Neutrino telescope and CMB likelihoods: implications for the MSSM

Pat Scott

Department of Physics, McGill University

Based on: PS, Savage, Edsjö & The IceCube Collab. *JCAP* 2013, arXiv:1207.0810 Silverwood, PS, Danninger, et al. *JCAP* 2013, arXiv:1210.0844 Cline & PS *JCAP* 2013, arXiv:1301.5908

Slides available from:

http://www.physics.mcgill.ca/~patscott

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Likelihoods: why should theorists care?

- Gives full info on how consistent a given model is with data (not IN/OUT) → allows global fits
- Allows proper recasting of experimental results to different models
- 3 Allows uncertainties on m_t , $\Sigma_{\pi N}$, detector efficiency, etc to be accounted for + propagated consistently

イロト 不同 とくほ とくほ とう

э.

Likelihoods: why should theorists care?

- Gives full info on how consistent a given model is with data (not IN/OUT) → allows global fits
- Allows proper recasting of experimental results to different models
- 3 Allows uncertainties on m_t , $\Sigma_{\pi N}$, detector efficiency, etc to be accounted for + propagated consistently

Likelihoods for beyond-the-SM searches to be described:

- CMB angular power spectrum distortions: Energy injection from DM annihilation $\chi\chi \rightarrow SM$ at $z \sim 600$
- Neutrino signals from the centre of the Sun: Solar WIMP capture and annihilation

イロン 不得 とくほ とくほう

Ŧ

Likelihoods: why should theorists care?

- Gives full info on how consistent a given model is with data (not IN/OUT) → allows global fits
- Allows proper recasting of experimental results to different models
- 3 Allows uncertainties on m_t , $\Sigma_{\pi N}$, detector efficiency, etc to be accounted for + propagated consistently
- Likelihoods for beyond-the-SM searches to be described:
 - CMB angular power spectrum distortions: Energy injection from DM annihilation $\chi\chi \rightarrow SM$ at $z \sim 600$
 - Neutrino signals from the centre of the Sun: Solar WIMP capture and annihilation

Models:

- CMSSM: m_0 , $m_{\frac{1}{2}}$, A_0 , $\tan \beta$, μ
- MSSM-25: M_1 , \tilde{M}_2 , M_3 , $15 \times m_{\tilde{t}}$, A_t , A_b , A_{τ} , $A_{e/\mu}$, m_A , tan β , μ

Simple CMB likelihood function, for

- Any combination of annihilation or decay channels
- Any dark matter mass
- Any decay lifetime/annihilation cross-section
- \rightarrow just requires interpolating one number in a table.

Cline & PS, 1301.5908, using

- CMB energy deposition from Slatyer, 1211.0283 and Finkbeiner et al, 1109.6322
- PYTHIA annihilation/decay spectra of Cirelli et al, 1012.4515.

・ロ と ・ 一日 と ・ 日 と ・ 日 と

Simple CMB likelihood function, for

- Any combination of annihilation or decay channels
- Any dark matter mass
- Any decay lifetime/annihilation cross-section
- \rightarrow just requires interpolating one number in a table.

 $f_{\rm eff}$ for annihilation:

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(\langle \sigma v \rangle | m_{\chi}, r_i) = -\frac{1}{2} f_{\text{eff}}^2(m_{\chi}, r_i) \lambda_1 c_1^2 \left(\frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2 \times 10^{-27} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\text{GeV}}{m_{\chi}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Simple CMB likelihood function, for

- Any combination of annihilation or decay channels
- Any dark matter mass
- Any decay lifetime/annihilation cross-section
- \rightarrow just requires interpolating one number in a table.

$f_{\rm eff}$ for annihilation:

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(\langle \sigma v \rangle | m_{\chi}, r_i) = -\frac{1}{2} f_{\text{eff}}^2(m_{\chi}, r_i) \lambda_1 c_1^2 \left(\frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{2 \times 10^{-27} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\text{GeV}}{m_{\chi}} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

 η for decay:

$$\ln \mathcal{L}(\tau | m_{\chi}, r_i) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \Omega}{\Omega_{\rm DM} \tau} \right)^2 \eta^2(\tau, m_{\chi}, r_i)$$
(2)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト …

Simple CMB likelihood function, for

- Any combination of annihilation or decay channels
- Any dark matter mass
- Any decay lifetime/annihilation cross-section
- \rightarrow just requires interpolating one number in a table.



How to find DM with neutrino telescopes

The short version:

Pat Scott – May 31 – Snowmass on the Pacific, KITP Neutrino telescopes, the CMB & the MSSM

・ロト ・ 四ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

3

How to find DM with neutrino telescopes

The short version:

Halo WIMPs crash into the Sun



→ E → + E →

э



The short version:

- Halo WIMPs crash into the Sun
- Some lose enough energy in the scatter to be gravitationally bound



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

The short version:

- Halo WIMPs crash into the Sun
- Some lose enough energy in the scatter to be gravitationally bound
- Scatter some more, sink to the core



→ E → < E →</p>

< 🗇 🕨

The short version:

- Halo WIMPs crash into the Sun
- Some lose enough energy in the scatter to be gravitationally bound
- Scatter some more, sink to the core
- Annihilate with each other, producing neutrinos



The short version:

- Halo WIMPs crash into the Sun
- Some lose enough energy in the scatter to be gravitationally bound
- Scatter some more, sink to the core
- Annihilate with each other, producing neutrinos
- Propagate+oscillate their way to the Earth, convert into muons in ice/water



The short version:

- Halo WIMPs crash into the Sun
- Some lose enough energy in the scatter to be gravitationally bound
- Scatter some more, sink to the core
- Annihilate with each other, producing neutrinos
- Propagate+oscillate their way to the Earth, convert into muons in ice/water
- Look for Čerenkov radiation from the muons in IceCube, ANTARES, etc



Advanced IceCube Likelihood for Model Testing

Simplest way to do anything is to first make it a counting problem...

Compare observed number of events *n* and predicted number θ for each model, taking into account error σ_{ϵ} on acceptance:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{num}}(n|\theta_{\text{BG}} + \theta_{\text{sig}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\epsilon}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta_{\text{BG}} + \epsilon\theta_{\text{sig}})^{n} e^{-(\theta_{\text{BG}} + \epsilon\theta_{\text{sig}})}}{n!} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ln \epsilon}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)^{2}\right] d\epsilon .$$
(3)

Nuisance parameter ϵ takes into account systematic errors on effective area, etc. $\sigma_{\epsilon} \sim 20\%$ for IceCube.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・

Advanced IceCube Likelihood for Model Testing

Simplest way to do anything is to first make it a counting problem...

Compare observed number of events *n* and predicted number θ for each model, taking into account error σ_{ϵ} on acceptance:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{num}}(n|\theta_{\text{BG}} + \theta_{\text{sig}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{\epsilon}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(\theta_{\text{BG}} + \epsilon\theta_{\text{sig}})^{n} e^{-(\theta_{\text{BG}} + \epsilon\theta_{\text{sig}})}}{n!} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ln\epsilon}{\sigma_{\epsilon}}\right)^{2}\right] d\epsilon \,.$$
(3)

Then: upgrade to full unbinned likelihood with number (\mathcal{L}_{num}), spectral (\mathcal{L}_{spec}) and angular (\mathcal{L}_{ang}) bits:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{num}}(n|\theta_{\text{signal}+\text{BG}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{L}_{\text{spec},i} \mathcal{L}_{\text{ang},i}$$
(4)

(個) (日) (日) (日)

All available in DarkSUSY v5.0.6 and later: www.darksusy.org

CMSSM model reconstruction with IceCube event data

Benchmark recovery with 22-string IceCube WIMP-search neutrino events + full likelihood:

Mock signal: 60 events, $m_{\chi} = 500 \text{ GeV}$, 100% $\chi \chi \rightarrow W^+ W^-$



Prospects for detection in the MSSM-25

86-string IceCube vs Direct Detection (points pass $\Omega_{\chi}h^2$, $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, LEP)



Many models that IceCube-86 can see are not accessible to direct detection...

→ Ξ →

< 🗇 🕨

Pat Scott – May 31 – Snowmass on the Pacific, KITP Neutrino telescopes, the CMB & the MSSM

Prospects for detection in the MSSM-25

86-string IceCube vs Gamma Rays



Many models that IceCube-86 can see are not accessible by other indirect probes...

Pat Scott – May 31 – Snowmass on the Pacific, KITP Neutrino telescopes, the CMB & the MSSM

Prospects for detection in the MSSM-25

86-string IceCube vs LHC (very naively)

SMS limits: 7 TeV, 4.7 fb⁻¹, jets + $E_{T,miss}$; 0 leptons (ATLAS), razor + M_{T2} (CMS)



Many models that IceCube-86 can see are also not accessible at colliders.

→ Ξ → → Ξ



Take-home messages:

- Limits are not enough experiments need to give full likelihood information if phenomenology is to be done properly
- Neutrino telescopes provide the only access to many MSSM-25 models
- Energy information in neutrino DM searches can help greatly in model discrimination

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Backup Slides

Pat Scott – May 31 – Snowmass on the Pacific, KITP Neutrino telescopes, the CMB & the MSSM

★ロト★課と★注と★注と。

∃ 𝒫𝔄𝔄

Prospects for detection in the MSSM-25

Gaugino fractions



Mainly mixed models, a few Higgsinos