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## Introduction

## $\mathcal{N}=4 \mathbf{S Y M}$

Matter content: Gluons $A_{\mu}, 6$ scalars $\Phi^{I}, 4$ gluinos $\Psi_{\alpha}^{A}, \Psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{A}$.
Most symmetric 4d gauge theory, symmetry psu(2,2|4) including $s o(4,2) \times s o(6):$

Conformal: $\quad P_{\mu}, K_{\mu}, M_{\mu \nu}, D, \quad$ R-symmetry: $\quad R_{I J}$
SUSY: $\quad Q_{\alpha}^{A}, \bar{Q}_{A \dot{\alpha}}$,
Superconformal: $\quad S_{A}^{\alpha}, \bar{S}^{A \dot{\alpha}}$
In total 32 supercharges.
Dual to string theory on $A d S_{5} \times S^{5}$ (will not use today).
I've been involved in finding interesting families of operators with 16 or fewer SUSYs.

This gives enough freedom to be interesting but still under control.

## Chiral primary operators

Simplest operators: $\operatorname{Tr}\left[\Phi_{\left\{I_{1}\right.} \cdots \Phi_{\left.I_{J}\right\}}\right]$ with ${ }_{\{\cdots\}}$ sym. tracless.
A nice basis is $Z_{u}(x)=u^{I} \Phi^{I}(x)$ and take $\mathcal{O}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[Z_{u}(x)^{J}\right]$.
SUSY: $\delta \Phi^{I}=\bar{\Psi} \gamma^{5} \rho^{I}\left(\epsilon_{0}+\gamma_{\mu} x^{\mu} \epsilon_{1}\right)$.
$\delta Z(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad u^{I} \rho^{I} \epsilon_{0}=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad u \cdot u=0$
$\operatorname{Tr} Z^{J}$ preserves 24 supersymmetry generators.
$\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} Z_{1}^{J}\left(x_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr} Z_{2}^{J}\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \sim J\left(\frac{u_{1}^{I} \cdot u_{2}^{I}}{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{J} \equiv J[12]^{J}$ is an exact statement and receives no quantum corrections.
$\operatorname{Tr} Z^{J}\left(x_{2}\right)$ preserves also 24 generators. It shares 16 preserved supercharges with the other one. Which generators are common depends on the positions $x_{1}, x_{2}$.

The three-point function:

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} Z_{1}^{J_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr} Z_{2}^{J_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr} Z_{3}^{J_{3}}\left(x_{3}\right)\right\rangle \sim[12]^{J_{1}+J_{2}-J_{3}}[23]^{J_{2}+J_{3}-J_{1}}[13]^{J_{3}+J_{1}-J_{2}}
$$

This is an exact statement and receives no quantum corrections. (Lee, Minwalla, Rangamani, Seiberg)

All three operators share at least 8 supercharges.
The 4-point function is very complicated

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} Z_{1}^{k}\left(x_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\right. & \left.Z_{2}^{k}\left(x_{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr} Z_{3}^{k}\left(x_{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr} Z_{4}^{k}\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{tree}+\mathcal{R} \sum_{m+n+l=k-2} \mathcal{F}_{m n l}^{(k)}(s, t, \lambda) \mathcal{X}^{m} \mathcal{Y}^{n} \mathcal{Z}^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

where (Arutyunov, Dolan, Osborn, Penati, Santambrogio, Sokatchev,...)

$$
\mathcal{X}=[12][34], \quad \mathcal{Y}=[13][24], \quad \mathcal{Z}=[14][23] .
$$

The conformal invariant cross ratios are

$$
s=\frac{x_{12}^{2} x_{34}^{2}}{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}}, \quad t=\frac{x_{14}^{2} x_{23}^{2}}{x_{13}^{2} x_{24}^{2}}, \quad x_{i j}^{2}=\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2} .
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}=s \mathcal{X}^{2}+\mathcal{Y}^{2}+ & t \mathcal{Z}^{2}+(s-t-1) \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Z} \\
& +(1-s-t) \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Z}+(t-s-1) \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{F}_{m n l}^{(k)}(s, t, \lambda)$ is known to two loop order.
We would like to attribute the complexity of the 4-point function to the fact that four $1 / 2 \mathrm{BPS}$ local operators will generically not share any supersymmetries.
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1. Are there ways to choose four operators or more to preserve SUSY?
2. Will the $n$-point function be simpler than the generic case?
3. Can this be used to understand more general $n$-point functions?
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## Trivial example

Take $u_{i}^{I}=(1, i, 0,0,0,0)$, so $Z=\Phi^{1}+i \Phi^{2}$.
$\operatorname{Tr} Z^{J}(0)$ preserves 8 super-Poincaré charges $(Q \mathrm{~s})$ and all 16 superconformal ones (the $S \mathrm{~s}$ ). At a different position $\operatorname{Tr} Z^{J}(x)$ will no longer preserve the $S \mathrm{~s}$, but it will still preserve the same eight $Q \mathrm{~s}$ (as well as 16 other linear combinations of generators).

Any number of such operators will therefore share eight supercharges.
Indeed the $n$-point function is protected

$$
\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} Z^{J_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr} Z^{J_{2}}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Tr} Z^{J_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle=0 .
$$

This is a rather trivial example....
Same is almost true with $\mathcal{N}=1$ SUSY - chiral ring.

## Example I

Central idea: Make $u^{I}=u^{I}(x)$ space-time dependent.
We will take the following combination of the six real scalar fields
de Medeiros, Hull, Spence, Figueroa-O'Farrill, hep-th/0111190

$$
C=2 i x_{\mu} \Phi^{\mu}+i\left(1-(x)^{2}\right) \Phi^{5}+\left(1+(x)^{2}\right) \Phi^{6}
$$

For example

$$
C(0) \propto \Phi^{6}+i \Phi^{5}, \quad C(\infty) \propto \Phi^{6}-i \Phi^{5}, \quad C(1,0,0,0) \propto \Phi^{6}+i \Phi^{1}
$$

A crucial property:

$$
[12]=\left\langle C\left(x_{1}\right) C\left(x_{2}\right)\right\rangle \propto \frac{\left(1+x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+x_{2}^{2}\right)-\left(1-x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-x_{2}^{2}\right)-4 x_{1}^{\mu} x_{2}^{\mu}}{\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

This is a constant independent of $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ !

## four-point function

2 and 3 -point functions of chiral operators are always given by free contractions. For operators $\operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{i}}$ it will depend on $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$, but not on the coupling and not on the positions.
The 4-point functions has the tree-contractions and generally the interactions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} C^{k}\left(x_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr}\right. & \left.C^{k}\left(x_{2}\right) \operatorname{Tr} C^{k}\left(x_{3}\right) \operatorname{Tr} C^{k}\left(x_{4}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\operatorname{tree}+\mathcal{R} \sum_{m+n+l=k-2} \mathcal{F}_{m n l}^{(k)}(s, t) \mathcal{X}^{m} \mathcal{Y}^{n} \mathcal{Z}^{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

$s$ and $t$ are arbitrary, but for our operators $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{Z}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}=s \mathcal{X}^{2}+\mathcal{Y}^{2}+ & t \mathcal{Z}^{2}+(s-t-1) \mathcal{Y} \mathcal{Z} \\
& +(1-s-t) \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Z}+(t-s-1) \mathcal{X} \mathcal{Y}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

I will prove later that there are no one-loop corrections to any $n$-point function of these operators.

$$
C=2 i x_{\mu} \Phi^{\mu}+i\left(1-(x)^{2}\right) \Phi^{5}+\left(1+(x)^{2}\right) \Phi^{6}
$$

$$
C=\Phi^{6}+i x_{m} \Phi^{m}, \quad m=1, \cdots, 5 \quad x^{2}=1
$$

## Supersymmetry

Require $\delta C=0$ for all $x^{\mu} \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left(\gamma^{\mu \nu}+\rho^{\mu \nu}\right) \epsilon_{0}=0, \quad \epsilon_{1}=i \gamma^{1} \rho^{16} \epsilon_{0}$.
Break R-symmetry su(4) $\rightarrow$ su $(2) \times s u(2)$ such that $\mathbf{4} \rightarrow(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})$
Two solutions: $\epsilon_{0 a}^{+\alpha}=\delta_{a}^{\alpha} \epsilon_{0}^{+}$and $\epsilon_{0 \dot{\alpha}}^{-\dot{\alpha}}=\delta_{\dot{a}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \epsilon_{0}^{-}$.
Writing the generators schematically as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
P, K, M, D & Q \\
\hline S & R
\end{array}\right)
$$

The preserved supercharges are $\operatorname{tr}_{s u(4)} Q$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{s u(4)} S$.
Our operators are covariant under the twisted generators

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{P}_{\mu} & =P_{\mu}+T_{5 \mu}+i T_{6 \mu}, & \tilde{M}_{\mu \nu} & =M_{\mu \nu}+T_{\mu \nu} \\
\tilde{D} & =D+i T_{56}, & \tilde{K}_{\mu} & =K_{\mu}-T_{5 \mu}+i T_{6 \mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

with twisted dimension $\tilde{\Delta}=0$.
Hence the two point function is a constant!
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## Special cases

- As for all $1 / 2$ BPS chiral primaries, two of our operators will share 16 surpercharges.
- Three operators (or any number of operators along a line/circle) will share 8 supercharges.
- Four operators (or any number of operators along a plane/sphere) will share 4 supercharges.
- The most generic configuration will share 2 supercharges.
- They all transform covariantly under the "twisted symmetries". Including 15 bosonic generators of $s o(5,1)$, and 15 fermionic ones. Together they make the algebra $q(4)$.

As part of the algebra we can generate one copy of so(5,1) from the fermionic generators

$$
\tilde{P}_{\mu}=\left\{\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm}, \tilde{Q}_{\mu}^{ \pm}\right\}, \quad \tilde{D}=\left\{\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm}, \tilde{Q}_{D}^{ \pm}\right\}
$$

Using the Ward identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{\mu}}\langle & \left.\operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\mathcal{Q}^{+}\left\langle J_{1} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left\{Q_{\mu}^{+}, C\right\} C^{J_{1}-1}\left(x_{1}\right)\right] \cdots \operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle=0
\end{aligned}
$$

So it is position-independent.
Can also write $\mathcal{S}=\left\{\mathcal{Q}^{ \pm}, \Psi^{ \pm}\right\}$, do

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial g_{Y M}^{2}}\left\langle\operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \operatorname{Tr} \cdots \operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{pert}} \\
& \propto \mathcal{Q}^{+}\left\langle\Psi^{+} \operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdots \operatorname{Tr} C^{J_{n}}\left(x_{n}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathrm{pert}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

So there are no perturbative correction to the free field-thoery answer.

## Example II

Using the complex coordinates $w=x_{1}+i x_{2}$ and $\bar{w}=x_{1}-i x_{2}$ in the plane

$$
Z=i\left(1-\bar{w}^{2}\right) \Phi^{1}+\left(1+\bar{w}^{2}\right) \Phi^{2}-2 i \bar{w} \Phi^{3} .
$$

This is not the same as $C$ restricted to the plane!
Generically four supercharges are preserved.
Now the two-point function is

$$
[12]=\left\langle Z\left(w_{1}\right) Z\left(w_{2}\right)\right\rangle \propto \frac{\left(\bar{w}_{1}-\bar{w}_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left|w_{1}-w_{2}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\bar{w}_{1}-\bar{w}_{2}}{w_{1}-w_{2}}
$$

the same as a (matrix) 2d conformal field of weight $\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

## Symmetry

There is a natural $S L(2, \mathbb{C}) \simeq S L(2, \mathbb{R}) \times S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ and
$s u(2)_{123} \times s u(2)_{456}$.
$Z$ has weight $\frac{1}{2}$ under $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$.
$Z$ has weight $-\frac{1}{2}$ under $\overline{S L}(2, \mathbb{R})+s u(2)_{123}$.
The commutators of supercharges gives $\overline{S L}(2, \mathbb{R})+\frac{1}{2} s u(2)_{123}$.
Under these generators $Z$ has dimension zero, but is not a primary.
Topological theory?

## four-point function

Now we write the complex cross ratio

$$
s=\mu \bar{\mu}, \quad t=(1-\mu)(1-\bar{\mu}), \quad \mu=\frac{w_{12} w_{34}}{w_{13} w_{24}}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{R}=(\mu(\mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Z})+\mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y})(\bar{\mu}(\mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Z})+\mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y})
$$

Now using

$$
\frac{\mathcal{X}}{\mathcal{Y}}=\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\mu}, \quad \frac{\mathcal{Z}}{\mathcal{Y}}=\frac{1-\bar{\mu}}{1-\mu} .
$$

We find

$$
\mu(\mathcal{X}-\mathcal{Z})+\mathcal{Z}-\mathcal{Y}=0
$$

so again there are no quantum corrections to the four-point functions.

## General one-loop insertion formula

The following discussion applies to correlation functions of all Chiral primary operators, not only "superprotected".

All one-loop graphs involve the basic interaction vertices

$I$ is a free propagator, $Y$ is a scalar triangle integral $X$ is a box integral, and $F$ is a derivative of the H-integral, which can be written as a sum of $X$ and $Y$ terms.

Summing over all possible insertions of these interactions, they all cancel unless the points 1234 are distinct and all the complicated terms in $F$ cancel against corner interaction, so we are left with an effective four-point interaction


So the general one loop graph is the sum over choices of four points

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{J_{1}}^{u_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{J_{n}}^{u_{n}}\right\rangle_{\text {1-loop }}= & \sum_{i, j, k, l} J_{i} J_{j} J_{k} J_{l} D_{i j k l} \\
& \left\langle\mathcal{O}_{J_{i}-1}^{u_{i}} \mathcal{O}_{J_{j}-1}^{u_{j}} \mathcal{O}_{J_{k}-1}^{u_{k}} \mathcal{O}_{J_{l}-1}^{u_{l}} \mid \mathcal{O}_{J_{1}}^{u_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{O}_{J_{n}}^{u_{n}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree }, \text { disc }}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left\langle\left.\mathcal{O}_{k_{i}-1}^{u_{i}} \mathcal{O}_{k_{j}-1}^{u_{j}} \mathcal{O}_{k_{l}-1}^{u_{l}} \mathcal{O}_{k_{m}-1}^{u_{m}}\right|_{p \neq i, j, l, m} \mathcal{O}_{k_{p}}^{u_{p}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree, disc }}=\mathcal{O}_{k_{j}-1}^{u_{j}} \overbrace{\mathcal{O}_{k_{i}}^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{O}_{k_{i}-1}^{u_{i}}}
$$

Using this we calculated some four, five and six point functions at one loop.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}}\right\rangle_{1 \text {-loop }}=16\left(D_{1234}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{4}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree, disc }}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+D_{1324}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{4}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree, disc }}+D_{1243}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{3}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree, disc }}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a given ordering there are two planar tree diagrams with a pair of contractions: $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}}\right\rangle_{\text {1-loop }} & =16\left(D_{1234}(\mathcal{X}+\mathcal{Z})+D_{1243}(\mathcal{Y}+\mathcal{X})+D_{1324}(\mathcal{Z}+\mathcal{Y})\right) \\
& =-16\left(D_{1234} \mathcal{Y}+D_{1243} \mathcal{Z}+D_{1324} \mathcal{X}\right)=-\frac{\lambda}{\pi^{2}} \Phi(s, t) \mathcal{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

Used $\left(D_{1234}+D_{1243}+D_{1324}\right)=0$.
The result is minus the sum of all non-planar contractions.

## Some 5-point functions

The 5-point function

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{3}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{3}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle_{\text {1-loop }}
$$

can be written as sum of terms like

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{1234}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mid \mathcal{O}_{3}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree, disc }}+1 \leftrightarrow 2+2 \leftrightarrow 3 \\
& +D_{1245}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{1}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}} \mid \mathcal{O}_{3}^{u_{3}}\right\rangle_{\text {tree, disc }}+1 \leftrightarrow 2+2 \leftrightarrow 4 \\
& +\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\left(D_{1234}+D_{1243}+D_{1324}\right)=0$ it can be written in terms of four and five-point functions of operators of dimension two times free-field contractions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{9}{4}[45]\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle_{1 \text {-loop }}+\frac{9}{2}[41][15]\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle_{\text {1-loop }} \\
& \quad+\frac{9}{2}[42][25]\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle_{1 \text {-loop }}+\frac{9}{2}[43][35]\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle_{1 \text {-loop }}
\end{aligned}
$$

Another example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{5}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{5}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle=\frac{25}{4}[45]^{3}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{75}{2}[35][35][45]^{2}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle+\frac{75}{2}[25][25][45]^{2}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{1}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle \\
& \quad+\frac{75}{2}[15][15][45]^{2}\left\langle\mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{2}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{3}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{4}} \mathcal{O}_{2}^{u_{5}}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

In all the examples we checked they can be written as the basic four and five-point functions times free contractions.
Would require better understanding of planar disc graphs to generalize.

## Back to the "superprotected" operators

$$
D_{1234}=\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{X_{1234}}{I_{13} I_{24}}(2 \mathcal{Y}+(s-1-t) \mathcal{Z}+(t-1-s) \mathcal{X}) \propto \frac{\partial \mathcal{R}}{\partial \mathcal{Y}}
$$

$\frac{X_{1234}}{I_{13} I_{24}}$ is a transcendental function of the cross-ratios $s$ and $t$, so cancelations should happen for each choice of four points independently.

Indeed for example I: $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{Y}=\mathcal{Z}$ are constant, so $D_{i j k l}=0$ !
For example II this doesn't happen. We have to use the modular property

$$
D_{1234}=-\frac{1}{\mu} D_{1324}=-\frac{1}{1-\mu} D_{1243}
$$

We checked five and six-point functions with total weight $\leq 16$ and found that the sum of these triplets always vanished for example II!
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## Outlook

- Many open questions:
- Prove that there are no quantum corrections.
- Understand the twisted symmetry and its multiplets.
- Understand the topological theories.
- Calculate instanton corrections.
- Do the $A d S$ calculation.
- Other examples: Any three $1 / 2$ BPS operators share eight supercharges. Should be possible to add more operators on the line. But on $\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{3}$ ?
Use $\mathcal{R}=0$ ?
- Grand goal: Using these operators as the starting point to calculate $n$-point functions of other operators. BPS or not. Take operators deviating only by a small amount from those: Insert other fields into long operators...


## The end

