Wave function Video Camera: Cavity-assisted monitoring of a complex quantum system dynamics

Alireza Shabani, Jan Roden, Birgitta Whaley Chemistry Department, UC-Berkeley



KITP - Jan 2013

# **OUTLINE:**

- Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy
- Dispersive measurement in a cavity
- **G** Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics
- □ Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- **Cavity as a wave function video camera**
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

## **OUTLINE:**

#### Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy

Dispersive measurement in a cavity

Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics

- Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- Cavity as a wave function video camera
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

## Quantum Nanoelectronics Lab, Irfan Siddiqi



Flux qubit coupled to a microwave LC oscillator: Circuit QED

• Continuous measurement of a solid-state qubit

PRL 106, 110502 (2011)

• Quantum feedback control, Nature 490, 77 (2012)



Theory for a system of interacting qubits? Non-Markovian decoherence?

#### Nonlinear Spectroscopy Lab, Graham Fleming



Optical spectroscopy is limited to measuring a fixed observable: Total dipole moment of the molecule  $\,\mu$ 

Perturbative response: 
$$Tr(\rho(t)\mu) = Tr(\rho^1(t)\mu) + Tr(\rho^3(t)\mu) + \dots$$

linear response

nonlinear response

Reveals fluctuations between monomers

 $\langle [\mu(t_1+t_2+t_3), [\mu(t_1+t_2), [\mu(t_1), \rho(0)]]] \mu \rangle$ 

Quantum Process tomography can be done <u>for a dimer only</u>: Yuen-Zhou et al., PNAS 108, 17615 (2011).

Can we engineer a different observable?

# **OUTLINE:**

- Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy
- Dispersive measurement in a cavity
- □ Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics
- Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- **Cavity as a wave function video camera**
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

#### Generalized Quantum Measurement

The measurement observable can be engineered by manipulating The system-probe interaction.



Weak measurement is achieved in the limit of small " g "

## **Cavity Quantum Electrodynamics**

Cavity: single or multi-mode quantum harmonic oscillator.

Optical Regime: It can be realized by high finesse mirrors, but not that high that the cavity becomes a black box: some photon leakage is desired.

Microwave Regime: It can be realized by a 1D transmission line, or a 3D resonator.

Much stronger couplings can be achieved in microwave regime.



R. Schoelkopf & S. Girvin, Nature 451, 664 (2008)

#### **Dispersive Readout of a Single Qubit**

Jaynes-Cumming Hamiltonian:

$$\omega_c a^{\dagger} a + \Omega \sigma_z + g(a^{\dagger} \sigma^- + \sigma^+ a)$$

Dispersive regime (far off-resonance):

$$g \ll |\omega_c - \Omega|$$

Dispersive Frame rotating with unitary:

$$\exp\left[\frac{g}{\omega_c - \Omega}(a\sigma^+ - a^{\dagger}\sigma^-)\right]$$

Effective Hamiltonian:

$$[\omega_c + \frac{g^2}{\Delta}\sigma_z]a^{\dagger}a + [\Omega + \frac{g^2}{\Delta}]\sigma_z$$

Measured Observable:  $\sigma_z$ 



#### Complex system coupled to a cavity



Jaynes-Tavis-Cummings Hamiltonian:  $\pi^- = 2$ 

$$^{-} = \sum_{k} g_k \sigma_k^{-} e^{ilx_k}$$

$$H_{SC} = H_S + \omega_c a^{\dagger} a + \pi^+ a + \pi^- a^{\dagger}$$

#### System-Cavity in Dispersive Regime

The cavity acts as a probe to indirectly measure the system.

$$H_{SC} = H_S + \omega_c a^{\dagger} a + \pi^+ a + \pi^- a^{\dagger}$$

Desired form of the interaction:  $H_{Sys-Cavity} = g\hat{O}_s\hat{P}_c$ 

System Hamiltonian:

Far off-resonance system and cavity:

$$H_S = \sum_j \Omega_j |j\rangle \langle j| \qquad \langle j|\pi^-|k\rangle = \pi_{jk}^- \ll |\omega_c + \Omega_j - \Omega_k|$$

Generalized unitary dispersive transformation:

$$U_D = exp[Xa^{\dagger} - X^{\dagger}a]$$

**Perturbative Parameter** 

8

$$X = \sum_{jk} \frac{\pi_{jk}}{\omega_c + \Omega_j - \Omega_k} |j\rangle \langle k|$$

#### System-Cavity in Dispersive Regime

Keeping terms up to the second order of  $\boldsymbol{X}$ 

$$H_{SC}^D = U_D H_{SC} U_D^{\dagger} \approx H_S^D + \omega_c a^{\dagger} a + O_S a^{\dagger} a$$

Induces frequency-shift proportional to  $\langle O_s 
angle$ 

Slightly modified Hamiltonian:

$$H_{S}^{D} = U_{D}H_{S}U_{D}^{\dagger} \approx H_{S} - \frac{1}{2}(X^{\dagger}\pi^{-} + \pi^{+}X)$$

Measurement Observable:

$$O_S = \frac{1}{2}([\pi^-, X^\dagger] + [X, \pi^+])$$

#### **Continuous Quantum Measurement**

Information about the dynamical evolution of the system can be obtained by continuously measuring phase quadrature of the leaking photons.



Leakage: 
$$\mathcal{L}_{leakage}(\rho_c) = \kappa (2a\rho_c a^{\dagger} - a^{\dagger}a\rho_c - \rho_c a^{\dagger}a)$$

**Detector Current:** 

$$J(t) = \beta [\eta \kappa \langle e^{-i\phi}a + e^{i\phi}a^{\dagger} \rangle + \sqrt{\eta \kappa} \xi(t)]$$

**Detector efficiency** 

Gaussian White Noise 10

# **OUTLINE:**

- Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy
- Dispersive measurement in a cavity
- □ Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics
- Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- **Cavity as a wave function video camera**
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

#### Non-Markovian Decoherence

A Bloch-Redfield equation is commonly used in modeling system and cavity decoherence processes: system is weakly coupled to a broadband environment in thermal equilibrium.

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = r_{decay} \left[ (N+1)\mathcal{D}(c)\rho(t) + N\mathcal{D}(c^{\dagger})\rho(t) \right] \qquad \frac{\mathcal{D}[c]\rho = 2c\rho c^{\dagger} - c^{\dagger}c\rho - \rho c^{\dagger}c}{N = 1/[\exp(\hbar\omega_c/kT) - 1]}$$

#### Examples of systems with non-Markovian decoherence:

- A double quantum dot coupled to a microwave resonator, • T. Frey et al. PRL 108, 046807 (2012)
- Superconducting: loss of visibility coherence dies faster than expected. • Simmonds et. al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 077003 (2004). Vion et. al., Science 296, 286 (2002).
- Photosynthetic Molecules: Chromophores as exciton carriers are strongly ٠ coupled to their protein backbone. G. Fleming, Faraday Discuss. 27 (2011).

+

#### Decoherence Dynamics beyond Born-Markov

General Model: System is linearly coupled to a bosonic or fermionic bath near thermal equilibrium with Gaussian fluctuations.

$$H_{SB} = H_S + H_B + S \otimes B$$

Quantum Fluctuation-Dissipation theorem: Near equilibrium bath fluctuations are proportional to the perturbations induced by coupling to the system.

$$C(t) = \langle \tilde{B}(t+\tau)\tilde{B}(\tau)\rangle_B = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega) \frac{e^{-i\omega t}}{1-e^{-\beta\omega}}$$
 bath spectral distribution

#### **Hierarchical Equations of Motion**

Using path integral formalism, an exact master equation for a bosonic bath with colored noise (non-Markovian) was developed by Kubo and Tanimura for an ohmic spectral density with Drude-Lorentzian cut-off profile

R. Kubo, Adv. Chem. Phys. 15, 101 (1969).Y. Tanimura, R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 58, 101 (1989).Y. Tanimura, Phys. Rev. A 41, 6676 (1990).

$$J(\omega) = \frac{\lambda \gamma \omega}{\omega^2 + \gamma^2}$$

Recently It has be generalized to a bosonic or fermionic bath with an arbitrary parameterized bath spectral density

J. Jin et al, J. Chem Phys. 126, 134113 (2007).

$$\frac{\lambda\gamma\omega}{\omega^2+\gamma^2} + \sum_k \left[\frac{\lambda_k\gamma_k + i\eta_k\omega}{(\omega-\Omega_k)^2 + \gamma_k^2} - \frac{\lambda_k\gamma_k + i\eta_k\omega}{(\omega+\Omega_k)^2 + \gamma_k^2}\right]$$

HEOM for a single qubit at High-T 
$$(T \gg \gamma)$$
  
$$J(\omega) = \frac{\lambda \gamma \omega}{\omega^2 + \gamma^2} \longrightarrow C(t) = \lambda (\frac{2}{\beta} - i\gamma) e^{-\gamma t}$$

HEOM can handle strong coupling and highly non-Markovian dynamics.

$$H_{int} = S \otimes B$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(t) = -i[H,\rho(t)] + i[S,\sigma^{1}] \qquad \sigma^{0} = \rho$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\sigma^{n}(t) = -i[H,\sigma^{n}(t)] - n\gamma\sigma^{n}(t) + i[S,\sigma^{n+1}]$$

$$+n\frac{2i\lambda}{\beta}[S,\sigma^{n-1}(t)] + n\lambda\gamma\{S,\sigma^{n-1}(t)\}$$
operators

auxiliary operators

Truncation Level  $n\gamma\gg\omega_S$ 

Higher tiers are required for slower baths (smaller  $\gamma$  )

## Back inside our cavity



Decoherence processes:

- Cavity energy damping (photon leakage)
- System energy loss due to coupling to electromagnetic reservoir
- System decoherence due to coupling to its surrounding bath inside cavity

The decoherence processes are modified in dispersive regime.

# **OUTLINE:**

- Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy
- Dispersive measurement in a cavity
- Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics
- □ Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- Cavity as a wave function video camera
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

#### **Combining Decoherence Processes**

Merging two decoherence dynamics: system decoherence and leakage



In the limit of high bandwidth reservoir we just need to some up the super-operators: A Markovian plus an extended non-Markovian from HEOM

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L}_{leakage} + \mathcal{L}_{additional} + \mathcal{L}_{decoherence}$$

Next we eliminate the cavity by going to the bad cavity limit: Strong Leakage  $\ {\cal K}$ 

**Continuous Measurement with Markovian decoherence** 

Detector Current:

$$dQ = 2\beta\eta |\alpha| \langle \sigma_z \rangle dt + \beta \sqrt{2\eta\kappa} dW$$

Wiener process:  $dW = \xi_t dt$   $\langle \xi_t \rangle = 0$   $\langle \xi_t \xi_{t'} \rangle = \delta(t - t')$ 

Conditional evolution of a single qubit:

Includes measurement back-action

$$d\rho_t = -i\Omega_R[\sigma_x, \rho]dt + \Gamma_\phi \mathcal{D}[\sigma_z]\rho_t dt + r_d \mathcal{D}[\sigma_-]\rho_t dt + \sqrt{\Gamma}\mathcal{H}[\sigma_z]\rho_t dW$$

#### **Stochastic Hierarchical Equations of Motion**

For a single qubit:

$$d\rho = \mathcal{L}^{D}[\rho]dt + \frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{\kappa}\mathcal{D}[O_{S}]\rho dt + \Phi_{D}\sigma^{1}dt - \mathcal{H}[e^{-i\phi}\frac{i\alpha}{\kappa}O_{S}]\rho dW$$

$$d\sigma^{n} = (\mathcal{L}^{D} - n\gamma)\sigma^{n}dt + \frac{|\alpha|^{2}}{\kappa}\mathcal{D}[O_{S}]\sigma^{n}dt + \Theta_{D}\sigma^{n-1}dt + \Phi_{D}\sigma^{n+1}dt$$
$$-\mathcal{H}[e^{-i\phi}\frac{i\alpha}{\kappa}O_{S}]\sigma^{n}dW$$

In the regime of  $\ \gamma \ll T \ll \omega_c$ 

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho] = -i[H_S, \rho] + \mathcal{L}_{add}[\rho] \qquad \Phi. = i[S, .] \qquad \Theta. = n \frac{2i\lambda}{\beta}[S, .] + n\lambda\gamma\{S, .\}$$

 $\sim \cdot \cdot$ 

Detector Current:  $dQ = 2\beta\eta |\alpha| \langle O_S \rangle dt + \beta \sqrt{2\eta\kappa} dW$ 

## **OUTLINE:**

- Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy
- Dispersive measurement in a cavity
- Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics
- Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- **Cavity as a wave function video camera**
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

## **Continuous State Tomography**

Requirement for full state tomography: For a d-dim system, we need  $d^2 - 1$  independent realizable observables.

State tomography in solid state systems is usually done with a <u>fixed observable</u>. A complete set of observables is generated by applying unitary transformations before the measurement at any instant of time.  $O_{\alpha} = U_{\alpha}O_{S}U_{\alpha}^{\dagger}$ 

This introduces a large overhead. Continuous weak measurement with tunable observables can be a solution to this problem.

The dynamics is revealed by averaging over many trajectories  $\frac{d\bar{\rho}}{dt} = \mathcal{L}_{total}[\bar{\rho}]$ 

The expectation value of the observable  $O_S$  over time:  $J(t) \sim Tr(O_S \bar{\rho})$ 

Trade-off: A weaker back-action needs a weaker measurement and therefore more trajectories.

#### Tunable Observable

We can change the observable by changing the cavity frequency.



How many independent observables are available?

In the absence of any symmetry in intra-qubit and qubit-cavity couplings (maximum possible):

Without spatial resolution:  $d^2 - d + 1$ 

With spatial resolution:  $d^2 - d + 1 + \log_2 d(\log_2 d - 1)$ 

We can measure all energy level populations and most of the coherence components.

#### **Tunable Observable**

The observable can also be tuned by changing the phase of the LO in the homodyne detection

Lalumiere, Gambetta, and Blais, PRA, 81, 040301 (2010): For a system of two non-interacting qubits, one can tune the phase of LO to measure  $Z_1$ ,  $Z_2$  or  $Z_1Z_2$ This becomes possible if the cavity is relatively good  $\kappa \sim \frac{g^2}{\omega_q - \omega_c}$ 

Measured observable in our scheme

main componentlow resolution component
$$\kappa \ll |\omega_c + \Omega_j - \Omega_k$$
 $sin(\phi - arg(\alpha))O_S + \kappa cos(\phi - arg(\alpha))\Lambda$  $X = \sum_{jk} \frac{\pi_{jk}^-}{\omega_c + \Omega_j - \Omega_k} |j\rangle\langle k|$  $\Lambda = \frac{1}{2}[X^{\dagger}, X]$ 

### Simultaneous multi-Observable Measurement

**Next:** Using a multi-mode optical cavity or microwave resonator for simultaneous measurement of complimentary observables.



Transmon qubit inside a 3D resonator,<br/>Schoelkopf's group at Yale, PRL 107,<br/>240501 (2011).Yale, PRL 107,<br/> $-10 \neq 5$ 



# **OUTLINE:**

- Motivation: circuit QED and nonlinear spectroscopy
- Dispersive measurement in a cavity
- Strong and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics
- Continuous monitoring of a complex system inside a cavity
- **Cavity as a wave function video camera**
- □ A simple test to detect non-Markovian decoherence

#### Steady-State spectrum of the measurement current



$$d\rho_t = -i\Omega_R[\sigma_x, \rho]dt + \Gamma_\phi \mathcal{D}[\sigma_z]\rho_t dt + r_d \mathcal{D}[\sigma_-]\rho_t dt + \sqrt{\Gamma}\mathcal{H}[\sigma_z]\rho_t dW$$



A. Korotkov, PRB 63, 085312 (2001).

$$S(\omega) = \int d\tau \langle O_S(t+\tau)O_S(t)\rangle e^{-i\omega\tau}$$

**Molecular Spectroscopy:** The nature of the decoherence dynamics is revealed in the molecule linear spectrum, which is nothing but averaged projective measurements.

$$S(\omega) = \int d\tau \langle \mu(\tau)\mu(0) \rangle e^{-i\omega\tau}$$
Dipole moment <sup>23</sup>

#### Quantum feedback control of a superconducting qubit: Persistent Rabi oscillations

R. Vijay<sup>1</sup>, C. Macklin<sup>1</sup>, D. H. Slichter<sup>1</sup>, S. J. Weber<sup>1</sup>, K. W. Murch<sup>1</sup>, R. Naik<sup>1</sup>,

A. N. Korotkov<sup>2</sup>, I. Siddiqi<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Quantum Nanoelectronics Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley CA 94720 and <sup>2</sup>Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521 (Dated: May 28, 2012)



#### Simulations of Frequency-Domain Spectra: Structure-Function Relationships in Photosynthetic Pigment-Protein Complexes

Thomas  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Renger}}^1$  and  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Volkhard}}\xspace{\,\mathsf{May}}^2$ 

•



#### LHC-II of green

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sigma_M(t) = -\iota \omega_M \sigma_M(t) - \sum_K \int_0^t d\tau C_{MK}(\tau) \sigma_K(t - \tau)$$



$$I(\omega) \sim \left\langle \sum_{M} \frac{|\mu_{M}|^{2} C_{M}^{\text{Re}}(\omega)}{[\omega - \omega_{M} - C_{M}^{\text{Im}}(\omega)]^{2} + [C_{M}^{\text{Re}}(\omega)]^{2}} \right\rangle_{\text{conf}}$$

#### Steady-State spectrum of the measurement current

Non-Markovian decoherence: Quantum dots coupled to a microwave resonator in T. Frey *et al.* PRL 108, 046807 (2012)

Bath time-correlation properties are mapped into the detector current correlations.

 $\Omega_R = 3$   $\Gamma_{\phi} = 0.07$   $r_d = 0.05$   $\Gamma = 0.27$  $\lambda = 0.05$ 

A non-Markovian or strong decoherence dynamics can induce a shift in the spectrum.

 $J(\omega) = \frac{\lambda \gamma \omega}{\omega^2 + \gamma^2}$ 



Spectra averaged over 50,000 trajectories

## **Effect of Coupling Strength**



#### Steady-State spectrum of the measurement current



23

#### Nonlinear Spectroscopy Lab, Graham Fleming



Optical spectroscopy is limited to measuring a fixed observable: Total dipole moment of the molecule  $\,\mu$ 

Perturbative response: 
$$Tr(\rho(t)\mu) = Tr(\rho^1(t)\mu) + Tr(\rho^3(t)\mu) + \dots$$

linear response

nonlinear response

Reveals fluctuations between monomers

 $\langle [\mu(t_1+t_2+t_3), [\mu(t_1+t_2), [\mu(t_1), \rho(0)]]] \mu \rangle$ 

Quantum Process tomography can be done <u>for a dimer only</u>: Yuen-Zhou et al., PNAS 108, 17615 (2011).

Can we engineer a different observable?

## Toward electronic spectroscopy with tunable observable

Collinear electronic spectroscopy with phase cycling:

ひょ

Continuous measurement is not possible since the time scales of interest is few <u>hundreds of femto-seconds</u>.

Fastest detectors have response time of few <u>nano-seconds</u> !

LO

Can we detect phase shifts in leaking photons?

# **Conclusion and Future Work**

- A general formalism is developed for monitoring a non-perturbative and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics of a complex system inside a cavity.
- -- Detecting the non-Markovian nature of dynamics by measuring the steady-state spectrum of the detector current
- -- Application for spin systems, molecular rotational spectroscopy, NMR (D. I. Schuster et al., 83, 012311 (2011)).
- Tunable measurement observable
- -- Continuous state tomography for a complex system (Video Camera)
- -- A compressed sensing algorithm for continuous state tomography- PRL 106, 100401 (2011) – PRA 84, 012107 (2011)
- Feedback control is presence on non-Markovian decoherence (ongoing project – Hanhan Li)
- Toward electronic spectroscopy with tunable measurement