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## FQHE 2/5 states

COA:- IQHE 3rd LL Fermion
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Multiple phases with SYMMETRIES $\begin{array}{ll}\text { YH, '06 } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Chen-Gu-Wen, '10 } \\ \text { Pollmann et al., ' } 10\end{array}\end{array}$ Time-reversal*
"Many body"
*Anti Unitary

## Particle-hole* (Chiral symmetry)

 Inversion$$
\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{Q}} \quad: \quad 1 \rightarrow 2,2 \rightarrow 3, \cdots, Q \rightarrow 1
$$

## Symmetry in physics
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## Symmetry in physics

Text book
Labeling of quantum states
Conservation law $[H, G]=0 \quad t_{2 g} \quad e_{g} \cdots$
We are now using it as
Symmetry protection of adiabatic process ¿ Chiral symmetry
$\approx$ Particle-Hole symmetry
WTime-reversal symmetry
Z inversion symmetry

$\approx Z_{Q}$ symmetry: $S_{Q}$ reduced into $Z_{Q}$ with gauge twists

## Short range entangled states

Ex.1) AKLT state
${ }^{(1,1)}\left\langle\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { gapped int } \\ \text { O-C }\end{array}\right\}\right.$
Ex.2) Collection of singlets


Something complicated but gapped
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## Short range entangled states

Adiabatic deformation! gap remains open

Decoupled!

## Short range entangled states

Adiabatic process to be decoupled: gap remains open
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- Answer!

Calculate a topological invariant as an adiabatic invariant

Whort range entanglement, symmetry \& quantization * Adiabatic principle with symmetry
is Gauge freedom for entangled state
~Two types of topological invariants as "order parameters"
Thern numbers in even dimensions
Q Quantized Berry phases in odd dimensions
(dim. of parameter space)
E Examples in 1D, 2D, 3D and
$\approx$ Integer spin chains with dimerization
$\approx$ Random hopping models
2 Orthogonal dimers in 2D
$\approx$ Generalized dimers in Kagome, Pyrochlore d-Dim. fermions with frustration

## Quantization for topological phases

Topological

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Z}=\{\cdots,-2,-1,0,1,2,, \cdots\} \\
& \mathbb{Z}_{Q}=\{1,2, \cdots, Q(\bmod Q)\} \quad Q \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## ใ

Quantization

$$
H|\psi\rangle=E|\psi\rangle
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\langle\psi \mid d \psi\rangle \\
& F=d A+A^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Parameter dependent hamiltonian $\Rightarrow$ Berry connection
Intrinsically quantized (without boundary)
Cher numbers: 1 st, ind, 3rd,....

$$
\text { SHE ... Z } C_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{M^{2}} F
$$

Symmetry protected quantization
Berry phases \& generalization: $\quad \gamma_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{M^{1}} A$

> Quantum spin chains, Spin-QHE ...

## Topological quantities: Berry connection

collect $M$ states gaped from the else

$$
\Psi=\left(\left|\psi_{1}\right\rangle, \cdots,\left|\psi_{M}\right\rangle\right) \quad\left\langle\psi_{j} \mid \psi_{k}\right\rangle=\delta_{j k} \quad \Psi^{\dagger} \Psi=E_{M}
$$

Berry connection \& gauge transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{g}=\Psi_{g}^{\dagger} d \Psi_{g}=g^{-1} A g+g^{-1} d g \quad F_{g}=d A_{g}+A_{g}^{2}=g^{-1} F g \\
\Psi_{g}=\Psi g \quad g \in U(M) \quad g \in S p(M) \text { with Kramers deg. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Chen numbers : intrinsically quantized

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
C_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} F, \quad C_{2}=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{Tr} I \\
\operatorname{Tr} F=d \omega_{1} & \operatorname{Tr} F^{2}=d \omega_{3}
\end{array}
$$

Berry phases \& generalizations

$$
\gamma_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{S^{1}} \omega_{1}, \quad \gamma_{3}=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{3}} \omega_{3}, \cdots
$$

$2 n-1$ dim. any value
Gauge dependent: $\quad \omega_{1}=\operatorname{Tr} A, \quad \omega_{3}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(A d A+\frac{2}{3} A^{3}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1} \equiv \gamma_{1}^{g},(\bmod 1)_{\mathrm{YH} \text { '06 }} \gamma_{3} \equiv \gamma_{3}^{g},(\bmod 1)^{\text {Qi-Hughes-Zhang '08 }} \begin{aligned}
& \text { YH '09 } \\
& \text { Some constraint } \longrightarrow \text { Symmetry protected quantization }
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example: Heisenberg model with local twist

 Define a many body hamiltonian by local twist as a periodic parameter

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(x=e^{i \theta}\right) \quad H_{0}=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} J_{i j} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j} \\
& C=\left\{x=e^{i \theta} \mid \theta: 0 \rightarrow 2 \pi\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-i \theta} S_{i+} S_{j-}+e^{+i \theta} S_{i-} S_{j+}\right)+S_{i z} S_{j z} \text { Only link <ii> }
$$

$$
H(\theta)|\psi(\theta)\rangle=E(\theta)|\psi(\theta)\rangle \text { Lanczos diagonalization }
$$

Calculate the Berry phases using the many spin wave function

$$
i \gamma_{C}=\int_{C} A=\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\langle\psi \left\lvert\, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}\right.\right\rangle d \theta=\pi, 0
$$

$Z_{2}$ Berry phase
Topological order parameter YH, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75, 123601, '06
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## Quantization

$\approx Z_{Q}$ symmetry: $S_{Q}$ reduced into $Z_{Q}$ with gauge twist
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\begin{array}{ll}
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$$
\begin{aligned}
|\psi(\theta)\rangle & =U(\theta)|\psi(0)\rangle \\
U(\theta) & =e^{i\left(S-S_{z}\right) \theta} \\
A & =\langle\psi \mid d \psi\rangle=S d \theta \\
\gamma & =2 \pi S
\end{aligned}
$$

$\begin{array}{ccl}\text { Spins } & \gamma=2 \pi S=\pi & S=1 / 2 \\ & Z_{2} & S=(\text { odd integer }) / 2\end{array}$
Fermions with filling $\rho=P / Q, \quad(P, Q)=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=2 \pi \rho=2 \pi \frac{P}{Q} \tag{Q}
\end{equation*}
$$

Spins $\quad \gamma=2 \pi S=\pi \quad Z_{2}$
Fermions with filling $\gamma=2 \pi \rho=2 \pi \frac{P}{Q} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Q}}$


Quantized Berry phases for short range entangled states

Spins $\quad \gamma=2 \pi S=\pi \quad Z_{2}$
Fermions with filling $\gamma=2 \pi \rho=2 \pi \frac{P}{Q} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{Q}}$


Symmetry protection

$$
i \gamma=\int A
$$



Quantized Berry phases for short range entangled states
¿ Short range entanglement, symmetry \& quantization * Adiabatic principle with symmetry
$\approx$ Gauge freedom for entangled state
$\approx$ Two types of topological invariants

* Chern numbers in even dimensions
* Quantized Berry phases in odd dimensions

Examples in 1D, 2D, 3D and ...
Integer spin chains with dimerization
$\approx$ Random hopping models
$\approx$ Orthogonal dimers in 2D
T Generalized dimers in Kagome, Pyrochlore : d-Dim. fermions with frustration

ID $S=1 / 2$ chains with dimerization

$$
H=\sum_{\langle i\rangle} J_{i} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{i+1}
$$

Y.H., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75123601 (2006)

AF-AF

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
J_{A}^{\prime}>J_{A} & J_{A}^{\prime} & J_{A} & J_{A}^{\prime} & J_{A} & J_{A}^{\prime} & J_{A} \\
& \pi & 0 & \pi & 0 & \pi & 0 \\
& & & & & & \\
J_{A}^{\prime}<J_{A} & J_{A}^{\prime} & J_{A} & J_{A}^{\prime} & J_{A} & J_{A}^{\prime} & J_{A} \\
\hline 0 & \pi & 0 & \pi & 0 & \pi
\end{array}
$$

$\left|J_{F}\right| \gtrless J_{A} J_{F} \quad J_{A} \quad J_{F} \quad J_{A} \quad J_{F} \quad J_{A}$ Hida

## AF-AF case

Strong bonds
: $\pi$ bonds

## F-AF case

AF bonds
$: \pi$ bonds

## Heisenberg Spin Chains with integer S

$$
\begin{aligned}
S=1 & \left(\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right)^{2}=S(S+1), S=1 \\
H=J & \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j}+D \sum_{i}\left(S_{i}^{z}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Y.H., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 75123601 (2006)

Haldane phase


$$
D<D_{C}
$$

Large D phase
0
0
0
0
$0 \quad 0$
$D>D_{C}$

Characterize the Quantum Phase Transition

## $S=1,2$ dimerized Heisenberg model

$$
H=\sum_{i=1}^{N / 2}\left(J_{1} \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i+1}+\begin{array}{c}
\text { T.Hirano, H.Katsura \&YH, Phy }
\end{array} J_{2} \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i+2}\right) .
$$

$Z_{2}$ Berry phase
Red line :Berry phase $\pi$


0
$0 \quad 0$
0
0
0
00
$S=1 \& 2$

## Sequential transitions among gapped phases

## $S=1,2$ dimerized Heisenberg model

$$
H=\sum_{i=1}^{N / 2}\left(J_{1} \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i+1}+\begin{array}{c}
\text { T.Hirano, H.Katsura \&YH, Phy }
\end{array} J_{2} \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i+1} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{2 i+2}\right) .
$$

$Z_{2}$ Berry phase
Red line :Berry phase $\pi$


Reconstruction of valence bonds!

## $S=2$ Heisenberg model with D term

T.Hirano, H.Katsura \&YH, Phys.Rev.B77 094431 ’08

$$
H=\sum_{i}^{N}\left[J \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{i+1}+D\left(S_{i}^{z}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

Red line :Berry phase $\pi$


## Reconstruction of valence bonds!

## Generic AKLT (VBS) models

T.Hirano, H.Katsura \&YH, Phys.Rev.B77 094431 ’08

Twist the link of the generic AKLT model

$$
\begin{gather*}
H\left(\left\{\phi_{i, i+1}\right\}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{J=B_{i, i+1}+1}^{2 B_{i, i+1}} A_{J} P_{i, i+1}^{J}\left[\phi_{i, i+1}\right] \\
\left.\left|\left\{\phi_{i, j}\right\}\right\rangle=\prod_{\langle i j\rangle}\left(e^{i \phi_{i j} / 2} a_{i}^{\dagger} b_{j}^{\dagger}-e^{-i \phi_{i j} / 2} b_{i}^{\dagger} a_{j}^{\dagger}\right)^{B_{i i j}} \mid \text { vac }\right\rangle \\
\text { \#VB } \\
\frac{\text { Berry phase on a link (iij) }}{\gamma_{i j}=B_{i j} \pi \bmod 2 \pi}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Berry phase counts the number of the valence bonds!
$S=1 / 2$ objects are fundamental in integer spin chains

Random hopping model on bipartite lattice

$$
H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} t_{i j} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{i}+\text { h.c. }+V_{i j} n_{i} n_{j}
$$

Half-filled many body state
Chiral symmetry in one particle part
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## Orthogonal dimers

## 2 discovery

H. Kageyama et al. , Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3168 (1999)
~Theory: spin gap \& magnetic plateaus
B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland, Physica, 108B, 1069 (1981).

$H=J \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} S_{i} \cdot S_{j}+J^{\prime} \sum_{\langle i j\rangle} S_{i} \cdot S_{j}$
S. Miyahara \& K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3701 (1999)
T. Momoi and K. Totsuka, Phys. Rev. B 61, 3231 (2000)

## Gapped to gapped transition

Dimer phase
Plaquette singlet phase

A. Koga \& N. Kawakami, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4461 (2000)

## Orthogonal dimers

## Dimer phase

Gauge transform only at

$$
U(\theta)=e^{i\left(S-\hat{S}_{z}\right) \theta}
$$

$$
G
$$

It can be gauged out if decoupled

$$
\gamma_{P}=\pi
$$



## Orthogonal dimers

## plaquette singlet phase

Gauge transform only at

$$
U(\theta)=e^{i\left(S-\hat{S}_{z}\right) \theta}
$$



It can be gauged out if decoupled

$$
\gamma_{p}=\pi
$$



## $Z_{2}$ Berry phase <br> $\gamma_{D}$

gauge twist for singlet pair


$$
J^{\prime} / J
$$

I. Maruyama, S. Tanaya, M.Arikawa \& YH. , arXiv:1103.1226

## $Z_{2}$ Berry phase $\gamma_{\mathrm{P}}$

## gauge twist for plaquette singlet


I. Maruyama, S. Tanaya, M.Arikawa \& YH. , arXiv:1103.1226

## Fermions with frustrated lattice

Generalized to $Z_{Q} \quad(Q=d+1)$

## $Z_{Q}$ Berry phases

Series of fermionic models in d-dimensions Minimum model with frustration

Y. Hatsugai \& I. Maruyama, EPL 95, 20003 (2011), arXiv:1009.3792

## Fermions with frustrated lattice

Generalized to $Z_{Q} \quad(Q=d+1)$

## $Z_{Q}$ Berry phases

Series of fermionic models in d-dimensions Minimum model with frustration

Y. Hatsugai \& I. Maruyama, EPL 95, 20003 (2011), arXiv:1009.3792

Fermionic Hamiltonian with "dimerization"
$H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} t_{i j} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}+h . c .-\mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$

3D pyrochlore


$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} t_{i j} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}+h . c .-\mu \sum_{i} n_{i} \\
+V \sum n_{i} n_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
t_{i j}= \begin{cases}t_{R} & \langle i j\rangle \in \\ t_{B} & \langle i j\rangle \in\end{cases}
$$

Tetramerization

One may include interaction if the energy gap remains open
d-D generic pyrochlore as well

Fermionic Hamiltonian with "dimerization"
$H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle}^{2 D} t_{i j} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}+$ h.c. $-\mu \sum_{i} n_{i}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{i j}= \begin{cases}t_{R} & \langle i j\rangle \in \\
t_{B} & \langle i j\rangle \in\end{cases} \\
\text { Trimerization } \\
t_{i j}= \begin{cases}t_{R} & \langle i j\rangle \in \\
t_{B} & \langle i j\rangle \in\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

3D pyrochlore

$$
\begin{gathered}
H=\sum_{\langle i j\rangle} t_{i j} c_{i}^{\dagger} c_{j}+h . c .-\mu \sum_{i} n_{i} \\
+V \sum n_{i} n_{j}
\end{gathered}
$$

Tetramerization
One may include interaction if the energy gap remains open
d-D generic pyrochlore as well

## Dirac fermions + flat bands with d-1 fold degeneracy



Ex.) $Z_{Q=3}$ quantized Berry phases for fermions on Kagome
$\Theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)$
periodic boundary condition $d=2, Q=3$
modify phases locally (in some way)

Global $Z_{Q}$ symmetry with twists $\Theta$
Many body state
$|\Psi(\Theta)\rangle$ filling 1/Q

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\langle\Psi(\Theta) \mid d \Psi(\Theta)\rangle \\
i \gamma & =\int_{L} A
\end{aligned}
$$

$\gamma \equiv 2 \pi \frac{n}{Q}, \bmod 2 \pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

## $Z_{Q}$ quantization

## Topological order parameter for Q-Multimerization Transition



## Topological order parameter for Q-Multimerization Transition


$\left|t_{B}\right|$


Massless Dirac, Critical


Numerical demonstration up to 4D
Quantized dimer order parameter
Quantum Phase Transition

Y. Hatsugai \& I. Maruyama, EPL 95, 20003 (2011), arXiv:1009.3792

Numerical demonstration up to 4D
Quantized dimer order parameter
Quantum Phase Transition
Stable against particle-particle interaction unless the energy gap collapses

Y. Hatsugai \& I. Maruyama, EPL 95, 20003 (2011), arXiv:1009.3792

## Other systems applied

## Spin ladders with ring exchange


I. Maruyama, T. Hirano, and Y. H.,Phys. Rev. B 79, 115107 (2009)
M. Arikawa, S. Tanaya, I. Maruyama, Y. H.,Phys. Rev. B 79, 205107 (2009)


## BEC-BCS crossover at half filling

M. Arikawa, I. Maruyama, and Y. H., Phys. Rev. B 82, 073105 (2010)

Topomat11:Topological Insulators \& Superconductors, Nov.3, 2011


## Summary

Topology is useful to classify short range entangled states

## with symmetry
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## Summary

Topology is useful to classify
 short range entangled states

## with symmetry



