle \beta \rangle P$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{FOM}_{\hat{P}_{LH}} &= N \left\langle \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2 P^2} \right\rangle \\ \mathsf{FOM}_{\hat{P}_{w=\beta}} &= N \frac{\langle \beta^2 \rangle}{1 - P^2 \frac{\langle \beta^4 \rangle}{\langle \beta^2 \rangle}} \\ \mathsf{FOM}_{\hat{P}_{iw}} &= N \frac{\left\langle \frac{\beta^2}{1 - \beta^2 P_0^2} \right\rangle^2}{\left\langle \beta^2 \frac{1 - \beta^2 P^2}{(1 - \beta^2 P_0^2)^2} \right\rangle} \end{aligned}$$

FOM for arbitrary $\langle \beta \rangle P$

