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## Why quantum mechanics?
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- To isolate exotic interiors, we want analog of $T \bar{T}$ deformation in QM [Zamolodchikov, Smirnov; Cavaglia, Negro, Tateo, Szécsényi; McGough, Mezei, Verlinde]
- Any "composite" operator built out of $T$ is well-defined; $1 \mathrm{~d} T \bar{T}$ is one example of infinite class of integrable deformations $H \rightarrow f(H)$.
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- $f(H)$ well-defined composite operator.
- Conserved charges remain conserved.
- Eigenvectors remain eigenvectors; for finite system eigenvectors coincide.
Finite-temperature correlators obtained as integral transform. Consider $Z(\beta)$ :

$$
K\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-i \infty}^{i \infty} d E e^{-\beta f(E)+\beta^{\prime} E} \Longrightarrow e^{-\beta f(E)}=\int_{0}^{\infty} d \beta^{\prime} e^{-\beta^{\prime} E} K\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since eigenfunctions unchanged, correlation functions treated similarly:

$$
\left\langle O\left(\tau_{1}\right) \ldots O\left(\tau_{n}\right)\right\rangle=\int\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} d E_{i}\right)\langle 0| O\left|E_{1}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle E_{n-1}\right| O|0\rangle e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\tau_{i}-\tau_{i+1}\right) E_{i}}
$$

## AdS $_{2}$ JT gravity at finite cutoff: 1d $T \bar{T}$

Consider $s$-wave sector of $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ pure gravity:
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Flow $\partial S^{(2 d)} / \partial \lambda=\int d^{2} x T \bar{T}$ in $\mathrm{CFT}_{2}$ is supposed to implement finite cutoff in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ [McGough, Mezei, Verlinde]. Dimensionally reduce flow to get

$$
\frac{\partial S^{(1 d)}}{\partial \lambda}=\int d \tau \frac{T^{2}}{1 / 2-2 \lambda T}
$$

Energy levels of deformed theory given as

$$
\frac{\partial E}{\partial \lambda}=\frac{E^{2}}{1 / 2-2 \lambda E} \Longrightarrow H=\frac{1}{4 \lambda}\left(1-\sqrt{1-8 H_{0} \lambda}\right)
$$

This $f(H)$ leads to a computable kernel for $\lambda<0$ :

$$
K\left(\beta, \beta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{-8 \pi \lambda \beta^{\prime 3}}} \exp \left(\frac{\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{8 \beta^{\prime} \lambda}\right)
$$

General dilaton gravity (needed for exotic interiors!) must be analyzed directly using method of [Hartman, Kruthoff, ES, Tajdini]
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For $\lambda<0$ this is a worldline action with cosmological constant and mass $m=1$ in a curved target space metric $g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\mu \nu}\left(1-8 \lambda V\left(q_{i}\right)\right)$ :

$$
S_{E}=\frac{1}{4 \lambda} \int d \tau\left(1-\sqrt{g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}}\right), \quad \mu=1, \ldots, N+1
$$

Pick static gauge $x^{0}(\tau)=\tau, x^{i}(\tau)=2 \sqrt{-\lambda} q_{i}(\tau)$. Sharp worldline interpretation for $\lambda<0$ (wrong-sign kinetic terms otherwise).
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Worldline actions for $\lambda<0$ suggest similar connection. Proposal:
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Z_{\lambda}(\beta)=\int \frac{\mathcal{D} e \mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \Phi}{\operatorname{Vol}(\mathrm{Diff})} e^{-S_{0}[e, \Phi]-S[e, X ; \lambda]}
$$

for $S_{0}[e, \Phi]$ the undeformed theory with fields $\Phi(\tau)$ on einbein $e, \tau \sim \tau+\beta^{\prime}$,

$$
S[e, X]=-\frac{1}{8 \lambda} \int_{0}^{\beta^{\prime}} e d \tau\left(e^{-1} \dot{X}-1\right)^{2} .
$$

$X\left(\tau+\beta^{\prime}\right)=X(\tau)+m \beta$ compact scalar with winding $m$. Gauge fixing $e=1$ reduces the path integral over einbeins to an integral over $\beta^{\prime}$ :

$$
Z_{\lambda}(\beta)=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{-8 \pi \lambda}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d \beta^{\prime}}{\beta^{\prime 3 / 2}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{8 \beta^{\prime} \lambda}\left(m \beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) Z\left(\beta^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Unit winding sector is the integral transform for $Z\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ !
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where we have substituted $q_{2}=e^{\phi}$. As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ latter terms enforce $\theta^{\prime}=e^{\phi}$.
OTOC: linearize around saddle $\theta=\tau+\varepsilon(\tau), e^{\phi}=c_{f} e^{\eta(\tau)}$, compute $\langle\varepsilon(\tau) \varepsilon(0)\rangle$ which feeds into 4-pt function. Lyapunov exponent unaffected.
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This theory has a one-loop exact partition function [Stanford, Witten]

$$
Z(\beta)=\frac{\alpha}{\beta^{3 / 2}} \exp \left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{\beta}\right), \quad \rho(E)=\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3 / 2}} \sinh (2 \pi \sqrt{E})
$$

$\rho(E)$ determines potential for matrix model. [Saad, Shenker, Stanford] Deforming $H \rightarrow f(H)$ gives

$$
\rho_{\lambda}(E)=\rho\left(f^{-1}(E)\right) \frac{d f^{-1}(E)}{d E}
$$

New matrix model descriptions from this spectral function? 1d $T \bar{T}$ :

$$
\rho_{\lambda}(E)=\frac{\alpha}{\pi^{3 / 2}}(1-4 \lambda E) \sinh (2 \pi \sqrt{E(1-2 \lambda E)})
$$

One cut matrix model description? Deformed partition function can be computed exactly by integral transform for $\lambda<0$ :

$$
Z_{\lambda}(\beta)=\frac{\alpha \beta e^{-\frac{\beta}{4 \lambda}}}{\sqrt{-2 \pi \lambda}\left(\beta^{2}+8 \pi^{2} \lambda\right)} K_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{4 \lambda} \sqrt{\beta^{2}+8 \pi^{2} \lambda}\right)
$$

Hagedorn divergence! Can be continued to $\lambda>0$. Bulk calculation would be a check of $T \bar{T}$-ology at subleading order in $1 / N$.
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Can deform then disorder average (only simple deformations manageable), or disorder average then deform; for latter, we end with
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with $E_{0}$ a constant shift. Deforming microscopic SYK $H+\lambda H^{2}$ then disorder averaging leads to a particular $f(H)$.
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$$

where

$$
H=-i^{q} \frac{J^{2} N}{2 q} \int d \tau^{\prime} G\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)^{q}-E_{0}
$$

with $E_{0}$ a constant shift. Deforming microscopic SYK $H+\lambda H^{2}$ then disorder averaging leads to a particular $f(H)$. SD equations are

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int d \tau^{\prime} G\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right) \Sigma\left(\tau^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime \prime}\right)-\partial_{\tau} G\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\delta\left(\tau-\tau^{\prime \prime}\right) \\
\Sigma\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)-i^{q} f^{\prime}(H / N) J^{2} G^{q-1}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=0
\end{array}
$$

## Applications: SYK
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Seems difficult because of the $\int G^{q}$ factors in $f^{\prime}(H / N)$, but it is formally the same as the undeformed equations if we identify

$$
J(\lambda)^{2}=J^{2} f^{\prime}(H / N) .
$$

Our proposed solution to the Schwinger-Dyson equations is

$$
G\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=G_{0}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime} ; J(\lambda)\right),
$$

where we take the undeformed correlator and map $J \rightarrow J(\lambda)$. For $E_{0}=E_{\text {vac }}$ we find $J(\lambda)=J$. Can see this from integral transforms as well.
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Seems difficult because of the $\int G^{q}$ factors in $f^{\prime}(H / N)$, but it is formally the same as the undeformed equations if we identify

$$
J(\lambda)^{2}=J^{2} f^{\prime}(H / N) .
$$

Our proposed solution to the Schwinger-Dyson equations is

$$
G\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime}\right)=G_{0}\left(\tau, \tau^{\prime} ; J(\lambda)\right),
$$

where we take the undeformed correlator and map $J \rightarrow J(\lambda)$. For $E_{0}=E_{\text {vac }}$ we find $J(\lambda)=J$. Can see this from integral transforms as well.

Integral transforms work for $H+\lambda H^{2}$ with $\lambda \sim O(1)$, but no effective action understanding.
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## Comments

- Hamiltonian deformations $H \rightarrow f(H)$ are integrable.
- 1d $T \bar{T}$ is a Hamiltonian deformation that couples the theory to worldline gravity.
- Can mix in additional commuting conserved charges, e.g. $H_{1}+H_{2}+\lambda H_{1} H_{2}$.
- Quantum mechanics is interesting due to the rich infrared!
- Duality for truncated theory?
- Holography for more general spacetimes through 1d $T \bar{T}$ ?
- Questionable tangent to entertain my friends and connect directly to "Geometry from the Quantum": how do higher-form symmetries / Eguchi-Kawai fit into the 1d framework, if at all? [ES]

