1d $T\bar{T}$ and Hamiltonian deformations in quantum mechanics

Edgar Shaghoulian Cornell University

KITP: Geometry from the Quantum January 14, 2020

[Gross, Kruthoff, Rolph, ES 1912.06132] [Gross, Kruthoff, Rolph, ES 1907.04873]

▶ Interested in emergent spacetime, black holes, quantum gravity, etc.; field theory is inessential, QM is enough! e.g. SYK in context of AdS₂/CFT₁, or D0-brane QM/BFSS.

- ▶ Interested in emergent spacetime, black holes, quantum gravity, etc.; field theory is inessential, QM is enough! e.g. SYK in context of AdS₂/CFT₁, or D0-brane QM/BFSS.
- Wilsonian paradigm of QM vs. QFT: QM universal in UV, QFT universal in IR:

$$S = \int d^d x \, \left[\left(\partial \phi \right)^2 + V(\phi) \right] \,, \qquad \left[\phi \right] = \frac{d-2}{2}$$

- ▶ Interested in emergent spacetime, black holes, quantum gravity, etc.; field theory is inessential, QM is enough! e.g. SYK in context of AdS₂/CFT₁, or D0-brane QM/BFSS.
- Wilsonian paradigm of QM vs. QFT: QM universal in UV, QFT universal in IR:

$$S = \int d^d x \left[\left(\partial \phi \right)^2 + V(\phi) \right], \qquad \left[\phi \right] = \frac{d-2}{2}$$

► Holographically, this implies exotic interiors, e.g. dS centaur. [Anninos, Hofman; Anninos, Hofman, Galante]



- ▶ Interested in emergent spacetime, black holes, quantum gravity, etc.; field theory is inessential, QM is enough! e.g. SYK in context of AdS₂/CFT₁, or D0-brane QM/BFSS.
- Wilsonian paradigm of QM vs. QFT: QM universal in UV, QFT universal in IR:

$$S = \int d^d x \left[\left(\partial \phi \right)^2 + V(\phi) \right] \,, \qquad \left[\phi \right] = \frac{d-2}{2}$$

 Holographically, this implies exotic interiors, e.g. dS centaur. [Anninos, Hofman; Anninos, Hofman, Galante]



 To isolate exotic interiors, we want analog of TT deformation in QM [Zamolodchikov, Smirnov; Cavaglia, Negro, Tateo, Szécsényi; McGough, Mezei, Verlinde]

- ▶ Interested in emergent spacetime, black holes, quantum gravity, etc.; field theory is inessential, QM is enough! e.g. SYK in context of AdS₂/CFT₁, or D0-brane QM/BFSS.
- Wilsonian paradigm of QM vs. QFT: QM universal in UV, QFT universal in IR:

$$S = \int d^d x \left[\left(\partial \phi \right)^2 + V(\phi) \right] \,, \qquad \left[\phi \right] = \frac{d-2}{2}$$

 Holographically, this implies exotic interiors, e.g. dS centaur. [Anninos, Hofman; Anninos, Hofman, Galante]



- To isolate exotic interiors, we want analog of TT deformation in QM [Zamolodchikov, Smirnov; Cavaglia, Negro, Tateo, Szécsényi; McGough, Mezei, Verlinde]
- Any "composite" operator built out of T is well-defined; 1d $T\overline{T}$ is one example of infinite class of *integrable* deformations $H \to f(H)$.

Deform theory as $H \to f(H)$, restricting to monotonic f(H) expandable around H, with $E_{\text{vac}} = 0$. Key properties:

Deform theory as $H \to f(H)$, restricting to monotonic f(H) expandable around H, with $E_{\text{vac}} = 0$. Key properties:

• f(H) well-defined composite operator.

Deform theory as $H \to f(H)$, restricting to monotonic f(H) expandable around H, with $E_{\text{vac}} = 0$. Key properties:

- f(H) well-defined composite operator.
- ▶ Conserved charges remain conserved.

Deform theory as $H \to f(H)$, restricting to monotonic f(H) expandable around H, with $E_{\text{vac}} = 0$. Key properties:

- f(H) well-defined composite operator.
- ▶ Conserved charges remain conserved.
- Eigenvectors remain eigenvectors; for finite system eigenvectors coincide.

Deform theory as $H \to f(H)$, restricting to monotonic f(H) expandable around H, with $E_{\text{vac}} = 0$. Key properties:

- f(H) well-defined composite operator.
- ▶ Conserved charges remain conserved.
- Eigenvectors remain eigenvectors; for finite system eigenvectors coincide.

Finite-temperature correlators obtained as integral transform. Consider $Z(\beta)$:

$$K(\beta,\beta') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dE e^{-\beta f(E) + \beta' E} \implies e^{-\beta f(E)} = \int_0^\infty d\beta' e^{-\beta' E} K(\beta,\beta')$$

Deform theory as $H \to f(H)$, restricting to monotonic f(H) expandable around H, with $E_{\text{vac}} = 0$. Key properties:

- f(H) well-defined composite operator.
- ▶ Conserved charges remain conserved.
- Eigenvectors remain eigenvectors; for finite system eigenvectors coincide.

Finite-temperature correlators obtained as integral transform. Consider $Z(\beta)$:

$$K(\beta,\beta') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dE e^{-\beta f(E) + \beta' E} \implies e^{-\beta f(E)} = \int_0^\infty d\beta' e^{-\beta' E} K(\beta,\beta')$$

Since eigenfunctions unchanged, correlation functions treated similarly:

$$\langle O(\tau_1)\dots O(\tau_n)\rangle = \int \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} dE_i\right) \langle 0|O|E_1\rangle \cdots \langle E_{n-1}|O|0\rangle e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\tau_i - \tau_{i+1})E_i}$$

AdS_2 JT gravity at finite cutoff: 1d $T\bar{T}$

Consider s-wave sector of AdS₃ pure gravity:

$$S_{JT} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \,\Phi\left(R + \frac{2}{\ell^2}\right) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{h} \,\Phi\left(K - \frac{1}{\ell}\right).$$

AdS_2 JT gravity at finite cutoff: 1d $T\bar{T}$

Consider s-wave sector of AdS₃ pure gravity:

$$S_{JT} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \,\Phi\left(R + \frac{2}{\ell^2}\right) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{h} \,\Phi\left(K - \frac{1}{\ell}\right).$$

Flow $\partial S^{(2d)}/\partial \lambda = \int d^2x \, T\bar{T}$ in CFT₂ is supposed to implement finite cutoff in AdS₃ [McGough, Mezei, Verlinde]. Dimensionally reduce flow to get

$$\frac{\partial S^{(1d)}}{\partial \lambda} = \int d\tau \, \frac{T^2}{1/2 - 2\lambda T}$$

AdS_2 JT gravity at finite cutoff: 1d $T\bar{T}$

Consider s-wave sector of AdS₃ pure gravity:

$$S_{JT} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^2 x \sqrt{g} \,\Phi\left(R + \frac{2}{\ell^2}\right) - \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int d\tau \sqrt{h} \,\Phi\left(K - \frac{1}{\ell}\right).$$

Flow $\partial S^{(2d)}/\partial \lambda = \int d^2x \, T\bar{T}$ in CFT₂ is supposed to implement finite cutoff in AdS₃ [McGough, Mezei, Verlinde]. Dimensionally reduce flow to get

$$\frac{\partial S^{(1d)}}{\partial \lambda} = \int d\tau \, \frac{T^2}{1/2 - 2\lambda T} \, .$$

Energy levels of deformed theory given as

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{E^2}{1/2 - 2\lambda E} \implies H = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 8H_0\lambda} \right) \,.$$

This f(H) leads to a computable kernel for $\lambda < 0$:

$$K(\beta,\beta') = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{-8\pi\lambda\beta'^3}} \exp\left(\frac{(\beta-\beta')^2}{8\beta'\lambda}\right)$$

General dilaton gravity (needed for exotic interiors!) must be analyzed directly using method of [Hartman, Kruthoff, ES, Tajdini]

Quantum mechanics and 1d $T\bar{T}$

Quantum mechanics and 1d $T\bar{T}$

Forget holography, apply this deformation to general QM theories! Consider

$$S_E = \int d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2}{\dot{q_i}}^2 + V(q_i)\right), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N$$

under our flow. Deformed action found by using $T = L_E - \frac{\partial L_E}{\partial \dot{q}} \dot{q}$ to write a flow equation which is solved by

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int d\tau \left(1 - \sqrt{(1 - 4\lambda \dot{q}_i^2)(1 - 8\lambda V(q_i))} \right)$$

Quantum mechanics and 1d $T\bar{T}$

Forget holography, apply this deformation to general QM theories! Consider

$$S_E = \int d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2}{\dot{q_i}}^2 + V(q_i)\right), \qquad i = 1, \dots, N$$

under our flow. Deformed action found by using $T = L_E - \frac{\partial L_E}{\partial \dot{q}} \dot{q}$ to write a flow equation which is solved by

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int d\tau \left(1 - \sqrt{(1 - 4\lambda \dot{q}_i^2)(1 - 8\lambda V(q_i))} \right)$$

For $\lambda < 0$ this is a worldline action with cosmological constant and mass m = 1 in a curved target space metric $g_{\mu\nu} = \delta_{\mu\nu}(1 - 8\lambda V(q_i))$:

$$S_E = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \int d\tau \left(1 - \sqrt{g_{\mu\nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}} \right), \qquad \mu = 1, \dots, N+1$$

Pick static gauge $x^0(\tau) = \tau$, $x^i(\tau) = 2\sqrt{-\lambda}q_i(\tau)$. Sharp worldline interpretation for $\lambda < 0$ (wrong-sign kinetic terms otherwise).

1d $T\bar{T}$ as coupling to worldline gravity

In 2d, the $T\overline{T}$ deformation is proposed to be equivalent to coupling the theory to JT gravity in flat space [Dubovsky, Gorbenko, Mirbabayi].

1d $T\bar{T}$ as coupling to worldline gravity

In 2d, the $T\overline{T}$ deformation is proposed to be equivalent to coupling the theory to JT gravity in flat space [Dubovsky, Gorbenko, Mirbabayi].

Worldline actions for $\lambda < 0$ suggest similar connection. Proposal:

$$Z_{\lambda}(\beta) = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}e\mathcal{D}X\mathcal{D}\Phi}{\text{Vol(Diff)}} e^{-S_0[e,\Phi] - S[e,X;\lambda]}$$

for $S_0[e, \Phi]$ the undeformed theory with fields $\Phi(\tau)$ on einbein $e, \tau \sim \tau + \beta'$,

$$S[e, X] = -\frac{1}{8\lambda} \int_0^{\beta'} e \, d\tau \left(e^{-1} \dot{X} - 1 \right)^2.$$

 $X(\tau + \beta') = X(\tau) + m\beta$ compact scalar with winding m.

1d $T\bar{T}$ as coupling to worldline gravity

In 2d, the $T\overline{T}$ deformation is proposed to be equivalent to coupling the theory to JT gravity in flat space [Dubovsky, Gorbenko, Mirbabayi].

Worldline actions for $\lambda < 0$ suggest similar connection. Proposal:

$$Z_{\lambda}(\beta) = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}e\mathcal{D}X\mathcal{D}\Phi}{\text{Vol(Diff)}} e^{-S_0[e,\Phi] - S[e,X;\lambda]}$$

for $S_0[e, \Phi]$ the undeformed theory with fields $\Phi(\tau)$ on einbein $e, \tau \sim \tau + \beta'$,

$$S[e, X] = -\frac{1}{8\lambda} \int_0^{\beta'} e \, d\tau \left(e^{-1} \dot{X} - 1 \right)^2 \, d\tau$$

 $X(\tau + \beta') = X(\tau) + m\beta$ compact scalar with winding m. Gauge fixing e = 1 reduces the path integral over einbeins to an integral over β' :

$$Z_{\lambda}(\beta) = \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{-8\pi\lambda}} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\beta'}{\beta'^{3/2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{8\beta'\lambda} (m\beta - \beta')^2\right) Z(\beta') \,.$$

Unit winding sector is the integral transform for $Z(\beta')$!

Consider Schwarzian action

$$S = -C \int d\tau \left\{ e^{i\theta(\tau)}, \tau \right\} = -C \int d\tau \left(\left(\frac{\theta''}{\theta'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta''}{\theta'} \right)^2 + \frac{\theta'^2}{2} \right)$$

Deformed action?

Consider Schwarzian action

$$S = -C \int d\tau \left\{ e^{i\theta(\tau)}, \tau \right\} = -C \int d\tau \left(\left(\frac{\theta''}{\theta'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta''}{\theta'} \right)^2 + \frac{\theta'^2}{2} \right)$$

٠

Deformed action? Compute deformed Hamiltonian and transform:

$$q_1 = \theta, \qquad q_2 = \theta', \qquad p_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta'} - \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta''}\right), \qquad p_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta''}.$$

The undeformed and deformed Hamiltonian are

$$H_0 = p_2^2 q_2^2 + \frac{C}{2} q_2^2 + p_1 q_2, \qquad H(\lambda) = f(H_0).$$

Euclidean Lagrangian becomes

$$L_E(\lambda) = \frac{C}{2} \frac{e^{\phi}}{\theta'} (\phi'^2 - \theta'^2) + f(\dot{f}^{-1}(e^{-\phi}\theta')) - e^{-\phi}\theta' \dot{f}^{-1}(e^{-\phi}\theta'),$$

where we have substituted $q_2 = e^{\phi}$. As $\lambda \to 0$ latter terms enforce $\theta' = e^{\phi}$.

Consider Schwarzian action

$$S = -C \int d\tau \left\{ e^{i\theta(\tau)}, \tau \right\} = -C \int d\tau \left(\left(\frac{\theta''}{\theta'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\theta''}{\theta'} \right)^2 + \frac{\theta'^2}{2} \right)$$

.

Deformed action? Compute deformed Hamiltonian and transform:

$$q_1 = \theta, \qquad q_2 = \theta', \qquad p_1 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta'} - \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta''}\right), \qquad p_2 = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta''}.$$

The undeformed and deformed Hamiltonian are

$$H_0 = p_2^2 q_2^2 + \frac{C}{2} q_2^2 + p_1 q_2, \qquad H(\lambda) = f(H_0).$$

Euclidean Lagrangian becomes

$$L_E(\lambda) = \frac{C}{2} \frac{e^{\phi}}{\theta'} (\phi'^2 - \theta'^2) + f(\dot{f}^{-1}(e^{-\phi}\theta')) - e^{-\phi}\theta' \dot{f}^{-1}(e^{-\phi}\theta'),$$

where we have substituted $q_2 = e^{\phi}$. As $\lambda \to 0$ latter terms enforce $\theta' = e^{\phi}$.

OTOC: linearize around saddle $\theta = \tau + \varepsilon(\tau)$, $e^{\phi} = c_f e^{\eta(\tau)}$, compute $\langle \varepsilon(\tau)\varepsilon(0) \rangle$ which feeds into 4-pt function. Lyapunov exponent unaffected.

This theory has a one-loop exact partition function [Stanford, Witten]

$$Z(\beta) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\beta}\right), \qquad \rho(E) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3/2}} \sinh\left(2\pi\sqrt{E}\right).$$

 $\rho(E)$ determines potential for matrix model. [Saad, Shenker, Stanford]

This theory has a one-loop exact partition function [Stanford, Witten]

$$Z(\beta) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\beta}\right), \qquad \rho(E) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3/2}} \sinh\left(2\pi\sqrt{E}\right).$$

 $\rho(E)$ determines potential for matrix model. [Saad, Shenker, Stanford] Deforming $H\to f(H)$ gives

$$\rho_{\lambda}(E) = \rho(f^{-1}(E)) \frac{df^{-1}(E)}{dE}$$

New matrix model descriptions from this spectral function?

This theory has a one-loop exact partition function [Stanford, Witten]

$$Z(\beta) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\beta}\right), \qquad \rho(E) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3/2}} \sinh\left(2\pi\sqrt{E}\right)$$

 $\rho(E)$ determines potential for matrix model. [Saad, Shenker, Stanford] Deforming $H\to f(H)$ gives

$$\rho_{\lambda}(E) = \rho(f^{-1}(E)) \frac{df^{-1}(E)}{dE}$$

New matrix model descriptions from this spectral function? 1d $T\bar{T}$:

$$\rho_{\lambda}(E) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3/2}} (1 - 4\lambda E) \sinh\left(2\pi\sqrt{E(1 - 2\lambda E)}\right).$$

One cut matrix model description?

This theory has a one-loop exact partition function [Stanford, Witten]

$$Z(\beta) = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3/2}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{\beta}\right), \qquad \rho(E) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3/2}} \sinh\left(2\pi\sqrt{E}\right)$$

 $\rho(E)$ determines potential for matrix model. [Saad, Shenker, Stanford] Deforming $H\to f(H)$ gives

$$\rho_{\lambda}(E) = \rho(f^{-1}(E)) \frac{df^{-1}(E)}{dE}$$

New matrix model descriptions from this spectral function? 1d $T\bar{T}$:

$$\rho_{\lambda}(E) = \frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3/2}} (1 - 4\lambda E) \sinh\left(2\pi\sqrt{E(1 - 2\lambda E)}\right).$$

One cut matrix model description? Deformed partition function can be computed exactly by integral transform for $\lambda < 0$:

$$Z_{\lambda}(\beta) = \frac{\alpha \beta e^{-\frac{\beta}{4\lambda}}}{\sqrt{-2\pi\lambda}(\beta^2 + 8\pi^2\lambda)} K_2\left(-\frac{1}{4\lambda}\sqrt{\beta^2 + 8\pi^2\lambda}\right).$$

Hagedorn divergence! Can be continued to $\lambda > 0$. Bulk calculation would be a check of $T\overline{T}$ -ology at subleading order in 1/N.

$$H = i^{q/2} \sum_{i_j} J_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_q}, \quad \langle Z \rangle_J \sim \int dJ_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \exp\left(-\frac{J_{i_1 \cdots i_q}^2}{2\langle J_{i_1 \cdots i_q}^2 \rangle}\right) Z(J_{i_1 \cdots i_q})$$

$$H = i^{q/2} \sum_{i_j} J_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_q}, \quad \langle Z \rangle_J \sim \int dJ_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \exp\left(-\frac{J_{i_1 \cdots i_q}^2}{2\langle J_{i_1 \cdots i_q}^2 \rangle}\right) Z(J_{i_1 \cdots i_q})$$

Can deform then disorder average (only simple deformations manageable), or disorder average then deform; for latter, we end with

$$S_{E,\lambda} = N\left(-\log \operatorname{Pf}(\partial_{\tau} - \Sigma) + \frac{1}{2}\int d\tau \left[\int d\tau' \Sigma(\tau, \tau') G(\tau, \tau') + 2f(H/N)\right]\right)$$

where

$$H = -i^{q} \frac{J^{2}N}{2q} \int d\tau' G(\tau, \tau')^{q} - E_{0} ,$$

with E_0 a constant shift. Deforming microscopic SYK $H + \lambda H^2$ then disorder averaging leads to a particular f(H).

$$H = i^{q/2} \sum_{i_j} J_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_q}, \quad \langle Z \rangle_J \sim \int dJ_{i_1 \cdots i_q} \exp\left(-\frac{J_{i_1 \cdots i_q}^2}{2\langle J_{i_1 \cdots i_q}^2 \rangle}\right) Z(J_{i_1 \cdots i_q})$$

Can deform then disorder average (only simple deformations manageable), or disorder average then deform; for latter, we end with

$$S_{E,\lambda} = N\left(-\log \operatorname{Pf}(\partial_{\tau} - \Sigma) + \frac{1}{2}\int d\tau \left[\int d\tau' \Sigma(\tau, \tau') G(\tau, \tau') + 2f(H/N)\right]\right)$$

where

$$H = -i^q \frac{J^2 N}{2q} \int d\tau' G(\tau, \tau')^q - E_0 \,,$$

with E_0 a constant shift. Deforming microscopic SYK $H + \lambda H^2$ then disorder averaging leads to a particular f(H). SD equations are

$$\int d\tau' G(\tau,\tau') \Sigma(\tau',\tau'') - \partial_{\tau} G(\tau,\tau'') = -\delta(\tau-\tau''),$$

$$\Sigma(\tau,\tau') - i^q f'(H/N) J^2 G^{q-1}(\tau,\tau') = 0.$$

Combining SD equations by solving for Σ gives

$$i^{q}J^{2}f'(H/N)\int d\tau' G(\tau,\tau')G(\tau',\tau'')^{q-1} - \partial_{\tau}G(\tau,\tau'') = -\delta(\tau-\tau'').$$

Combining SD equations by solving for Σ gives

$$i^{q}J^{2}f'(H/N)\int d\tau' G(\tau,\tau')G(\tau',\tau'')^{q-1} - \partial_{\tau}G(\tau,\tau'') = -\delta(\tau-\tau'').$$

Seems difficult because of the $\int G^q$ factors in f'(H/N), but it is formally the same as the undeformed equations if we identify

$$J(\lambda)^2 = J^2 f'(H/N).$$

Our proposed solution to the Schwinger-Dyson equations is

$$G(\tau, \tau') = G_0(\tau, \tau'; J(\lambda)),$$

where we take the undeformed correlator and map $J \to J(\lambda)$. For $E_0 = E_{\text{vac}}$ we find $J(\lambda) = J$. Can see this from integral transforms as well.

Combining SD equations by solving for Σ gives

$$i^{q}J^{2}f'(H/N)\int d\tau' G(\tau,\tau')G(\tau',\tau'')^{q-1} - \partial_{\tau}G(\tau,\tau'') = -\delta(\tau-\tau'').$$

Seems difficult because of the $\int G^q$ factors in f'(H/N), but it is formally the same as the undeformed equations if we identify

$$J(\lambda)^2 = J^2 f'(H/N).$$

Our proposed solution to the Schwinger-Dyson equations is

$$G(\tau, \tau') = G_0(\tau, \tau'; J(\lambda)),$$

where we take the undeformed correlator and map $J \to J(\lambda)$. For $E_0 = E_{\text{vac}}$ we find $J(\lambda) = J$. Can see this from integral transforms as well.

Integral transforms work for $H + \lambda H^2$ with $\lambda \sim O(1)$, but no effective action understanding.

▶ Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.

- Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.
- ▶ 1d $T\overline{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.

- ▶ Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.
- ▶ 1d $T\overline{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.
- ► Can mix in additional commuting conserved charges, e.g. $H_1 + H_2 + \lambda H_1 H_2$.

- Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.
- ▶ 1d $T\overline{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.
- ► Can mix in additional commuting conserved charges, e.g. $H_1 + H_2 + \lambda H_1 H_2$.
- ▶ Quantum mechanics is interesting due to the rich infrared!

- Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.
- ▶ 1d $T\overline{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.
- ► Can mix in additional commuting conserved charges, e.g. $H_1 + H_2 + \lambda H_1 H_2$.
- Quantum mechanics is interesting due to the rich infrared!
- Duality for truncated theory?

- Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.
- ▶ 1d $T\overline{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.
- ► Can mix in additional commuting conserved charges, e.g. $H_1 + H_2 + \lambda H_1 H_2$.
- Quantum mechanics is interesting due to the rich infrared!
- Duality for truncated theory?
- Holography for more general spacetimes through 1d $T\overline{T}$?

- Hamiltonian deformations $H \to f(H)$ are integrable.
- ▶ 1d $T\overline{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.
- ► Can mix in additional commuting conserved charges, e.g. $H_1 + H_2 + \lambda H_1 H_2$.
- ▶ Quantum mechanics is interesting due to the rich infrared!
- Duality for truncated theory?
- Holography for more general spacetimes through 1d $T\overline{T}$?
- Questionable tangent to entertain my friends and connect directly to "Geometry from the Quantum": how do higher-form symmetries / Eguchi-Kawai fit into the 1d framework, if at all? [ES]