Making and Probing Inflation and Preheating

Non-equilibrium phenomena in the early universe

Making Inflation in QFT and String Theory

Preheating after QFT and String Theory Inflation

Observables from Preheating

Lev Kofman



2008

KITP Santa Barbara February

Concise History of the Early Universe



Fig. 1. Left: composition of the expanding universe is changing with time as it cools down. Right: reciprocal universe it terms of physical momenta of particles expands backwards in time to open particle physics at higher and higher temperatures. Icons illustrate physics at different energies.

Early Universe Inflation





Realization of Inflation

Scalar field $p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V$ $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V$

slow roll $\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$

Generation of Cosmological Fluctuations

Light field at inflation

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} \ddot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\hat{d}}^{3} \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{a}_{k} \ddot{\mathbf{y}}_{k}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{h:c:})$$
$$\ddot{\chi}_{k} + 3H\dot{\chi}_{k} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}\chi_{k} = 0$$





Scalar metric Fluctuations $ds^2 = -(1 - 2\Phi)dt^2 + (1 + 2\Phi)a^2d\vec{x}^2$

Scalar field fluctuations $\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \phi(t) + \delta \phi(t, \vec{x})$

$$\delta R^{\mu}_{\nu} = \frac{8\pi}{M_p^2} \delta T^{\mu}_{\nu}$$

$$u=a\delta\phi$$
, $z=rac{a\dot{\phi}}{H}$, $\eta=\int dt/a$

$$u_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right)u_k = 0$$

spectrum
$$P_s(k) = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} |\frac{u_k}{z}|^2 \sim \frac{V^3}{M_p^6 V_{,\phi}^2}$$

 $k = aH$

$$\Phi_k = \frac{16}{15} \frac{(3\pi V)^{3/2}}{M_p^3 V_{,\phi}} |_{\phi=\phi(t_k)} \cdot \frac{m^2}{2} \phi^2$$

$$\phi(t) \approx \phi_0 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}mt$$
, $a(t) \approx a_0 \exp\left[\frac{2\pi}{M_p^2}(\phi_0^2 - \phi(t)^2)\right]$

$$\begin{split} \Phi_k &\approx 0.4 \frac{m}{M_p} \frac{\log k}{k^{3/2}} \\ & V(\phi) \sim \phi^n \\ & k^3 |\Phi_k|^2 = A_s \left(\ln \frac{k_0}{k} \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \end{split}$$

$$\left(\ln \frac{k_0}{k}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} = \left(\ln \frac{k_*}{k} + \ln \frac{k_0}{k_*}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \approx N^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{n+2}{2N} \ln \frac{k_*}{k}\right)$$

number of efolding $N = \ln \frac{k_0}{k_*}$

often
$$P_s(k) = A_s \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_s - 1}$$

 $\left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{(n_s - 1)} = e^{(n_s - 1) \ln \frac{k}{k_*}} \approx 1 + (n_s - 1) \ln \frac{k}{k_*}$



Logarithmic running

$$k^{3}|\Phi_{k}|^{2} = A_{s} \left(\ln\frac{k_{0}}{k}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}},$$

$$\log k$$

Logarithmic running

$$k^{3}|\Phi_{k}|^{2} = A_{s} \left(\ln \frac{k_{0}}{k}\right)^{\frac{n+2}{2}},$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 60 & \frac{\alpha_{1}^{*}(\mu)}{M_{suny} = M_{g}} \\ 40 & \frac{\alpha_{g}^{*}(\mu)}{M_{suny} = M_{g}} \\ 20 & \frac{\alpha_{g}^{*}(\mu)}{M_{suny} = M_{g}} \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha_{g}^{*}(\mu)}{M_{suny} = M_{g}} \\ 0 & \frac{\alpha_{g}^{*}(\mu)}{M_{suny} = M_{g}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Tensor metric Fluctuations $ds^2 = -dt^2 + (\eta_{ij} + h_{ij})a^2dx^i dx^j$ $h^i_i = 0, h^i_{j;i} = 0, i, j = 1, 2, 3.$

 $\delta R^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$

$$h_k'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{a''}{a}\right)h_k = 0$$

Spectrum $P_t(k) \simeq \frac{H^2}{M_p^2} \sim \frac{V}{M_p^4}$

often
$$P_t(k) = A_t \left(\frac{k}{k_*}\right)^{n_t}$$
 $r = A_t/A_s$



WMAP3 sees 3rd pk, B03 sees 4th







January 2008

WMAP+ACBAR+CBI Spectra





Early Universe Inflation





Realization of Inflation

Scalar field $p = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 - V$ $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + V$

slow roll
$$\dot{\phi}^2 \ll V$$



Inflation in the context of ever changing fundamental theory







Fig. 8. Phase portrait for the theory $V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + V_0$ for $V_0 < 0$. The branches describing stages of expansion and contraction (upper and lower parts of the hyperboloid) are connected by a throat

Inflation in String Theory: Cosmology with Compactification



Inflation in String Theory: Cosmology with Compactification



String Theory inflation models

Modular Inflation. They use Kahler moduli/axion like the fields that are a present in the KKLT stabilization.

Brane inflation. The inflaton field corresponds to the distance between branes in Calabi-Yau space. Historically, this was the first class of string inflation models.

Inflation with branes in String Theory



Inflation with branes in String Theory



Kahler moduli Inflation (Conlon&Quevedo hep-th/050912) Roulette Inflation-Kahler moduli/axion (Bond, LK, Prokushkin&Vandrevange hep-th/0612197)



Figure 1: Schematic illustration of the ingredients in Kähler moduli inflation. The four-cycles of the CY are the Kähler moduli T_i which govern the sizes of different holes in the manifold. We assume T_3 and the overall scale T_1 are already stabilized, while the last modulus to stabilize, T_2 , drives inflation while settling down to its minimum. The imaginary parts of T_i have to be left to the imagination. The outer 3 + 1 observable dimensions are also not shown.

$$V(\phi, \bar{\phi}) = e^{\mathcal{K}/M_P^2} \left(\mathcal{K}^{i\bar{j}} D_i \hat{W} D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W} - \frac{3}{M_P^2} \hat{W} \bar{W} \right) + \text{ D-terms}$$

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}}{M_P^2} = -2 \ln \left(\mathcal{V} + \frac{\xi}{2} \right) + \ln g_s + \mathcal{K}_{cs}$$

$$\hat{W} = \frac{g_s^2 M_P^3}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \left(W_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{h^{1,1}} A_i e^{-a_i T_i} \right), \quad W_0 = \frac{1}{l_s^2} \int_M G_3 \wedge \Omega$$

$$\overline{T_i} = \tau_i + i\theta_i$$

$$V(T_{1},...,T_{n}) = \frac{12W_{0}^{2}\xi}{(4\mathcal{V}-\xi)(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)^{2}} + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{12e^{-2a_{i}\tau_{i}}\xi A_{i}^{2}}{(4\mathcal{V}-\xi)(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)^{2}} + \frac{16(a_{i}A_{i})^{2}\sqrt{\tau_{i}}e^{-2a_{i}\tau_{i}}}{3a\lambda_{2}(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)}$$
(18)
+ $\frac{32e^{-2a_{i}\tau_{i}}a_{i}A_{i}^{2}\tau_{i}(1+a_{i}\tau_{i})}{(4\mathcal{V}-\xi)(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)} + \frac{8W_{0}A_{i}e^{-a_{i}\tau_{i}}\cos(a_{i}\theta_{i})}{(4\mathcal{V}-\xi)(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)} \left(\frac{3\xi}{(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)}+4a_{i}\tau_{i}\right)$
+ $\sum_{\substack{i,j=2\\i< j}}^{n} \frac{A_{i}A_{j}\cos(a_{i}\theta_{i}-a_{j}\theta_{j})}{(4\mathcal{V}-\xi)(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)^{2}}e^{-(a_{i}\tau_{i}+a_{j}\tau_{j})} [32(2\mathcal{V}+\xi)(a_{i}\tau_{i}+a_{j}\tau_{j}+2a_{i}a_{j}\tau_{i}\tau_{j})+24\xi] + V_{\text{uplift}} .$

String theory landscape of the Kahler moduli/axion Inflation





Lessons:

Multiple fields Inflation

Ensemble of acceleration histories (trajectories) for the same underlying theory

Prior probabilities of trajectories P(H(t))

Small amplitude of gravity waves r from inflation $\ r \sim 10^{-10}$



 $r \leq 0.01(4/n) \left(\frac{60}{N}\right)^2$

n = MK

Measurement of GW from CMB anisotropy polarization



Particlegenesis



Output of Preheating

- Reheat temperature T_R
- Out-of-equilibrium state
- Evolution of EoS
- Number of efolds $N = 62 - \ln \frac{10^{16} Gev}{V_h^{1/4}} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{V_h}{V_{end}} - \frac{1}{12} \ln \frac{V_{end}}{\rho_{rad}}$
- Potential observables
Large field models

$$V = \phi^{\alpha}$$
 $n-1 = -\frac{2+\alpha}{2N}$ $r = \frac{4\alpha}{N}$







$$X_{k}^{j}(t) = \frac{\alpha_{k}^{j}}{\sqrt{2\omega}} e^{-i\int_{0}^{t}\omega dt} + \frac{\beta_{k}^{j}}{\sqrt{2\omega}} e^{+i\int_{0}^{t}\omega dt} \qquad X_{k}^{j+1}(t) = \frac{\alpha_{k}^{j+1}}{\sqrt{2\omega}} e^{-i\int_{0}^{t}\omega dt} + \frac{\beta_{k}^{j+1}}{\sqrt{2\omega}} e^{+i\int_{0}^{t}\omega dt}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k^{j+1} e^{-i\theta_k^j} \\ \beta_k^{j+1} e^{+i\theta_k^j} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{D_k} & \frac{R_k^*}{D_k^*} \\ \frac{R_k}{D_k} & \frac{1}{D_k^*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k^j e^{-i\theta_k^j} \\ \beta_k^j e^{+i\theta_k^j} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\frac{d^2 X_k}{d\tau^2} + \left(\kappa^2 + \tau^2\right) X_k = 0$$

$$\kappa^2 = \frac{k^2}{gm\phi_0}$$

Method od successive scatterings

$$n_k^{j+1} = e^{-\pi\kappa^2} + \left(1 + 2e^{-\pi\kappa^2}\right) n_k^j - 2e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\kappa^2} \sqrt{1 + e^{-\pi\kappa^2}} \sqrt{n_k^j (1 + n_k^j)} \sin\theta_{tot}^j$$

$$n_{k}^{j+1} \approx \left(1 + 2e^{-\pi\kappa^{2}} - 2\sin\theta_{tot}^{j} \ e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}\kappa^{2}} \ \sqrt{1 + e^{-\pi\kappa^{2}}}\right) n_{k}^{j}$$
$$n_{j+1} \approx e^{4\pi j\mu_{k}} = e^{2\mu_{k}mt}$$

Resonant Preheating in Chaotic Inflation

 $g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2$



500 t

k



FIG. 1: Evolution of spectra in the combination $k^2 \omega_k n_k$ of the ϕ and χ fields during and immediately after preheating. Bluer plots show later spectra. Horizontal axis k is in units of m

FIG. 2 Evolution of comoving number density of ϕ (red, lower plot) and χ (blue, upper plot) in units of $mass^3$. Time is in units of 1/m

FIG. 3: Evolution of the ratio $(f^2)^2/(f^4)$, where f represents the ϕ field (red, solid) or the χ field (blue, dashed) and angle brackets represent a spatial average, is a measure of gaussianity. This ratio is one for a random gaussian field. Time is in units 1/m

$$\ddot{\phi} - \nabla^2 \phi + g^2 \chi^2 \phi = 0$$
 hep-ph/
$$\ddot{\chi} - \nabla^2 \chi + g^2 \phi^2 \chi = 0$$

Felder, LK nep-ph/0606256

movie

movie

t=107

t=119

t=124

t=128

χ

χ

A. Frolov 07

Evolutionof energy density

Evolution of gravitational potential

Tachyonic Preheating in Hybrid Inflation

$$V(\phi, \sigma) = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma^2 - v^2)^2 + \frac{g^2}{2} \phi^2 \sigma^2$$

FIG. 10. Deviations from Gaussianity for the field ϕ as a function of time. The solid, red line shows $3\langle\delta\phi^2\rangle^2/\langle\delta\phi^4\rangle$ and the dashed, blue line shows $3\langle\delta\dot{\phi}^2\rangle^2/\langle\delta\dot{\phi}^4\rangle$.

1. In many, if not all viable models of inflation there exists a mechanism for exponentially amplifying fluctuations of at least one field χ . These mechanisms tend to excite long-wavelength excitations, giving rise to a highly infrared spectrum.

2. Exciting one field χ is sufficient to rapidly drag all other light fields with which χ interacts into a similarly excited state.

3. The excited fields will be grouped into subsets with identical characteristics (spectra, occupation numbers, effective temperatures) depending on the coupling strengths.

> 4. Once the fields are amplified, they will approach thermal equilibrium by scattering energy into higher momentum modes.

5. There is a stage of turbulence before thermalization. EoS very rapidly evolves towards radiation dominaton before thermalization.

Three-linear interaction

In expanding universe complete inflaton decay requires 3-legs interactions

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2}\phi^2 + \frac{\sigma}{2}\phi\chi^2 + \frac{g^2}{2}\phi^2\chi^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4}\chi^4 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad W = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{2}}\phi^2 + \frac{g}{2\sqrt{2}}\phi\chi^2$$
$$\lambda = g^2/2 \text{ and } \sigma = gm$$

$$\chi_k'' + (A_k - 2q\cos 2z)\chi_k = 0$$

$$mt = 2z - \frac{\pi}{2}, A_k = \frac{4k^2}{m^2}$$
 and $q = \frac{2\sigma\Phi}{m^2}$

$A_k \geq 2q$ Broad Parametric Resonance

 $0 < A_k < 2q$ Tachyonic Resonance

Above the barrier

$$\chi_k^j(t) = \frac{\alpha_k^j}{\sqrt{2\omega_k(t)}} \exp\left(-i\int_{t_0}^t \omega_k(t')dt'\right) + \frac{\beta_k^j}{\sqrt{2\omega_k(t)}} \exp\left(i\int_{t_0}^t \omega_k(t')dt'\right)$$

Below the barrier

$$\chi_k(t) \simeq \frac{a_k^j}{\sqrt{2\Omega_k(t)}} \, \exp\left(-\int_{t_{kj}^-}^t \Omega_k(t')dt'\right) + \frac{b_k^j}{\sqrt{2\Omega_k(t)}} \, \exp\left(\int_{t_{kj}^-}^t \Omega_k(t')dt'\right)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k^{j+1} \\ \beta_k^{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = e^{X_k^j} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & i e^{2i\theta_k^j} \\ -i e^{-2i\theta_k^j} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k^j \\ \beta_k^j \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_k^j = \int_{t_{kj}^-}^{t_{kj}^+} \Omega_k(t') \, dt'$$

$$\int_{-20}^{\log[n_k 4]} \int_{5}^{0} \int_{10}^{15} \int_{20}^{20} \int_{30}^{20} \int_{30}^{k} \int_{10}^{k} \int_{10}^{k$$

$$n_k^j = |\beta_k^j|^2 = \exp(2jX_k) \ (2 \ \cos\Theta_k)^{2(j-1)}$$

$$X_k \simeq -\frac{x}{\sqrt{q}} A_k + 2x\sqrt{q} \qquad x \simeq 0.85.$$

Three- vs four-legs

$$q_3 = \frac{\sigma \Phi}{m^2}$$
 and $q_4 = \frac{g^2 \Phi^2}{m^2}$

Four-legs dominates at preheating

$$\Phi \sim rac{1}{a^{3/2}}$$

Three-legs dominates after preheating

Light field at inflation

$$\hat{\mathbf{i}} \ddot{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{\hat{d}}^{3} \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{a}_{k} \ddot{\mathbf{y}}_{k}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{e}^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{h:c:})$$
$$\ddot{\chi}_{k} + 3H\dot{\chi}_{k} + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}\chi_{k} = 0$$

Generation of gravitational waves from random media

$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -dt^2 + a(t)^2 \left(\delta_{ij} + h_{ij} \right) dx^i dx^j \\ h^i_i &= 0, \, h^i_{j;i} = 0, \, i, j = 1, 2, 3. \end{split}$$

Stochastic background of gravitational waves emitted from preheating after inflation

Khlebnikov, Tkachev, PRD56(1997)653 Easther and Lim, astro-ph/0601617 Felder and LK, hep-ph/0606256 Easther, Giblin and Lim, astro-ph/0612294 Garcia-Bellido and Figueroa, astro-ph/0701014 Dufaux, LK et al astro-ph/0707:0875 Garcia-Bellido, Figueroa, astro-ph/0707:0839 For isolated sources

$$\Box h_{ij} = \frac{8\pi}{M_p^2} T_{ij}^{TT}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE}{d\Omega} &= 2G\Lambda_{ij,lm}\omega^2 T^{ij*}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega)T^{lm}(\vec{\mathbf{k}},\omega)d\omega \\ \uparrow & \uparrow \\ T_{ij}(\mathbf{k},\omega) &= \int \frac{d\tau}{2\pi} e^{i\omega\tau} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \, e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \, T_{ij}(\tau,\mathbf{x}) \\ \Lambda_{ij,lm}(\hat{k}) &= \delta_{ij}\delta_{lm} - 2\hat{k}_j\hat{k}_m\delta_{il} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j\hat{k}_l\hat{k}_m \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\delta_{lm} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ij}\hat{k}_l\hat{k}_m + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jl}\hat{k}_i\hat{k}_m \end{aligned}$$

Emission of stochastic GW by random media

Theory and Numerics of Gravitational Waves from Preheating after Inflation. Jean-François Dufaux¹, Amanda Bergman², Gary Felder², Lev Kofman¹ and Jean-Philippe Uzan³ astro-ph:0707.0875

$$h_{ij}'' + 2\frac{a'}{a}h_{ij}' - \nabla^2 h_{ij} = 16\pi G a^2 \Pi_{ij}^{\rm TT}$$

$$\bar{h}_{ij} = a h_{ij}$$
$$\bar{h}_{ij}^{\prime\prime}(\mathbf{k}) + \left(k^2 - \frac{a^{\prime\prime}}{a}\right) \bar{h}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = 16\pi G a^3 \Pi_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\mathbf{k})$$
$$\Pi_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{O}_{ij,lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \Pi_{lm}(\mathbf{k}) = \left[P_{il}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) P_{jm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) - \frac{1}{2} P_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) P_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}})\right] \Pi_{lm}(\mathbf{k})$$

$$P_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) = \delta_{ij} - k_i \, k_j$$

Emission of stochastic GW by random scalar fields

$$\begin{split} \bar{h}_{ij}''(\tau, \mathbf{k}) &+ k^2 \, \bar{h}_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) = 16\pi G \, a(\tau) \, T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) \\ \bar{h}_{ij}(\tau, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{16\pi G}{k} \, \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau} d\tau' \, \sin\left[k \left(\tau - \tau'\right)\right] \, a(\tau') \, T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\tau', \mathbf{k}) \\ T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\mathbf{k}) &= \mathcal{O}_{ij,lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \, \left\{\partial_l \phi_a \, \partial_m \phi_a\right\}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{O}_{ij,lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \, \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} p_l \, p_m \, \phi_a(\mathbf{p}) \, \phi_a(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \end{split}$$

First order phase transitions Second order phase transitions Topological defects formation Thermal bath of scalars Tachyonic preheating Resonant preheating No-go Theorem: No Gravity Waves from Scalar Field Waves

FIG. 1: Would be emission of a graviton h_{ij} with momentum k from the annihilation of two scalar waves $\phi(\mathbf{p})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})$ with momenta \mathbf{p} and $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}$. Helicity 2 of the emitted graviton cannot match the helicity zero of the incoming scalar waves.

No-go Theorem: No Gravity Waves from Scalar Field Waves

FIG. 1: Would be emission of a graviton h_{ij} with momentum k from the annihilation of two scalar waves $\phi(\mathbf{p})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})$ with momenta \mathbf{p} and $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}$. Helicity 2 of the emitted graviton cannot match the helicity zero of the incoming scalar waves.

No-go Theorem: No Gravity Waves from Scalar Field Waves

FIG. 1: Would be emission of a graviton h_{ij} with momentum k from the annihilation of two scalar waves $\phi(\mathbf{p})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})$ with momenta \mathbf{p} and $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}$. Helicity 2 of the emitted graviton cannot match the helicity zero of the incoming scalar waves.

$$\label{eq:rhogw} \rho_{\rm gw} = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \left< \dot{h}_{ij}(t,{\bf x}) \, \dot{h}_{ij}(t,{\bf x}) \right>$$

$$\frac{(16\pi G)^2}{2} \sum_{i,j} \left\{ \left| \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau' \cos\left[k\left(\tau_f - \tau'\right)\right] \, a(\tau') \, T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\tau',\mathbf{k}) \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau' \sin\left[k\left(\tau_f - \tau'\right)\right] \, a(\tau') \, T_{ij}^{\mathrm{TT}}(\tau',\mathbf{k}) \right|^2 \right\}$$

Random gaussian fields

$$\langle T_{ij}^{\rm TT}(\tau',\mathbf{k}) \, T_{ij}^{\rm TT*}(\tau'',\mathbf{k}') \rangle = \\ \mathcal{O}_{ij,lm}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}) \, \mathcal{O}_{ij,rs}(\hat{\mathbf{k}'}) \, \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \, p_l \, p_m \, p'_r \, p'_s \, \langle \phi_a(\mathbf{p},\tau') \, \phi_a(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},\tau') \, \phi_b^*(\mathbf{p}',\tau'') \, \phi_b^*(\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{p}',\tau'') \rangle$$

$$\left(\frac{d\rho_{\rm gw}}{d\ln k}\right)_{\tau > \tau_f} = \frac{S_k(\tau_f)}{a^4(\tau)}$$

 $S_{k}(\tau_{f}) = \frac{2}{\pi} G k^{3} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{4} \sin^{4}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} d\tau' \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} d\tau'' \cos\left[k(\tau' - \tau'')\right] a(\tau') a(\tau'') F_{ab}(p, \tau', \tau'') F_{ab}(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|, \tau', \tau'')$

 $\langle \phi_a(\mathbf{p}, \tau') \phi_b^*(\mathbf{p}', \tau'') \rangle = F_{ab}(p, \tau', \tau'') \, \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$

Emission of GW from preheating

$$\Omega_{\rm gw} h^2 = 7.8 \times 10^{-5} S_k(\tau_f) \frac{a_j^{-4}}{M_{\rm Pl}^2 H_j^2}$$

$$\frac{2}{\pi} G k^3 \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} p^4 \sin^4(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}})$$

$$\left\{ \left| \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau \, \cos\left(k\tau\right) \, a(\tau) \, \chi_p(\tau) \, \chi_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|}(\tau) \right|^2 + \left| \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} d\tau \, \sin\left(k\tau\right) \, a(\tau) \, \chi_p(\tau) \, \chi_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|}(\tau) \right|^2 \right\}$$

Numerical calculations of GW emission from Preheating



FIG. 3: Spectrum of energy density in gravity waves calculated along nine different directions in k-space. The

$$V = \frac{\lambda}{4} \phi^4 + \frac{g^2}{2} \phi^2 \chi^2 \qquad \qquad q = \frac{g^2}{\lambda}$$



FIG. 1: Spectrum of gravity waves energy density in physical variables today, accumulated up to the time $x_f = 240$, for the model (48) with q = 120. The 2 spectra were obtained from simulations with different box sizes, and averaged over different directions in k-space.

FIG. 2: The thick curve shows the total energy density in gravity waves (53) accumulated up to the time x_f , as a function of x_f . The thin curve shows the evolution with time of the total number density, $n_{\text{tot}} = n_{\chi} + n_{\phi}$, rescaled to fit on the same figure.



FIG. 3: Spectrum (55) of the gravity waves energy density, accumulated up to different times x_f , as a function of the comoving momentum k (in units of $\lambda \phi_0$). The spectra grow from $x_f = 90$ to $x_f = 240$ with spacing $\Delta x_f = 10$.



FIG. 4: Measure of the (unnormalised) total energy density in the two scalar fields per logarithmic momentum interval at different moments of time. The same times as in Fig. (3) are shown, the spectra moving towards UV from x = 90 to x = 240 with spacing $\Delta x = 10$.



Numerical calculations of GW emission from Preheating

$$\hat{\chi}(\tau, \mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left(\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}} \chi_k(\tau) e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} + \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \chi_k^*(\tau) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \right)$$

$$S_{k}(\tau_{f}) = \frac{2}{\pi} G k^{3} \int \frac{d^{3}\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^{3}} p^{4} \sin^{4}(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \\ \left\{ \left| \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} d\tau \cos\left(k\tau\right) a(\tau) \chi_{p}(\tau) \chi_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|}(\tau) \right|^{2} + \left| \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} d\tau \sin\left(k\tau\right) a(\tau) \chi_{p}(\tau) \chi_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|}(\tau) \right|^{2} \right\}$$





Shortcut to the answer

Felder, LK hep-ph/0606256

estimation

$$\Omega_{GW} \sim 10^{-6} (RH)^2$$

size of structures R vs Hubble radius 1/H

$$f \sim \frac{1}{RH} \frac{M}{10^{15} Gev} \, 10^8 \,\, {\rm Hz}$$



topological effects after hybrid inflation (unstable) formation of defects results in GW emission







The story of stochastic gravitational waves is CMB anisotropies of 21 century

GW from high energy inflation are targeted by CMB B-mode polarization experiments

GW from low-energy inflation are targeted by GW astronomy

Reheating after String Theory Inflation

Barnaby, Burgess, Cline, hep-th/0412095

LK, Yi, hep-th/0507257

Frey, Mazumdar, Myers, hep-th/0508139

Chialva, Shiu, Underwood, hep-th/0508229

Chen, Tye, hep-th/05120000; 0602136

Dufaux, LK, Peloso 08

Realization of String Theory Hybrid Inflation



End point of inflation



Open strings ×~×

between branes are unstable

Cascading Energy from Inflaton to Radiation



Figure 2: Identifying the channels of D-brane decay



KK story



$$h_{AB}(x,y) = \sum_m h^{(m)}(x) f_m(y)$$

m= 0: usual 4 dim gravitons $\Omega_{GW} \simeq e^{-2A}$

other m: modes $m_{KK} \simeq e^{-A}/R$

KK particles are thermalized first SM particles are thermalized much later



KK from M with isometries are stable

No complete decay

KK particles freeze out



Fluctuations in Cosmology with Compactification





metric

Т

$$G = H^{-1/2}(y)g_{\mu\nu}dx^{\mu}dx^{\nu} + h_{IJ}dy^{I}dy^{J}$$

$$h \equiv H^{1/2}\mathcal{G}$$

wave equation for KK mode

$$\begin{split} & \left[\frac{H(y)}{\sqrt{h}} \partial_{I} h^{IJ} \frac{\sqrt{h}}{H(y)} \partial_{J} + H^{1/2}(y) \nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu}\right] \Phi = 0 \\ & \\ \text{Throat geometry} \\ & H \simeq e^{4y}, \qquad h = R^{2} \left(dy^{2} + ds^{2}_{T_{1,1}} \right) \\ & \left[e^{4y} \partial_{y} e^{-4y} \partial_{y} + m^{2}_{KK} R^{2} e^{2y} - L^{2} \right] \Phi_{m_{KK};L} = 0 \\ & \qquad \nu^{2} = 4 + L^{2} \\ \text{"big" CY} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} H(y) &\sim H_0, \\ & \left[\partial_y^2 + m_{KK}^2 R^2 H_0^{1/2} - L^2 \right] \Phi_{m_{KK};L} = 0 \qquad \Phi_{m_{KK};L} \sim e^{\pm L_2} \end{split}$$

KK modes interactions

$$S_1 = \int d^D x \,\sqrt{\hat{g}} \,\sqrt{-g} \,e^{2A} \,R^{(4)}[g]$$

$$S_{2} = \int d^{D}x \sqrt{\hat{g}} \sqrt{-g} e^{4A} \left[\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\lambda\rho} \left(\partial_{c}g_{\mu\rho} \partial^{c}g_{\nu\lambda} - \partial_{c}g_{\lambda\rho} \partial^{c}g_{\mu\nu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial^{c}g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{c}g_{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \hat{\nabla}_{c} \hat{\nabla}^{c}g_{\mu\nu} - \partial^{c}A \left(6g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{c}g_{\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu} \partial_{c}g^{\mu\nu} \right) - 2\hat{\nabla}_{c} \hat{\nabla}^{c}A - 8 \partial_{c}A \partial^{c}A \right]$$

the spin 2 perturbations $h_{\mu\nu}$

Inflationary throat $e^{-A} \sim 10^{-4}$

 $\Omega_{KKst}h^2 \gg 1$

Standarad Model throat $e^{-A} \sim 10^{-16}$ $\Omega_{KKst}h^2 \sim 10^{-5}$, 0.1 and 10^3 for $R/\sqrt{\alpha'} = 5$, 10 and 20



Resolution?

Attachment of KS throat to a compact CY Induces symmetry breaking perturbations.

Tip of KS throat is a particular case of Sasaki-Einstein manifolds. There are asymmetric SE manifolds, but no examples of asymmetric throats

Impact of isometry breaking perturbation on KK modes decay

Dufaux, LK, Peloso 08 $AdS_5\times S^5$ $ds^2=e^{-2y/R}\,\eta_{\mu\nu}\,dx^\mu dx^\nu+dy^2+R^2\,f_{ij}(\Omega)\,d\theta^i\,d\theta^j$

$$ds^{2} = e^{-2y/R} \left[1 + \epsilon(y) w(\Omega)\right] \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + dy^{2} + R^{2} \left[f_{ij}(\Omega) + \epsilon(y) \delta f_{ij}(\Omega)\right] d\theta^{i} d\theta$$

O. Aharony, Y. E. Antebi and M. Berkooz, "Open string moduli in KKLT compactifications," (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0508080].

$$\epsilon(y) = e^{-\alpha y/R}$$
 $\alpha = \sqrt{28} - 4 = 1.29$







FIG. 10: Final exclusion region for the parameter in the long throat. The three lines correspond to three reference value of $V_6^{1/6}/R$. For each case, values of the parameters on the right of the corresponding curve conflict with the phenomenological limits shown in fig. 9. The highest values of α shown result in KK_± particles with a much longer lifetime than the age of the universe. In this case, the only relevant bound is that the energy density of the KK_± particles does not exceed the one of dark matter in our universe.

Non-equilibrium early universe

EW Phase Transition GW generation from the bubble collisions and turbulence

- **Dark Matter freeze-out** Close to the 250 MeV phase transition, QGP is involved
- Inflation Scalar field condensate+fluctuation are out-of-equilibrium
- **Preheating after Inflation** Creation of particles, Inflaton fragmentation and thermalization