Tutorial on TDDFT: Part 3



E. K. U. GROSS Freie Universität Berlin

www: http://users.physik.fu-berlin.de/~ag-gross

Reduced Density Matrix Functional Theory

One-body reduced density matrix (1-RDM)

• for integer particle number N:

$$\gamma (\vec{r}, \vec{r}') = N \cdot \int \cdots \int \Psi^* (\vec{r}, \vec{x}_2, \dots \vec{x}_N) \Psi (\vec{r}', \vec{x}_2, \dots \vec{x}_N) d^3 x_2 \dots d^3 x_N$$

Diagonalization yields the natural orbitals $\phi_j(\vec{r})$ and their occupation numbers n_i :

$$\int \gamma (\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \phi_j(\vec{r}') d^3r' = n_j \phi_j(\vec{r})$$

Central Theorem by Gilbert (1975): There is a rigorous 1-1 correspondence $\Psi_{gs}(r_1, r_2, ..., r_N) \longleftrightarrow \gamma(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r'})$

- Total energy is a unique functional $E[\gamma]$ of the 1-RDM
- Ground-state energy can be calculated by minimizing $E[\gamma]$
- <u>Note</u>: For given $\gamma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$ the $\{\phi_j(\vec{r}), n_j\}$ follow from diagonalization, i.e. $n_j = n_j[\gamma], \quad \phi_j = \phi_j[\gamma]$

<u>Consequence</u>: Any explicit functional $E[n_j, \phi_j(\vec{r})]$ is an implicit functional of γ

Functional Minimization

Constraints

- ★ $\sum_{i} n_i = N$, where N is the number of electrons.
- ★ $\int \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r} = \delta_{ij}$, orthonormality constraint.
- ★ $0 \le n_i \le 1$, N-representability constraint, guarantees that γ comes from a many-body wavefunction.

Functional Minimization

Constraints

- ★ $\sum_{i} n_i = N$, where N is the number of electrons.
- ★ $\int \phi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}) d^3\mathbf{r} = \delta_{ij}$, orthonormality constraint.
- ★ $0 \le n_i \le 1$, N-representability constraint, guarantees that γ comes from a many-body wavefunction.
- The first two are enforced through Lagrange multipliers. The quantity to minimize becomes:

$$\mathcal{F} = E_{tot} - \mu \left(\sum_{i} n_{i} - N \right) - \sum_{ij} \mathbf{\epsilon}_{ij} \left(\left\langle \phi_{i} \middle| \phi_{j} \right\rangle - \delta_{ij} \right)$$

 μ : chemical potential.



N-representability condition $0 \le n_j \le 1$ generally leads to <u>border</u> <u>minimum</u>.

i.e. one can still minimize but $\frac{\partial F}{\partial n_j} \neq 0$ at minimum

Total-energy functional:

$$E_{tot}[\gamma] = E_{kin}[\gamma] + \int V_{ext}(\vec{r})\gamma(\vec{r},\vec{r})d^{3}r + E_{H}[\gamma] + E_{xc}[\gamma]$$

Three major differences to DFT

• Kinetic-energy functional is known <u>exactly</u>

$$E_{kin} = \int d^{3}r \int d^{3}r' \,\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \left(-\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2}\right) \gamma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} n_{j} \left\langle \phi_{j} \right| - \frac{\nabla^{2}}{2} \left| \phi_{j} \right\rangle$$

Hence $E_{xc}[\gamma]$ does not contain any kinetic contributions, and therefore there is no coupling-constant formula.

• There exists no variational equation

$$\frac{\delta F[\gamma]}{\delta \gamma(\vec{r},\vec{r}')} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta F}{\delta \gamma(\vec{r},\vec{r}')} = \sum_{j} \int \underbrace{\frac{\delta F}{\delta \phi_{j}^{*}(\vec{x})} \frac{\delta \phi_{j}^{*}(\vec{x})}{\delta \gamma(\vec{r},\vec{r}')}}_{0} d^{3}x + c.c. + \sum_{j} \frac{\partial F}{\partial n_{j}} \frac{\delta n_{j}}{\delta \gamma(\vec{r},\vec{r}')}$$

$$=\sum_{j}\frac{\partial F}{\partial n_{j}}\phi_{j}^{*}(\vec{r})\phi_{j}(\vec{r}')\neq 0$$

• There exists <u>no</u> Kohn-Sham system reproducing the interacting $\gamma(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$

Approximations for xc functional

$$E_{XC} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} f(n_i, n_j) \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \int d^3 \mathbf{r}' \frac{\varphi_i^*(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_j(\mathbf{r}) \varphi_j^*(\mathbf{r}') \varphi_i(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

• Hartree-Fock:
$$f(n_i, n_j) = n_i n_j$$
.

- Müller functional [1,2]: $f(n_i, n_j) = \sqrt{n_i n_j}$.
- Gödecker Umrigar [3]: $f(n_i, n_j) = \sqrt{n_i n_j}$ explicit removal of self-interaction terms.

[1] A. M. K. Müller, *Phys. Lett. A* 105, 446 (1984).
[2] Buijse, Baerends, *Mol. Phys.* 100, 401 (2002).
[3] Gödecker, Umrigar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 866 (1998).

The BBC functionals

- Hierarchy of corrections to the Müller functional
- key idea: Distinction between strongly and weakly occupied orbitals

O. Gritsenko, K. Pernal, E.J. Baerends, JCP 122, 204102 (2005).

The BBC functionals

- Hierarchy of corrections to the Müller functional
- key idea: Distinction between strongly and weakly occupied orbitals
 - BBC1: Sign change of *f*, if both orbitals are weakly occupied:

$$f(n_i, n_j) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{n_i n_j}, & i, j \text{ weakly occupied,} \\ \sqrt{n_i n_j}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• BBC2: Additionally, omission the square root if both orbitals are strongly occupied:

$$f(n_i, n_j) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{n_i n_j}, & \text{for } i, j \text{ weakly occupied,} \\ n_i n_j, & \text{for } i, j \text{ strongly occupied,} \\ \sqrt{n_i n_j}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

• BBC3: Inclusion of anti-bonding in the list of strongly occupied orbitals, unless it interacts with bonding. Removal of SI terms.

O. Gritsenko, K. Pernal, E.J. Baerends, JCP 122, 204102 (2005).

Application to stretched H₂



Application to stretched H₂



★ G2/97 test set of molecules [1]:

148 neutral molecules including 29 radicals, 35 non-hydrogen systems, 22 hydrocarbons, 47 substituted hydrocarbons and 15 inorganic hydrides.

★ Cartesian 6-31G* Gaussian basis-set

L.A. Curtiss et al., JCP 106, 1063 (1997); ibid. 109, 42 (1998).







Error in the correlation energies calculated with a variety of methods. Reference energies (E_c^{ref}) were obtained with CCSD(T). The values in the first, second and last column are in a.u.

Method	$\overline{\Delta}$	Δ_{\max}	$\overline{\delta}$	δ_{\max}	$\overline{\delta}_{e}$
Müller	0.55	1.23 (C ₂ Cl ₄)	135.7	438.3 (Na ₂)	0.019
GU	0.26	0.79 (C ₂ Cl ₄)	51.63	114.2 (Si ₂)	0.0072
CGA	0.22	0.55 (C ₂ Cl ₄)	69.11	331.9 (Na ₂)	0.0077
BBC1	0.29	0.75 (C ₂ Cl ₄)	69.91	159.1 (Na ₂)	0.0098
BBC2	0.18	0.50 (C ₂ Cl ₄)	45.02	125.0 (Na ₂)	0.0058
BBC3	0.068	0.27 (C₂Cl₄)	18.37	50.8 (SiH ₂)	0.0017
MP2	0.039	0.074 (C₂Cl₄)	11.86	35.7 (Li ₂)	0.0015
B3LYP	0.75	2.72 (SiCl ₄)	305.0	2803.7 (Li ₂)	0.022

The real challenge of Condensed-Matter theory: Ab-initio description Mott insulators

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

DFT: half-filled band

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

DFT: half-filled band

⇒ KS system is metallic (independent of xc functional)

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

DFT: half-filled band

 $\Rightarrow \text{KS system is metallic (independent of xc functional)} \\ \text{formally no problem:} \\ \text{Totally unnatural} \\ \text{description} \\ E_{gap} = \underbrace{E_{gap}^{KS}}_{0} + \Delta_{xc}$

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

DFT: half-filled band

⇒ KS system is metallic (independent of xc functional) formally no problem: Totally unnatural description $E_{gap} = E_{gap}^{KS} + \Delta_{xc}$

MBPT: Dyson equation for QP amplitudes: half-filled band

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

DFT: half-filled band

⇒ KS system is metallic (independent of xc functional) formally no problem: Totally unnatural description $E_{gap} = E_{gap}^{KS} + \Delta_{xc}$

MBPT: Dyson equation for QP amplitudes: half-filled band QP band structure is metallic

<u>Mott insulators</u> in paramagnetic phase prototype: 1D chain of hydrogen atoms

DFT: half-filled band

⇒ KS system is metallic (independent of xc functional) formally no problem: Totally unnatural description $E_{gap} = E_{gap}^{KS} + \Delta_{xc}$

MBPT: Dyson equation for QP amplitudes: half-filled band QP band structure is metallic To obtain gap: $\Sigma \to \infty$ for $\omega \to E_F$

Fundamental gap of semiconductors and insulators

S. Sharma, J.K. Dewhurst, N.N. Lathiotakis and E.K.U.G., Phys. Rev. B 78 (Rapid Comm.), 201103 (2008)



How about time-dependent RDMFT?

$$v(r,t) \xrightarrow{TDSE} \Psi(r_1...,r_N,t) \rightarrow \gamma(r,r',t)$$

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{t}) \underset{r=r'}{\xrightarrow{}} \rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}) \underset{TDDFT}{\xrightarrow{}} v(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})$$

Hence, for fixed initial state, there is a 1-1 correspondence between $\gamma(r,r',t)$ and v(r,t)

K. Pernal, O. Gritsenko, and E. J. Baerends, Phys Rev A 75, 012506 (2007)

How about time-dependent RDMFT?

$$v(r,t) \xrightarrow{TDSE} \Psi(r_1...,r_N,t) \rightarrow \gamma(r,r',t)$$

$$\gamma(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{t}) \underset{r=r'}{\xrightarrow{}} \rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t}) \underset{TDDFT}{\longrightarrow} v(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{t})$$

Hence, for fixed initial state, there is a 1-1 correspondence between $\gamma(r,r',t)$ and v(r,t)

K. Pernal, O. Gritsenko, and E. J. Baerends, Phys Rev A 75, 012506 (2007)

<u>Note</u>: There exists no 1-1 correspondence between $\gamma(r,r',t)$ and non-local potentials v(r,r',t) (integral operators)

How about xc functionals in time-dependent RDMFT?

Use the known functionals of ground-state RDMFT as <u>adiabatic approximation</u>, i.e. make n_k and $\phi_k(r)$ in the Mueller-type expressions time-dependent.

Upon time-propagation, all these adiabatic RDMFT functionals lead to <u>time-independent</u> occupation numbers

H. Appel, E.K.U.G. arXiv:0807.2712

e-He⁺ scattering (with soft Coulomb potentials in 1D) λ = coupling constant, $k_0 = 0.3$ a.u.

H. Appel, E.K.U.G. arXiv:0807.2712



He in 1D with soft Coulomb potentials,

transition from ground state to lowest excited singlet state with optimized pulse

H. Appel, E.K.U.G. arXiv:0807.2712







Lecture Notes in Physics 706 (Springer, August 2006)