Low-energy Reaction in EFT

Gautam Rupak

Mississippi State University



Frontiers in Nuclear Physics,
UC Santa Barbara, Oct 28, 2016

Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics
University of California, Santa Barbara

Outline

- Background and motivation
- Weakly bound systems at low energy
- Adiabatic projection method - proof of concept
- neutron capture
- proton-proton fusion
- n-d doublet channel, connection to lattice QCD

Motivations

Astrophysics

- Low energy reactions dominate
- Need accurate cross sections but hard to measure experimentally
- Model-independent theoretical calculations important

Theoretical

- Weakly bound systems — opportunities
- First principle calculation

Motivations

Astrophysics

- Low energy reactions dominate
- Need accurate cross sections but hard to measure experimentally
- Model-independent theoretical calculations important

Theoretical

- Weakly bound systems — opportunities
- First principle calculation

Use Effective Field Theory

EFT: THE LONG AND SHORT OF IT

- Identify degrees of freedom

$$\mathcal{L} = c_0\, \mathrm{O}^{(0)} + c_1\, \mathrm{O}^{(1)} + c_2\, \mathrm{O}^{(2)} + \cdots \quad \text{expansion in } \frac{Q}{\Lambda}$$

Hide UV ignorance - short distance

IR explicit - long distance

- Determine c_n from data (elastic, inelastic)
- EFT : ERE + currents + relativity

Not just Ward-Takahashi identity

P. Shanahan's talk on two-body current

EFT: THE LONG AND SHORT OF IT

- Identify degrees of freedom

$$\mathcal{L} = c_0\, \mathrm{O}^{(0)} + c_1\, \mathrm{O}^{(1)} + c_2\, \mathrm{O}^{(2)} + \cdots \quad \text{expansion in } \frac{Q}{\Lambda}$$

Hide UV ignorance
- short distance

IR explicit
- long distance

- Determine c_n from data (elastic, inelastic)

- EFT : ERE + currents + relativity



Not just Ward-Takahashi identity

P. Shanahan's talk on two-body current

EFT: THE LONG AND SHORT OF IT

- Identify degrees of freedom

$$\mathcal{L} = c_0\,\mathrm{O}^{(0)} + c_1\,\mathrm{O}^{(1)} + c_2\,\mathrm{O}^{(2)} + \cdots \quad \text{expansion in } \frac{Q}{\Lambda}$$

Hide UV ignorance
- short distance

IR explicit
- long distance

- Determine c_n from data (elastic, inelastic)

- EFT : ERE + currents + relativity



Not just Ward-Takahashi identity

P. Shanahan's talk on two-body current

Weakly Bound Systems

$$iA(p) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu}\frac{i}{p\cot\delta_0 - ip} = \frac{2\pi}{\mu}\frac{i}{-1/a + \frac{r}{2}p^2 + \cdots - ip}$$

--- Natural case $1/a, 1/r \sim \Lambda \gg p$, expand in small p

--- Large scattering length $a >> 1/\Lambda$

$$iA(p) \approx -\frac{2\pi}{\mu}\frac{i}{1/a + ip}\left[1 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{rp^2}{1/a+ip} + \cdots\right]$$

J. Vanasse's talk

EFT non-perturbative



$$iA(p) = \frac{-i}{\frac{1}{C_0} + i\frac{\mu}{2\pi}p} \Rightarrow C_0 \sim \frac{2\pi a}{\mu}$$

large coupling

$1/a \sim p \sim Q \ll \Lambda$

Weinberg '90
Bedaque, van Kolck '97
Kaplan, Savage, Wise '98

Spin-1/2 Fermions

$$a_{nn} \sim -19 \text{ fm}, \;\; R \sim 1.4 \text{ fm}$$

$$a_{np} \sim -24 \text{ fm}, \;\; R \sim 1.4 \text{ fm}$$

Potassium-40

Regal et. al, Nature 2003



Tuning scattering lengths in lattice QCD with magnetic field

Detmold et. al, PRL 116 (2016)

P-WAVE BOUND STATE

$$i\mathcal{A}(p) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{ip^2}{-\frac{1}{a_V} + \frac{r_1}{2}p^2 + \cdots - ip^3}$$

Shallow states

2 fine tuning Bertulani, Hammer, van Kolck (2002)

$$1/(a_V)^{1/3} \sim p \sim r_1 \sim Q \ll \Lambda$$

1 fine tuning Bedaque, Hammer, van Kolck (2003)

$$1/a_V \sim Q^2\Lambda, \; p \sim Q \ll r_1 \sim \Lambda$$

Either way requires two operators at LO

A low energy example

$^{7}\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{Li}$

- Isospin mirror systems $^{7}\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{Li} \leftrightarrow {}^{7}\mathrm{Be}(p,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{B}$
- Inhomogeneous BBN

Whats the theoretical error?

A low energy example

$^{7}\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{Li}$

- Isospin mirror systems $^{7}\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{Li} \leftrightarrow {}^{7}\mathrm{Be}(p,\gamma)^{8}\mathrm{B}$
- Inhomogeneous BBN

Whats the theoretical error?



A LOW ENERGY EXAMPLE

$^7\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^8\mathrm{Li}$

- Isospin mirror systems $^7\mathrm{Li}(n,\gamma)^8\mathrm{Li} \leftrightarrow {}^7\mathrm{Be}(p,\gamma)^8\mathrm{B}$
- Inhomogeneous BBN

Whats the theoretical error?



Asymptotic normalization

$$\sqrt{Z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+3\gamma/r_1}}$$

A low energy example

$^7\text{Li}(n,\gamma)^8\text{Li}$

- Isospin mirror systems $^7\text{Li}(n,\gamma)^8\text{Li} \leftrightarrow {}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma)^8\text{B}$
- Inhomogeneous BBN

Whats the theoretical error?



Asymptotic normalization

$$\sqrt{Z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+3\gamma/r_1}}$$

Need effective range r1 and binding energy at leading order

A low energy example

$^7\text{Li}(n,\gamma)^8\text{Li}$

- Isospin mirror systems $^7\text{Li}(n,\gamma)^8\text{Li} \leftrightarrow {}^7\text{Be}(p,\gamma)^8\text{B}$
- Inhomogeneous BBN

Whats the theoretical error?



Asymptotic normalization

$$\sqrt{Z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+3\gamma/r_1}}$$

Need effective range r1 and binding energy at leading order

Two EFT operators



Red: Tombrello (1965)

Blue: Davids-Typel (2003)

Black: EFT

Rupak, Higa; PRL 106, 222501 (2011)

Fernando,Higa, Rupak; EPJA 48, 24 (2012)

RADCAP: Bertulani

CDXS+: Typel

Another Example: $\alpha(^3\mathrm{He},\gamma)^7\mathrm{Be}$



LO contribution

Initial state: s-wave $a_0 \sim \Lambda/Q^2,\ r_0 \sim 1/\Lambda$

Final state: shallow p-wave (ground and excited) two operators

Need for low-energy phase shift, especially p-wave



Nollett, PRC 63 (2001)
Neff, PRL 106 (2011)
Dohet-Eraly, PLB 757 (2016)



Nollett, PRC 63 (2001)
Neff, PRL 106 (2011)
Dohet-Eraly, PLB 757 (2016)

TRIUMF

Elastic scattering of $^3\mathrm{He} + \alpha$ with SONIK

Energy range about 500 keV to 3 MeV

Spokespersons: Connolly, Davids, Greife

Reactions in lattice EFT

- Consider: $a(b,\gamma)c$; $a(b,c)d$
- Need effective "cluster" Hamiltonian -- acts in cluster coordinates, spins,etc.
- Calculate reaction with cluster Hamiltonian. Many possibilities --- traditional methods, continuum halo/cluster EFT, lattice method

Adiabatic Projection Method



Initial state $|\vec{R}\rangle$

Evolved state $|\vec{R}\rangle_\tau = e^{-\tau H}|\vec{R}\rangle$

D. Lee's talk

$${}_\tau\langle\vec{R}'|H|\vec{R}\rangle_\tau$$

Energy measurements in cluster basis.
Divide by the norm matrix as these are not orthogonal basis $[N_\tau]_{\vec{R},\vec{R}'} = {}_\tau\langle\vec{R}|\vec{R}'\rangle_\tau$

Microscopic Hamiltonian $L^{3(A-1)}$
Cluster Hamiltonian L^3 ← smaller matrices in practice!!
-- acts on the cluster CM and spins

Nuclear Lattice EFT Collaboration



Evgeny Epelbaum (Bochum)
Hermann Krebs (Bochum)
Timo Lähde (Jülich)
Dean Lee (NCSU)
Thomas Luu (Jülich)
Ulf-G. Meißner (Bonn)

Proof of Concept

- n-d scattering in the quartet channel
  - Low energy EFT is known, only two body
  - Shallow deuteron (large coupling)

only two-body interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_I = -g(\psi_\uparrow^\dagger \psi_\uparrow)(\psi_\downarrow^\dagger \psi_\downarrow)$$

3-body Faddeev

$$T(p) = h(p) + \int dq K(p,q) T(q)$$

$$T(p) = \frac{2\pi}{\mu} \frac{1}{p \cot \delta - ip}$$

Neutron-Deuteron System



- grouping R found efficient, more later

$\sim 30 \times 30$

Neutron-Deuteron Phase Shift



Warm Up $p(n,\gamma)d$



Exact analytic continuum result

$$\mathcal{M}_C(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{p^2+\gamma^2} - \frac{1}{(1/a + ip_\epsilon)(\gamma - ip_\epsilon)}, \quad p_\epsilon = \sqrt{p^2 + iM\epsilon}$$

When $\epsilon \to 0^+$, $\mathcal{M}_C$ reduces to known M1 result

Rupak & Lee, PRL 2013

Lattice $p(n,\gamma)d$

Write $\langle\psi_B|O_{\rm EM}|\psi_i\rangle$ using retarded Green's function

$$\mathcal{M}(\epsilon) = \left(\frac{p^2}{M} - E - i\epsilon\right)\sum_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}} \psi_B^*(\boldsymbol{y})\langle\boldsymbol{y}|\frac{1}{E-\hat{H}_s+i\epsilon}|\boldsymbol{x}\rangle e^{i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}}$$

cluster Hamiltonian goes here

LSZ reduction in QM

Capture Amplitude



continuum results

$$\delta = \epsilon M/p^2$$

Rupak & Lee, PRL 2013

Something still missing ...

long range Coulomb



$$\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha}{p^2}\right) \qquad \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\alpha}{p^2}\frac{\alpha\mu}{p}\right)$$

Proton fusion in continuum EFT



Kong, Ravndal 1999

Coulomb ladder

Peripheral scattering but how to calculate on the lattice?

Consider elastic proton-proton scattering as a warmup

Spherical-wall method



$$\psi_{\text{short}}(r) \propto j_0(kr)\cot\delta_s - n_0(kr),$$
$$\psi_{\text{Coulomb}}(r) \propto F_0(kr)\cot\delta_{sc} + G_0(kr)$$

Adjust from free theory:

$$j_0(k_0 R_w) = 0$$

IR scale setting

Hard spherical wall boundary conditions, Borasoy et al. 2007

Carlson et al. 1984

Even older ?

Coulomb Subtracted Phase Shift



3% error in fits

$$T = T_c + T_{sc}$$

$$T_c \approx \frac{2\pi}{\mu}\frac{e^{2i\sigma}-1}{2ip}$$

$$T \approx \frac{2\pi}{\mu}\frac{e^{2i(\sigma+\delta_{sc})}-1}{2ip}$$

Rupak, Ravi PLB 2014

Proton-proton fusion

3% fitting error propagates

Gamow peak 6 keV



$$\Lambda(p) = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma^2}{8\pi C_\eta^2}} |T_{fi}(p)|$$

$\Lambda_{EFT}(0) \approx 2.51$ Kong, Ravndal 1999

Lattice fit : $\Lambda(0) \approx 2.49 \pm 0.02$ Rupak, Ravi PLB 2014

More on adiabatic Projection



binning used earlier



Toy model, two-body

Elhatisari, Lee, Meißner, Rupak, EPJA 25 (2016)

Two-cluster simulation





Matching: 15 to 82

Better lattice results than before



Fermion-dimer

ALPHA-ALHPA SCATTERING

We have calculated alpha-alpha scattering up to NNLO using the adiabatic projection method.

s- and d-wave phase shift were calculated using the spherical wall method in the presence of long-range Coulomb force.

Elhatisari, Lee, Rupak, Epelbaum, Krebs, Lähde, Luu, Meißner, Nature 528, 111 (2015)

D. Lee's talk

Efimov Physics at unphysical pion mass ?

N-D DOUBLET CHANNEL



ERE form van Oers & Seagrave (1967)

-what EFT for modified ERE

Virtual state at 0.5 MeV Girard & Fuda (1979)

- Efimov physics

Efimov plot



Higa, Rupak, Vaghani, van Kolck

Virtual State





Shallow virtual to bound state

Virtual State





Shallow virtual to bound state

Virtual State





Shallow virtual to bound state

lattice QCD with B field, even with heavy pions?

Phillips-Girard-Fuda



3-body correlation

Adhikari-Torreao



Conclusions and Outlook

- Halo/cluster EFT
- Adiabatic Projection Method to derive effective two-body Hamiltonian in lattice EFT
- Reaction calculations with or without Coulomb
- Efimov physics from lattice QCD?

Thank you